

File No. 210801

Committee Item No. _____

Board Item No. 27

COMMITTEE/BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

AGENDA PACKET CONTENTS LIST

Committee: _____

Date: _____

Board of Supervisors Meeting

Date: September 21, 2021

Cmte Board

- Motion
- Resolution
- Ordinance
- Legislative Digest
- Budget and Legislative Analyst Report
- Youth Commission Report
- Introduction Form
- Department/Agency Cover Letter and/or Report
- MOU
- Grant Information Form
- Grant Budget
- Subcontract Budget
- Contract/Agreement
- Form 126 – Ethics Commission
- Award Letter
- Application
- Public Correspondence

OTHER

- Appeal Letter - 7/12/21
- Planning Department Response - 7/19/21
- Public Hearing Notice - 7/16/21
- Clerical Documents
- _____
- _____
- _____

Prepared by: Lisa Lew

Date: September 17, 2021

Prepared by: _____

Date: _____

**NOTICE TO BOARD OF SUPERVISORS OF APPEAL
FROM ACTION OF THE CITY PLANNING COMMISSION**

Notice is hereby given of an appeal to the Board of Supervisors from the following action of the City Planning Commission.

The property is located at 5801 Mission Street

Date of City Planning Commission Action
(Attach a Copy of Planning Commission's Decision)

June 10, 2021
Appeal Filing Date

RECEIVED
BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
SAN FRANCISCO
2021 JUL 12 PM 12:40
BY 

The Planning Commission disapproved in whole or in part an application for reclassification of property, Case No. _____.

The Planning Commission disapproved in whole or in part an application for establishment, abolition or modification of a set-back line, Case No. _____.

The Planning Commission approved in whole or in part an application for conditional use authorization, Case No. 2020-007152CUA

The Planning Commission disapproved in whole or in part an application for conditional use authorization, Case No. _____.

a) Set forth the part(s) of the decision the appeal is taken from:

We are appealing the Planning Commission's decision to approve the Conditional Use Authorization (CUA) of 5801 Mission Street Case Number. 2020-007152CUA.

We disagree with the Planning Commission's summary that this is a necessary and needed business. District 11 already has THREE cannabis storefronts that serve the community and citizens that reside in San Mateo County. There are at least 5 in a one mile radius and constituents clustering. In addition, there are other means of purchasing/using cannabis including delivery and the ability to grow cannabis which is allowed by law.

The area, within 1000 feet, is identified as a High-Risk corridor by the City and County of San Francisco Vision Zero program and the Longfellow School is identified for the Safe Routes to Schools program, due to the high number of pedestrian and car crashes and near misses. This is a hazard to the community.

As two African American led organizations among the appeal applicants, serving youth and their families, we are located within 100 feet of the proposed cannabis location. Our equity is at stake.

This district has the highest concentration of immigrant population with 68% are monolingual or have limited English ability. The project sponsor had no outreach to the immigrant community and minimal input at the community meetings.

District 11 has 3 cannabis dispensaries with only one grocery store and 8 weekly food pantries (feeding thousands) to serve the District's 78K population.

51% Asian

5% African American

27% Latino

50% Foreign born

68% Speak another language other than English/Monolingual

74% Family Households

44% High School

28% College

8% Master Degree

b) Set forth the reasons in support of your appeal:

We challenge the approval of 5801 Mission Street based on the following:

1. CLUSTERING - already have THREE cannabis storefronts operating in District 11;
2. HIGH DENSITY OF CHILDREN NEARBY including a well-used facility for school-age children located at the San Francisco Christian Center;
3. LACK OF APPROPRIATE OUTREACH TO MONOLINGUAL RESIDENTS.

This is a well-traveled area with a liquor store, tattoo parlour and a bar in direct proximity in addition to the three cannabis storefronts. The community has a need for more resources (food, job training, housing, language access, and mental health) not another cannabis establishment.

Statement of Appeal:

a) Set forth the part(s) of the decision the appeal is taken from:

previously stated

b) Set forth the reasons in support of your appeal:

previously stated

Person to Whom
Notices Shall Be Mailed

Name and Address of Person Filing Appeal:

Pastor Roderick V. Gittens
Name
San Francisco Christian Center

5825/
5845 Mission St. SF CA 94112
Address

415-584-5515
Telephone Number

Pastor Roderick V. Gittens
Name
San Francisco Christian Center

5825/
5845 Mission St. SF CA 94112
Address

415-584-5515
Telephone Number


Signature of Appellant or
Authorized Agent



NEIGHBORHOOD APPEAL FOR:

2020-007152CUA

BUILDING PERMIT: 6472/001

DATE OF DECISION: JUNE 10, 2021

**OFFICIAL SAN FRANCISCO
PLANNING DEPARTMENT
DOCUMENTS SECTION:**

- 1. PREAPPLICATION**
- 2. PUBLIC HEARING NOTICE**
- 3. PLANNING COMMISSION DECISION**



PRE-APPLICATION MEETING PACKET

This packet consists of instructions for conducting the Pre-Application Meeting.

For questions, you can call the Planning counter at 628.652.7300 or email pic@sfgov.org where planners are able to assist you.

Español: Si desea ayuda sobre cómo llenar esta solicitud en español, por favor llame al 628.652.7550. Tenga en cuenta que el Departamento de Planificación requerirá al menos un día hábil para responder.

中文：如果您希望獲得使用中文填寫這份申請表的幫助，請致電628.652.7550。請注意，規劃部門需要至少一個工作日來回應。

Filipino: Kung gusto mo ng tulong sa pagkumpleto ng application na ito sa Filipino, paki tawagan ang 628.652.7550. Paki tandaan na mangangailangan ang Planning Department ng hindi kukulangin sa isang araw na pantrabaho para makasagot.

WHAT IS A PRE-APPLICATION MEETING?

The Pre-Application Meeting is a mandatory form of community outreach conducted by the project sponsor to receive initial feedback regarding certain project types prior to filing a Project Application with the Planning Department. Adjacent neighbors and relevant neighborhood organizations are invited to attend this meeting, which must take place during certain hours of the day and within a certain distance from the project site. The meeting's intention is to initiate neighbor communication and identify issues and concerns early on; provide the project sponsor the opportunity to address neighbor concerns about the potential impacts of the project prior to submitting an application; and, reduce the number of Discretionary Reviews (DRs) that are filed.

WHY IS A PRE-APPLICATION MEETING REQUIRED?

The Pre-Application process is required for certain projects subject to Planning Code Section 311 Notification, or as required by other activities listed below. It serves as the first step in the process prior to filing a Project Application with the Planning Department. Those contacted as a result of the Pre-Application process will also receive a formal notice after the project is reviewed by Planning Department staff.

WHEN IS A PRE-APPLICATION MEETING REQUIRED?

- Projects subject to 311 Notification that include:
 - New Construction;
 - Any vertical addition of 7 feet or more;
 - Any horizontal addition of 10 feet or more;
 - Decks over 10 feet above grade or within the required rear yard;
- All Formula Retail uses subject to a Conditional Use Authorization;
- Community Business Priority Processing (CB3P);
- Projects in PDR-1-B Districts subject to Section 313; and
- Department staff may request a Pre-Application meeting be conducted for any project.

INSTRUCTIONS FOR PRE-APPLICATION MEETINGS

Prior to filing any Project Application, the applicant must conduct a minimum of one Pre-Application meeting if required, as stated above.

Additionally, if the project will be required to submit a Transportation Demand Management (TDM) Plan pursuant to Planning Code Section 169, the Project Sponsor must discuss potential TDM Measures that may be incorporated into the project.

These materials must be submitted to the Planning Department:

All of the following materials must be submitted along with the Project Application for the project in order to verify compliance with the Pre-Application Meeting requirements. If a Pre-Application Meeting is required, Planning Department review will not begin until all the following are received:

- A copy of the letter mailed to neighbors and neighborhood organizations (use attached invitation)
- A list of the neighborhood organizations and individuals invited to the meeting, including the mailing address for each (see instructions below)
- A copy of the sign-in sheet (use attached template)
- A summary of the meeting and a list of any changes made to the project as a result of the neighborhood comments (use attached template)
- The affidavit, signed and dated (use attached template)
- One reduced copy of the plans presented to the neighbors at pre-application meeting, labeled as "Pre-Application Plans"

This meeting must be held in accordance with the following rules.

These groups and individuals must be invited to the meeting:

- Invite all Neighborhood Organizations for the neighborhood(s) in which the project site is located, as defined on the Planning Department Neighborhood Groups Map. Enter “Neighborhood Groups Map” into the search bar on www.sfplanning.org. Then, click on the relevant neighborhood on the map, and click on the “Neighborhood Contact List” link to download the list of neighborhood organizations in a spreadsheet format. Be sure to view the list for the appropriate neighborhood(s) by using the tabs at the bottom of the spreadsheet. If the property is located on the border of two or more neighborhoods, you must invite all bordering neighborhood organizations.
- Invite all owners and residents of properties that are abutting (next to), and directly across the street from, the project site. If the project site is on a corner, you must also invite owners and occupants of the properties across both streets, and the corner property diagonally across the intersection. To find the address of abutting properties, go to the online San Francisco Property Information Map (propertymap.sfplanning.org), search for the address of the project site, and click on each of the abutting properties to find the address and block/lot number of the property. The list of property owners should be based on the latest citywide property tax roll, which is available at the Office of the Treasurer and Tax Collector, City Hall, Room 140, Carlton B Goodlett Pl. You must also invite all residents of the abutting properties by mailing an invitation to each property addressed to “Residents”. Be sure to mail to each unit separately, if there are more than one unit on the property.
- Note that projects in PDR-1-B districts subject to Sec. 313 require mailing to owners and residents of properties within a 300 foot radius. Refer to the Neighborhood Notification handout, available at www.sfplanning.org, for clarification.
- Invitations must be sent at least 14 calendar days before the meeting. One copy of the invitation letter must be mailed to the project sponsor as proof of mailing. Invitations The postal date stamp will serve as record of timely mailing.
- You may have a private drafting or mailing service generate the correct mailing list for you, for a fee that varies by firm. The following businesses have indicated that they provide professional notification services. This listing does not constitute an endorsement. Other professionals can also perform this work and can be added to this list upon request:

Build CADD
3515 Santiago Stree
San Francisco, CA 94116
(415) 759-8710

Javier Solorzano
3288 - 21st Street #49
San Francisco, CA 94110
(415) 724-5240, Javier131064@yahoo.com

Notificationmaps.com
Barry Dunzer
(866) 752-6266
www.notificationmaps.com

Ted Madison Drafting
P.O. Box 8102
Santa Rosa, CA 95407
(707) 228-8850, tmadison@pacbell.net

Radius Services
1221 Harrison Street #18
San Francisco, CA 94103
(415) 391-4775, radiusservices@sfradius.com

Notice This
(650) 814-6750

The meeting must be conducted at one of these places:

The project site; or

- An alternate location within a one-mile radius of the project site (i.e. community center, coffee shop, etc.); or
- The project sponsor may opt to have a Pre- Application Meeting held at the Planning Department instead of the project site, for a fee. A planner will be available for questions. Please see the Department Facilitated Pre-Application Meeting form at www.sfplanning.org for more information.

The meeting must be held within specific timeframes and meet certain requirements:

- Meetings are to be conducted within 6:00 p.m. -9:00 p.m., Mon.-Fri.; or within 10:00 a.m. -9:00 p.m., Sat-Sun. If the Project Sponsor has selected a Pre-Application Meeting held at the Planning Department, this meeting will be conducted during regular business hours.
- A sign-in sheet must be used in order to verify attendance. Note if no one attended.
- Preliminary plans must be available at the meeting that include the height and depth of the subject building and its adjacent properties, and dimensions must be provided to help facilitate discussion. Neighbors may request reduced copies of the plans from the Project Sponsor by checking the “please send me plans” box on the sign-in sheet, and the Project Sponsor shall provide reduced copies upon such request.
- Questions and concerns by attendees, and responses by Project Sponsor, if any, must be noted.
Note: When the subject lot is a corner lot, the notification area shall further include all properties on both block faces across from the subject lot, and the corner property diagonally across the street.

Note: When the subject lot is a corner lot, the notification area shall further include all properties on both block faces across from the subject lot, and the corner property diagonally across the street.

For more information, you can call the Planning counter at 628.652.7300 or email pic@sfgov.org where planners are able to assist you.

Please download and save the application to your computer before filling it out.

NOTICE OF PRE-APPLICATION MEETING

Date: 10-29-2020

Dear Neighbor:

You are invited to a neighborhood Pre-Application meeting to review and discuss the development proposal at 5801 Mission Street, cross street(s) Oliver Street (Block/Lot#: 6472/001; Zoning: NCT), in accordance with the San Francisco Planning Department's Pre-Application procedures. The Pre-Application meeting is intended as a way for the Project Sponsor(s) to discuss the project and review the proposed plans with adjacent neighbors and neighborhood organizations before the submittal of an application to the City. This provides neighbors an opportunity to raise questions and discuss any concerns about the impacts of the project before it is submitted for the Planning Department's review. Once a Building Permit has been submitted to the City, you may track its status at www.sfgov.org/dbi.

The Pre-Application process serves as the first step in the process prior to filing a Project Application with the Planning Department. Those contacted as a result of the Pre-Application process will also receive formal notification from the city after the project is submitted and reviewed by Planning Department staff.

A Pre-Application meeting is required because this project includes (check all that apply):

- New Construction subject to Section 311;
- Any vertical addition of 7 feet or more subject to Section 311;
- Any horizontal addition of 10 feet or more subject to Section 311;
- Decks over 10 feet above grade or within the required rear yard subject to Section 311;
- All Formula Retail uses subject to a Conditional Use Authorization;
- PDR-1-B, Section 313;
- Community Business Priority Processing Program (CB3P).

The development proposal is to: inform the community about a proposed locally owned Social Equity Cannabis Retail Dispensary.

Existing # of dwelling units:	<u>0</u>	Proposed:	<u>0</u>	Permitted:	_____
Existing bldg square footage:	<u>1935</u>	Proposed:	<u>1935</u>	Permitted:	_____
Existing # of stories:	<u>2</u>	Proposed:	<u>2</u>	Permitted:	_____
Existing bldg height:	<u>27 feet</u>	Proposed:	<u>27 feet</u>	Permitted:	_____
Existing bldg depth:	<u>122 feet</u>	Proposed:	<u>122 feet</u>	Permitted:	_____

MEETING INFORMATION:

Property Owner(s) name(s): Generation Skipping TR of Al/Phylis Kurzbard 1998 LVG TR
Project Sponsor(s): Edward Brown
Contact information (email/phone): 5801missionstreet@gmail.com/415-873-0933
Meeting Address*: Virtual Meeting (see attached instructions) meet.jit.si/5801Mission-Meeting1
Date of meeting: 11-13-2020 Time of meeting**: 6:00pm

*The meeting should be conducted at the project site or within a one-mile radius, unless the Project Sponsor has requested a Department Facilitated Pre-Application Meeting, in which case the meeting will be held at the Planning Department offices, at 1650 Mission Street, Suite 400.

**Weeknight meetings shall occur between 6:00 p.m. - 9:00 p.m. Weekend meetings shall be between 10:00 a.m. - 9:00 p.m, unless the Project Sponsor has selected a Department Facilitated Pre-Application Meeting.

If you have questions about the San Francisco Planning Code, Residential Design Guidelines, or general development process in the City, email the Planning counter at the Permit Center at pic@sfgov.org. You may also find information about the San Francisco Planning Department and on-going planning efforts at www.sfplanning.org.

AFFIDAVIT OF CONDUCTING A PRE-APPLICATION MEETING

I, Edward Brown, do hereby declare as follows:

1. I have conducted a Pre-Application Meeting for the proposed new construction, alteration or other activity prior to submitting a Project Application with the Planning Department in accordance with Planning Commission Pre-Application Policy.
2. The meeting was conducted at Virtual Meeting/meet.jit.si/5801Mission-Meeting1 (location/address) on 11-13-2020 (date) from 6:00pm (time).
3. I have included the mailing list, meeting invitation and postmarked letter, sign-in sheet, issue/response summary, and reduced plans with the entitlement Application. I understand that I am responsible for the accuracy of this information and that erroneous information may lead to suspension or revocation of the permit.
4. I have prepared these materials in good faith and to the best of my ability.

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing is true and correct.

EXECUTED ON THIS DAY, 11-17-2020, 2020 IN SAN FRANCISCO.



Signature

Edward Brown

Name (type or print)

Project Sponsor

Relationship to Project (e.g. Owner, Agent)
(if Agent, give business name & profession)

5801 Mission Street

Project Address

PRE-APPLICATION MEETING SIGN-IN SHEET

Meeting Date: November 13, 2020
 Meeting Time: 6:00pm
 Meeting Address: 5801 Virtual Meeting - meet.jit.si/5801Mission-Meeting1
 Project Address: 5801 Mission Street
 Property Owner Name: Generation Skipping TR of Al/Phylis Kurzbard 1998 LVG TR
 Project Sponsor/Representative: Edward Brown

Please print your name below, state your address and/or affiliation with a neighborhood group, and provide your phone number. Providing your name below does not represent support or opposition to the project; it is for documentation purposes only.

	NAME/ORGANIZATION	ADDRESS	PHONE #	EMAIL	SEND PLANS
1.	Mary Elen Coner	declined	personal info		<input type="checkbox"/>
2.	Gaia	declined	personal info		<input type="checkbox"/>
3.	Devon Julian	declined	personal info		<input type="checkbox"/>
4.	"11"	declined	personal info		<input type="checkbox"/>
5.	Patty Clark	declined	personal info		<input type="checkbox"/>
6.	415-606-3885	caller did not ID themselves			<input type="checkbox"/>
7.	Ja x mine	declined	personal info		<input type="checkbox"/>
8.	"Maurice R"	declined	personal info		<input type="checkbox"/>
9.	Adelheid Hanley	declined	personal info		<input type="checkbox"/>
10.	Edward Brown	5801 Mission Street	415-587-0933	5801missionstreet@gmail.com	<input type="checkbox"/>
11.					<input type="checkbox"/>
12.					<input type="checkbox"/>
13.					<input type="checkbox"/>
14.					<input type="checkbox"/>
15.					<input type="checkbox"/>
16.					<input type="checkbox"/>

SUMMARY OF DISCUSSION FROM THE PRE-APPLICATION MEETING

Meeting Date: November 13, 2020

Meeting Time: 6:00pm

Meeting Address: Virtual Meeting - meet.jit.si/5801Mission-Meeting1

Project Address: 5801 Mission Street

Property Owner Name: Generation Skipping TR of AL/Phylis Kurzbard 1998 LVG TR

Project Sponsor/Representative: Edward Brown

Please summarize the questions/comments and your response from the Pre-Application meeting in the space below. Please state if/how the project has been modified in response to any concerns.

Question/Concern #1 by (name of concerned neighbor/neighborhood group):
(MEC) - Children leaving the church is a concern of ours...Ocean street dispensary was a problem.

How will you bring value to the area?

Have you seen the dispensaries over a mile away at Geneva?

Project Sponsor Response: There will be no loitering in front of the retail location. We expect most of our customers to use foot traffic. No children will be exposed to cannabis sales, and we will use exit packaging for all customer purchases. We will bring value to the area, with new customers that will patronize businesses. We will also bring job security and hire locally within District 11.

Question/Concern #2: How will you keep "rif-raff" out of the neighborhood? (MEC)

Project Sponsor Response: We have operated for 15 years in SF. We know how to engage the community in a positive and constructive way. Our security staff is well experienced in diffusing potential conflicts.

Question/Concern #3: You are within numerous schools in the area, and there is an afterschool childcare within 600 feet? Do you know that you are within this area?

Project Sponsor Response: SF Office of Cannabis and the SF Planning Department has verified that we are not within 600 feet of a K-12 institution. We would not be before you if our location was not within the guidelines of the Planning code.

Question/Concern #4: We are concerned with the exposure to children in the neighborhood, how do parents have hard conversations with their child, when they see this location? (numerous concerns)
There is a bar, tattoo parlor, and a liquor store, we do not need a 4th that doesn't belong

Project Sponsor Response: We are not in the category of alcohol, this is a plant at the end of the day. We will never allow children to enter our dispensary. Unlike a liquor store that has alcohol in plain sight of children, our products will never be visible to children walking by. Our products will be in exit bags. "I am a mother of two", (Equity Applicant) and there must be education and communication. Children need to understand that this is medicine to many, for people to have normal functions, to sleep, to eat, to go about their daily lives.



NOTICE OF PUBLIC HEARING

Hearing Date: **THURSDAY, JUNE 10, 2021**
Time: **Not before 1:00 PM**
Location: **Visit sfplanning.org/remotehearings for details**
Case Type: **Conditional Use**
Hearing Body: **Planning Commission**

PROJECT INFORMATION

Project Address: **5801 MISSION ST**
Cross Streets: **Oliver & Acton Streets**
Block / Lot No.: **6472 / 001**
Zoning District(s): **NCD / 40-X**
Area Plan: **N/A**
Record No.: **2020-007152CUA**

APPLICANT INFORMATION

Applicant: **Edward Brown**
Company: **JHD Investments**
Address: **PO BOX 31248**
City, State: **Walnut Creek, CA**
Telephone: **510-400-6001**
Email: **ed.mat.brown@gmail.com**

PROJECT DESCRIPTION

The proposal is for a Conditional Use Authorization, pursuant to Planning Code Sections 202.2, 303, and 720 to establish an approximately 2,055 sq ft Cannabis Retail use on the first floor of the subject property within the Excelsior Outer Mission Street NCD (Neighborhood Commercial) Zoning District and 40-X Height and Bulk District. The Project does not include a request for authorization of on-site smoking or vaporizing of cannabis products.

A Planning Commission approval at the public hearing would constitute the Approval Action for the project for the purposes of CEQA, pursuant to San Francisco Administrative Code Section 31.04(h).

ADDITIONAL INFORMATION

Architectural Plans: To view the plans and related documents for the proposed project, visit <https://sfplanning.org/notices> and search the Project Address listed above. The plans and Department recommendation of the proposed project will also be available one week prior to the hearing through the Commission agenda at: <https://sfplanning.org/hearings>.

For more information, please contact Planning Department staff:

Planner: **Ryan Balba** Telephone: **628-652-7331** Email: **Ryan.Balba@sfgov.org**

General Information About Procedures

HEARING INFORMATION

You are receiving this notice because you are either a property owner or resident that is adjacent to the proposed project or are an interested party on record with the Planning Department. You are not required to take any action. For more information regarding the proposed work, or to express concerns about the project, please contact the Applicant or Planner listed on this notice as soon as possible. Additionally, you may wish to discuss the project with your neighbors and/or neighborhood association as they may already be aware of the project.

Please be advised that due to the COVID-19 emergency, the Planning Commission may be required to conduct this hearing remotely. Additional information may be found on the Department's website at www.sfplanning.org.

Members of the public are strongly encouraged to submit their comments in written form via email to commissions.secretary@sfgov.org by 5:00 pm the day before the hearing. These comments will be made a part of the official public record and will be brought to the attention of the person or persons conducting the public hearing.

Comments that cannot be delivered by 5:00 pm the day before the hearing may be taken directly to the hearing. Hearing location information can be found by visiting www.sfplanning.org. Comments received at commissions.secretary@sfgov.org after the deadline will be placed in the project file, but may not be brought to the attention of the Planning Commission at the public hearing.

Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Commission or the Department. All written or oral communications, including submitted personal contact information, may be made available to the public for inspection and copying upon request and may appear on the Department's website or in other public documents.

BUILDING PERMIT APPLICATION INFORMATION

Pursuant to Planning Code Section 311, the Building Permit Application for this proposal may also be subject to a 30-day notification of property owners and residents within 150-feet of the subject property. This notice covers the Section 311 notification requirements, if required.

APPEAL INFORMATION

An appeal of the approval (or denial) of a Conditional Use application and/or building permit application associated with the Conditional Use application may be made to the Board of Supervisors within 30 calendar days after the date of action by the Planning Commission pursuant to the provisions of Section 308.1(b). During the Shelter in Place Order, appeals can be submitted by email at bos.legislation@sfgov.org. For further information about appeals to the Board of Supervisors, including current fees, contact the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors at (415) 554-5184.

Pursuant to California Government Code Section 65009, if you challenge, in court, the decision of an entitlement or permit, the issues raised shall be limited to those raised in the public hearing or in written correspondence delivered to the Planning Commission prior to, or at, the public hearing.

ENVIRONMENTAL REVIEW

This project has undergone preliminary review pursuant to California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA). If, as part of this process, the Department's Environmental Review Officer has deemed this project to be exempt from further environmental review, an exemption determination has been prepared and can be obtained through the Exemption Map, on-line, at www.sfplanning.org. An appeal of the decision to exempt the proposed project from CEQA may be made to the Board of Supervisors within 30 calendar days after the project approval action identified on the determination. The procedures for filing an appeal of an exemption determination are available from the Clerk of the Board at City Hall, Room 244, or by calling (415) 554-5184.

Under CEQA, in a later court challenge, a litigant may be limited to raising only those issues previously raised at a hearing on the project or in written correspondence delivered to the Board of Supervisors, Planning Commission, Planning Department or other City board, commission or department at, or prior to, such hearing, or as part of the appeal hearing process on the CEQA decision.



Date: **5/21/2021**

The attached notice is provided under the Planning Code. It concerns property located at **5801 Mission St (2020-007152CUA)**. A hearing may occur, a right to request review may expire or a development approval may become final by **6/10/2021**.

To obtain information about this notice in Spanish, Chinese, or Filipino, please call **628.652.7550**. Please be advised that the Planning Department will require at least one business day to respond to any call.

附上的是三藩市城市規劃的法定通告。

此通告是與位於 **5801 Mission St (2020-007152CUA)**

的建築計劃有關。如果在 **6/10/2021** 之前無人申請聽證會來檢討這一個建築計劃，這計劃最終會被核准。

如果你需要用華語獲得關於這通告的細節，請電 **628.652.7550**。

然後，請按“8”及留言。城市規劃局將需要至少一個工作天回應。華語資料提供只是城市規劃局的一項服務，此項服務不會提供額外的權利或延伸任何要求檢討的期限。

El documento adjunto es requerido por el Código de Planeación (Planning Code) y es referente a la propiedad en la siguiente dirección: **5801 Mission St (2020-007152CUA)**. Es posible que ocurra una audiencia pública, que el derecho a solicitar una revisión se venza, o que la aprobación final de proyecto se complete el: **6/10/2021**.

Para obtener más información sobre esta notificación en español, llame al siguiente teléfono **628.652.7550**. Por favor tome en cuenta que le contestaremos su llamada en un periodo de 24 horas.

Ang nakalakip na paunawa ay ibinibigay alinsunod sa Planning Code. Tinatalakay nito ang propyedad na matatagpuan sa **5801 Mission St (2020-007152CUA)**. Maaring may paglilitis na mangyayari, may mapapasong paghiling ng isang pagrerepaso (review), o ang na-aprobahang pagpapatayo ay malapit nang ipagtibay sa **6/10/2021**.

Para humiling ng impormasyon tungkol sa paunawang ito sa Tagalog, paki tawagan ang **628.652.7550**. Mangyaring tandaan na mangangailangan ang Planning Department ng di-kukulangin sa isang araw ng pangangalakal para makasagot sa anumang tawag.



PLANNING COMMISSION MOTION NO. 20933

HEARING DATE: JUNE 10, 2021

Record No.: 2020-007152CUA
Project Address: 5801 Mission Street
Zoning: Excelsior Outer Mission Street Neighborhood Commercial Zoning District
Fringe Financial Services Restricted Use District
40-X Height and Bulk District
Block/Lot: 6472/001
Project Sponsor: Edward Brown
PO BOX 31248
Walnut Creek, CA 31248
Property Owner: 50% Phylis Kurzbard 1998 Living Trust
50% Generation-Skipping Trust of Al Furberg Living Trust
2343 39th Avenue
San Francisco, California 94122
Staff Contact: Ryan Balba – (628) 652-7331
Ryan.Balba@sfgov.org

ADOPTING FINDINGS RELATING TO THE APPROVAL OF CONDITIONAL USE AUTHORIZATION PURSUANT TO SECTIONS 202.2, 303, AND 720 OF THE PLANNING CODE TO ALLOW A CANNABIS RETAIL USE MEASURING APPROXIMATELY 2,055 SQUARE FEET, WITH NO ON-SITE SMOKING OR VAPORIZING OF CANNABIS PRODUCTS, ON THE FIRST FLOOR OF AN EXISTING TWO-STORY MIXED USE BUILDING AT 5801 MISSION STREET (ASSESSOR'S BLOCK 6742 LOT 001) WITHIN THE EXCELSIOR OUTER MISSION STREET NEIGHBORHOOD COMMERCIAL ZONING DISTRICT, THE FRINGE FINANCIAL SERVICES RESTRICTED USE DISTRICT, AND A 40-X HEIGHT AND BULK DISTRICT, AND ADOPTING FINDINGS UNDER THE CALIFORNIA ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY ACT.

PREAMBLE

On October 10, 2020, Edward Brown (hereinafter "Project Sponsor") filed Application No. 2020-007152CUA (hereinafter "Application") with the Planning Department (hereinafter "Department") for a Conditional Use Authorization to establish a Cannabis Retail use (hereinafter "Project") at 5801 Mission Street, Block 6472 Lot 001 (hereinafter "Project Site").

The Project is exempt from the California Environmental Quality Act (“CEQA”) under a Common Sense categorical exemption.

On June 10, 2021, the Commission conducted a duly noticed public hearing at a regularly scheduled meeting on Conditional Use Authorization Application No. 2020-007152CUA.

The Planning Department Commission Secretary is the Custodian of Records; the File for Record No. 2020-007152CUA is located at 49 South Van Ness Avenue, Suite 1400, San Francisco, California.

The Commission has heard and considered the testimony presented to it at the public hearing and has further considered written materials and oral testimony presented on behalf of the applicant, Department staff, and other interested parties.

MOVED, that the Commission hereby authorizes the Conditional Use Authorization as requested in Application No. 2020-007152CUA, subject to the conditions contained in “EXHIBIT A” of this motion, based on the following findings:

FINDINGS

Having reviewed the materials identified in the preamble above, and having heard all testimony and arguments, this Commission finds, concludes, and determines as follows:

- 1. The above recitals are accurate and constitute findings of this Commission.**
- 2. Project Description.** The Project would establish a Cannabis Retail Use measuring 2,055 square feet in a vacant commercial space within a two-story, mixed-use building. The Project does not include a request for authorization of on-site smoking or vaporizing of cannabis products. No changes to the building exterior or envelope are proposed. New business signage will be applied for under a separate permit.
- 3. Site Description and Present Use.** The Project is located on an approximately 11,602 square foot corner parcel fronting Mission Street on the south side between Acton and Oliver Streets. The site is developed with a two-story building containing five commercial spaces at the ground floor and six residential units above. The commercial space was last occupied by a boutique retail shop.
- 4. Surrounding Properties and Neighborhood.** The surrounding neighborhood is dominantly comprised of single family homes. However, there are many fully commercial buildings and mixed-use residential buildings along the corridor. The two directly adjacent properties are a residential property and a religious institution.
- 5. Public Outreach and Comments.** The Sponsor conducted outreach under the Office of Cannabis Good Neighbor Policy, which includes providing a mailed notice of the Project to all property owners and occupants within 300-feet of the site and conducting outreach meetings. Additionally, the Project conducted a pre-application meeting, an individual neighborhood group meeting on April 19, 2021, and a general neighborhood meeting on April 21, 2021. The sponsor has also conducted private meetings with local business owners in the neighborhood, as well as law enforcement. The Department has received forty-three comments expressing support for the Project and seven comments expressing opposition to

the Project.

- A. Support for the Project expressed support for the project team, and support for the business' commitment to local hiring, community support initiatives, and parking and traffic plans. Supervisor Matt Haney also submitted a letter of support for the Project, commending the Equity Applicant for her work with the community and previously establishing a model Medical Cannabis Dispensary within District 6.
- B. Opposition to the Project expressed concerns with an increase in crime, concern for youth access to cannabis products, and concerns with traffic, parking, and sidewalk crowding complications.

6. Planning Code Compliance. The Commission finds that the Project is consistent with the relevant provisions of the Planning Code in the following manner:

- A. **Use.** The establishment of a Cannabis Retail use in the Excelsior Outer Mission Street Neighborhood Commercial Zoning District requires a Conditional Use Authorization pursuant to Planning Code Section 720.

The Project Sponsor is requesting a Conditional Use Authorization to establish a Cannabis Retail use in the Excelsior Outer Mission Street Neighborhood Commercial Zoning District.

- B. **Use Size.** The Excelsior Outer Mission NCD permits Non-Residential uses at up to 5,999 square feet per use and requires a Conditional Use Authorization for individual uses above 6,000 square feet in size.

The Project would provide a 2,055 sq ft Cannabis Retail use which is compliant with this requirement.

- C. **600-Foot Buffer Rule:** Planning Code Section 202.2(a)(5)(B) states that the parcel containing the Cannabis Retail Use shall not be located within a 600-foot radius of a parcel containing an existing public or private School or within a 600-foot radius of a parcel for which a valid permit from the City's Office of Cannabis for a Cannabis Retailer or a Medicinal Cannabis Retailer has been issued. There shall be no minimum radius from a Cannabis Retail Use to an existing day care center or youth center unless a State licensing authority specifies a minimum radius.

The subject parcel is not located within a 600-foot radius of a parcel containing an existing private or public school or within a 600-foot radius of a parcel for which a valid permit from the City's Office of Cannabis for a Cannabis Retailer or a Medicinal Cannabis Retailer has been issued.

- D. **Hours of Operation.** There are no limits on hours of operation in the Mixed-Use General Zoning District. State law limits hours of operation for Cannabis Retailers to between 6am and 10pm.

No limit on hours of operation is set at the local level. The Project is required under State law to cease operation between 10pm and 6am.

- E. **Street Frontage in Neighborhood Commercial and Mixed Use Districts.** Section 145.1 of the Planning Code requires that within Neighborhood Commercial and Mixed Use Districts, space for active uses shall be provided within the first 25 feet of building depth on the ground floor and 15 feet on floors

above from any facade facing a street at least 30 feet in width. In addition, the floors of street-fronting interior spaces housing non-residential active uses and lobbies shall be as close as possible to the level of the adjacent sidewalk at the principal entrance to these spaces. Frontages with active uses that must be fenestrated with transparent windows and doorways for no less than 60 percent of the street frontage at the ground level and allow visibility to the inside of the building. The use of dark or mirrored glass shall not count towards the required transparent area. Any decorative railings or grillwork, other than wire mesh, which is placed in front of or behind ground floor windows, shall be at least 75 percent open to perpendicular view. Rolling or sliding security gates shall consist of open grillwork rather than solid material, so as to provide visual interest to pedestrians when the gates are closed, and to permit light to pass through mostly unobstructed. Gates, when both open and folded or rolled as well as the gate mechanism, shall be recessed within, or laid flush with, the building facade.

The subject commercial space complies with this requirement and shall be maintained in compliance with this Section. No significant modification to the front façade is proposed, and the interior changes do not impact compliance with this Section.

7. Conditional Use Findings. Planning Code Section 303 establishes criteria for the Planning Commission to consider when reviewing applications for Conditional Use authorization. On balance, the project complies with said criteria in that:

- A. The proposed new uses and building, at the size and intensity contemplated and at the proposed location, will provide a development that is necessary or desirable, and compatible with, the neighborhood or the community.

The Project provides a use that is necessary and desirable, and compatible with the surrounding neighborhood and community, in that it activates an existing retail space at the same size of the existing space, bringing additional goods and services to the local area. By activating a currently vacant commercial space, the Project will provide jobs and street level activation to the neighborhood. The proposed business places ID check and waiting areas at the front of the businesses, limiting the visibility of cannabis products and sales from the street while maintaining street level activation. In doing so, it is contextually appropriate and compatible with the surrounding neighborhood and community.

- B. The proposed project will not be detrimental to the health, safety, convenience or general welfare of persons residing or working in the vicinity. There are no features of the project that could be detrimental to the health, safety or convenience of those residing or working the area, in that:

- (1) Nature of proposed site, including its size and shape, and the proposed size, shape and arrangement of structures;

The height and visible bulk of the existing building will remain the same. The Project will not alter the existing appearance or character of the project vicinity.

- (2) The accessibility and traffic patterns for persons and vehicles, the type and volume of such traffic, and the adequacy of proposed off-street parking and loading;

The Planning Code does not require parking or loading for any uses, and the site is very well served by transit services.

- (3) The safeguards afforded to prevent noxious or offensive emissions such as noise, glare, dust and odor;

The Project proposes a Cannabis Retail use which does not include an on-site smoking or vaporizing space, and this approval is conditioned to prohibit smoking or vaporizing of cannabis products. As such, there are safeguards to prevent noxious or offensive emission from the site.

- (4) Treatment given, as appropriate, to such aspects as landscaping, screening, open spaces, parking and loading areas, service areas, lighting and signs;

The Project Site contains an existing parking lot at the rear of the site which is not modified by the proposed Project. The parking lot is screened from view by an existing wall.

- C. That the use as proposed will comply with the applicable provisions of the Planning Code and will not adversely affect the General Plan.

The Project complies with all relevant requirements and standards of the Planning Code and is consistent with objectives and policies of the General Plan as detailed below.

- D. That the use as proposed would provide development that is in conformity with the purpose of the applicable Zoning District.

The Excelsior Outer Mission Street Neighborhood Commercial District is intended to provide convenience goods and services to the surrounding neighborhoods as well as limited comparison shopping goods for a wider market. By providing a new neighborhood serving retail activity within an existing, unutilized space, the Project furthers the stated purpose of the Zoning District.

- 8. Additional Conditional Use Findings for Cannabis Retail.** Planning Code Section 303(w) outlines additional findings for the Commission when reviewing proposals for new Cannabis Retail establishments. The Commission shall consider “the geographic distribution of Cannabis Retail Uses throughout the City, the concentration of Cannabis Retail and Medical Cannabis Dispensary Uses within the general proximity of the proposed Cannabis Retail Use, the balance of other goods and services available within the general proximity of the proposed Cannabis Retail Use, any increase in youth access and exposure to cannabis at nearby facilities that primarily serve youth, and any proposed measures to counterbalance any such increase.”

In the December 2019 report titled “Cannabis in San Francisco: A Review Following Adult Use Legalization,” the City Controller’s Office identified the Mission and South of Market Neighborhoods as more concentrated with Cannabis Retail uses in comparison to the balance of San Francisco. The report recommended no numeric or geographic limits to existing or in-process cannabis business permits and recommended that potential future legislation to address the imbalance be applied to new applicants rather than the existing

applicant pipeline. The proposed Project would add a new Cannabis Retail outlet to the Excelsior Outer Mission neighborhood, creating a more balanced geographic distribution of these uses.

The closest approved Cannabis Retail location to this site is 5260 Mission Street, dba Mission Organic Services, approximately 3,143' from 5801 Mission Street.

Within the general proximity of 5801 Mission Street, there is a mix of residential and small commercial uses. Cannabis Retail is one of the few businesses that is continuing to expand during the pandemic induced economic crisis (though many existing sites, particularly existing Medical Cannabis Dispensaries, are closing permanently). By creating a new retail use, the Project can serve to support the development of other retail and service uses in the general vicinity.

Key facilities in the surrounding neighborhood that serve youth are the Chiquitos Preschool, Dennis Language Services (language school), and Our Kids First (after-school program), all of which are within 600 feet from the proposed facility. Other facilities Little Steps-Pasitos (day care), Alice's Day Care, Longfellow Elementary School, Lincoln Park Community Center, San Francisco Christian School, George Washington Elementary School, and Pershing Preschool, which are all at least 600 feet from the proposed facility. Cannabis facilities are highly regulated, and it is more likely that youth would gain easy access to cannabis products through the unregulated market, which remains a large and dominant force in the market of San Francisco (partially due to the ease of cultivating cannabis products within a home and partially due to the slow rate of permitting of licensed locations in the City). By providing a regulated, legal market within the neighborhood, the proposed business would further discourage unregulated sales, making youth access to cannabis products more restricted.

- 9. General Plan Compliance.** The Project is, on balance, consistent with the following Objectives and Policies of the General Plan:

COMMERCE AND INDUSTRY ELEMENT

Objectives and Policies

OBJECTIVE 2

MAINTAIN AND ENHANCE A SOUND AND DIVERSE ECONOMIC BASE AND FISCAL STRUCTURE FOR THE CITY.

Policy 2.1:

Seek to retain existing commercial and industrial activity and to attract new such activity to the city.

OBJECTIVE 3:

PROVIDE EXPANDED EMPLOYMENT OPPORTUNITIES FOR CITY RESIDENTS, PARTICULARLY THE UNEMPLOYED AND ECONOMICALLY DISADVANTAGED.

Policy 3.1:

Promote the attraction, retention and expansion of commercial and industrial firms which provide employment improvement opportunities for unskilled and semi-skilled workers.

Policy 3.2:

Promote measures designed to increase the number of San Francisco jobs held by San Francisco residents.

OBJECTIVE 4

IMPROVE THE VIABILITY OF EXISTING INDUSTRY IN THE CITY AND THE ATTRACTIVENESS OF THE CITY AS A LOCATION FOR NEW INDUSTRY.

Policy 4.8:

Provide for the adequate security of employees and property.

OBJECTIVE 6

MAINTAIN AND STRENGTHEN VIABLE NEIGHBORHOOD COMMERCIAL AREAS EASILY ACCESSIBLE TO CITY RESIDENTS.

Policy 6.2

Promote economically vital neighborhood commercial districts which foster small business enterprises and entrepreneurship, and which are responsive to economic and technological innovation in the market place and society.

The Cannabis industry provides great potential for the City in that it is a rapidly expanding industry, with very highly developed equity ownership, hiring, and procurement requirements, that employs blue-collar workers with wages and benefits typically far higher than other types of retail services. The City Controller's Office December 2019 report titled, "[Cannabis in San Francisco: A Review Following Adult Use Legalization](#)," found that crime rates, particularly property crimes, generally decreased in the areas immediately surrounding Cannabis storefronts and dispensaries, compared to an overall increase Citywide. The uses are extremely regulated both at the State and local level, and following any Planning Department approval of a site, additional outreach still occurs to develop a Good Neighbor Policy, Security Plans (with review by SFPD), and Odor Mitigation Plans. By activating existing retail spaces in the City (which suffer from high vacancy rates), employing many blue-collar workers, furthering the City's equity goals, and providing alternative medicines that are recognized as helping many residents suffering through pain, Cannabis Retail projects further many of the goals of the City's General Plan and area plans.

10. Planning Code Section 101.1(b) establishes eight priority-planning policies and requires review of permits for consistency with said policies. On balance, the project complies with said policies in that:

- A. That existing neighborhood-serving retail uses be preserved and enhanced and future opportunities for resident employment in and ownership of such businesses be enhanced.

The Project site will provide a new retail tenant and new use for the neighborhood. The addition of this business will enhance foot traffic to the benefit neighboring businesses. Cannabis is one of the fastest growing job categories in the country and one of the few retail uses that is burgeoning even in the face of e-commerce.

- B. That existing housing and neighborhood character be conserved and protected in order to preserve the cultural and economic diversity of our neighborhoods.

No housing is impacted by the Project. The building exterior is maintained, preserving neighborhood character.

- C. That the City's supply of affordable housing be preserved and enhanced,

The Project has no effect on housing and does not convert housing to a non-residential use.

- D. That commuter traffic not impede MUNI transit service or overburden our streets or neighborhood parking.

The Project site is extremely well-served by transit. It is presumable that the employees would commute by transit or use the available off-street parking spot at the rear thereby mitigating possible effects on street parking. Providing access to cannabis products broadly in the City reduces the need for medical or adult use customers to transit across neighborhoods to purchase products.

- E. That a diverse economic base be maintained by protecting our industrial and service sectors from displacement due to commercial office development, and that future opportunities for resident employment and ownership in these sectors be enhanced.

There is no commercial office development associated with the proposed project and there would be no displacement of any existing industrial or service businesses in the area.

- F. That the City achieve the greatest possible preparedness to protect against injury and loss of life in an earthquake.

Any construction associated with Project will be designed and will be constructed to conform to the structural and seismic safety requirements of the Building Code. This proposal will not impact the property's ability to withstand an earthquake.

- G. That landmarks and historic buildings be preserved.

No impact to the Historic Resource status is caused by the Project, which proposes interior tenant improvements and the installation of a new security camera and ADA push button on the front façade.

- H. That our parks and open space and their access to sunlight and vistas be protected from development.

The project will have no negative impact on existing parks and open spaces. The Project does not have an impact on open spaces.

11. The Project is consistent with and would promote the general and specific purposes of the Code provided under Section 101.1(b) in that, as designed, the Project would contribute to the character and stability of the neighborhood and would constitute a beneficial development.
12. The Commission hereby finds that approval of the Conditional Use Authorization would promote the health, safety and welfare of the City.

DECISION

That based upon the Record, the submissions by the Applicant, the staff of the Department and other interested parties, the oral testimony presented to this Commission at the public hearings, and all other written materials submitted by all parties, the Commission hereby **APPROVES Conditional Use Authorization Application No. 2020-007152CUA** subject to the following conditions attached hereto as "EXHIBIT A" in general conformance with plans on file, dated April 19, 2021, and stamped "EXHIBIT B", which is incorporated herein by reference as though fully set forth.

APPEAL AND EFFECTIVE DATE OF MOTION: Any aggrieved person may appeal this Conditional Use Authorization to the Board of Supervisors within thirty (30) days after the date of this Motion. The effective date of this Motion shall be the date of this Motion if not appealed (after the 30-day period has expired) OR the date of the decision of the Board of Supervisors if appealed to the Board of Supervisors. For further information, please contact the Board of Supervisors at (415) 554-5184, City Hall, Room 244, 1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, San Francisco, CA 94102.

Protest of Fee or Exaction: You may protest any fee or exaction subject to Government Code Section 66000 that is imposed as a condition of approval by following the procedures set forth in Government Code Section 66020. The protest must satisfy the requirements of Government Code Section 66020(a) and must be filed within 90 days of the date of the first approval or conditional approval of the development referencing the challenged fee or exaction. For purposes of Government Code Section 66020, the date of imposition of the fee shall be the date of the earliest discretionary approval by the City of the subject development.

If the City has not previously given Notice of an earlier discretionary approval of the project, the Planning Commission's adoption of this Motion, Resolution, Discretionary Review Action or the Zoning Administrator's Variance Decision Letter constitutes the approval or conditional approval of the development and the City hereby gives **NOTICE** that the 90-day protest period under Government Code Section 66020 has begun. If the City has already given Notice that the 90-day approval period has begun for the subject development, then this document does not re-commence the 90-day approval period.

I hereby certify that the Planning Commission ADOPTED the foregoing Motion on June 10, 2021.



Jonas P. Ionin

Digitally signed by
Jonas P. Ionin
Date: 2021.06.17
16:47:03 -0700

Jonas P. Ionin
Commission Secretary

AYES: Diamond, Imperial, Moore, Koppel
NAYS: Tanner, Fung
ABSENT: Chan
ADOPTED: June 10, 2021

EXHIBIT A

Authorization

This authorization is for a conditional use to allow a Cannabis Retail Use located at 5801 Mission Street, Block 6472, and Lot 001, pursuant to Planning Code Sections 202.2, 303, and 720, within the Excelsior Outer Mission Street Neighborhood Commercial Zoning District, the Fringe Financial Services Restricted Use District, and a 40-X Height and Bulk District; in general conformance with plans, dated April 19, 2021, and stamped “EXHIBIT B” included in the docket for Record No. **2020-007152CUA** and subject to conditions of approval reviewed and approved by the Commission on June 10, 2021 under Motion No. **20933**. This authorization and the conditions contained herein run with the property and not with a particular Project Sponsor, business, or operator.

Recordation of Conditions of Approval

Prior to the issuance of the building permit or commencement of use for the Project the Zoning Administrator shall approve and order the recordation of a Notice in the Official Records of the Recorder of the City and County of San Francisco for the subject property. This Notice shall state that the project is subject to the conditions of approval contained herein and reviewed and approved by the Planning Commission on June 10, 2021 under Motion No. **20933**.

Printing of Conditions of Approval on Plans

The conditions of approval under the 'Exhibit A' of this Planning Commission Motion No. **20933** shall be reproduced on the Index Sheet of construction plans submitted with the site or building permit application for the Project. The Index Sheet of the construction plans shall reference to the Conditional Use authorization and any subsequent amendments or modifications.

Severability

The Project shall comply with all applicable City codes and requirements. If any clause, sentence, section or any part of these conditions of approval is for any reason held to be invalid, such invalidity shall not affect or impair other remaining clauses, sentences, or sections of these conditions. This decision conveys no right to construct, or to receive a building permit. “Project Sponsor” shall include any subsequent responsible party.

Changes and Modifications

Changes to the approved plans may be approved administratively by the Zoning Administrator. Significant changes and modifications of conditions shall require Planning Commission approval of a new Conditional Use authorization.

CONDITIONS OF APPROVAL, COMPLIANCE, MONITORING, AND REPORTING

Performance

- 1. Validity.** The authorization and right vested by virtue of this action is valid for three (3) years from the effective date of the Motion. The Department of Building Inspection shall have issued a Building Permit or Site Permit to construct the project and/or commence the approved use within this three-year period.

For information about compliance, contact Code Enforcement, Planning Department at 415-575-6863, www.sfplanning.org

- 2. Expiration and Renewal.** Should a Building or Site Permit be sought after the three (3) year period has lapsed, the project sponsor must seek a renewal of this Authorization by filing an application for an amendment to the original Authorization or a new application for Authorization. Should the project sponsor decline to so file, and decline to withdraw the permit application, the Commission shall conduct a public hearing in order to consider the revocation of the Authorization. Should the Commission not revoke the Authorization following the closure of the public hearing, the Commission shall determine the extension of time for the continued validity of the Authorization.

For information about compliance, contact Code Enforcement, Planning Department at 415-575-6863, www.sfplanning.org

- 3. Diligent Pursuit.** Once a site or Building Permit has been issued, construction must commence within the timeframe required by the Department of Building Inspection and be continued diligently to completion. Failure to do so shall be grounds for the Commission to consider revoking the approval if more than three (3) years have passed since this Authorization was approved.

For information about compliance, contact Code Enforcement, Planning Department at 415-575-6863, www.sfplanning.org

- 4. Extension.** All time limits in the preceding three paragraphs may be extended at the discretion of the Zoning Administrator where implementation of the project is delayed by a public agency, an appeal or a legal challenge and only by the length of time for which such public agency, appeal or challenge has caused delay.

For information about compliance, contact Code Enforcement, Planning Department at 415-575-6863, www.sfplanning.org

- 5. Conformity with Current Law.** No application for Building Permit, Site Permit, or other entitlement shall be approved unless it complies with all applicable provisions of City Codes in effect at the time of such approval.

For information about compliance, contact Code Enforcement, Planning Department at 415-575-6863, www.sfplanning.org

6. **Additional Project Authorization.** The Project Sponsor shall obtain operating licenses from the City's Office of Cannabis and the State of California prior to commencing any cannabis sales or other activities per Planning Code Section 202.2(a)(5).

For information about compliance, contact Code Enforcement, Planning Department at 415-575-6863, www.sf-planning.org

Design – Compliance at Plan Stage

7. **Transparency and Fenestration.** Pursuant to Planning Code Section 145.1, the site shall be maintained with transparent windows and doorways for no less than 60 percent of the street frontage at the ground level and allow visibility to the inside of the building. The use of dark or mirrored glass shall not count towards the required transparent area. Any decorative railings or grillwork, other than wire mesh, which is placed in front of or behind ground floor windows, shall be at least 75 percent open to perpendicular view. Rolling or sliding security gates shall consist of open grillwork rather than solid material, so as to provide visual interest to pedestrians when the gates are closed, and to permit light to pass through mostly unobstructed. Gates, when both open and folded or rolled as well as the gate mechanism, shall be recessed within, or laid flush with, the building facade.

For information about compliance, contact Code Enforcement, Planning Department at 415-575-6863, www.sf-planning.org

8. **Garbage, Composting and Recycling Storage.** Space for the collection and storage of garbage, composting, and recycling shall be provided within enclosed areas on the property and clearly labeled and illustrated on the building permit plans. Space for the collection and storage of recyclable and compostable materials that meets the size, location, accessibility and other standards specified by the San Francisco Recycling Program shall be provided at the ground level of the buildings.

For information about compliance, contact the Case Planner, Planning Department at 415-558-6378, www.sf-planning.org

9. **Signage.** Signs and awnings shall be subject to review and approval by Planning Department.

For information about compliance, contact the Case Planner, Planning Department at 415-558-6378, www.sf-planning.org

Monitoring - After Entitlement

10. **Enforcement.** Violation of any of the Planning Department conditions of approval contained in this Motion or of any other provisions of Planning Code applicable to this Project shall be subject to the enforcement procedures and administrative penalties set forth under Planning Code Section 176 or Section 176.1. The Planning Department may also refer the violation complaints to other city departments and agencies for appropriate enforcement action under their jurisdiction.

For information about compliance, contact Code Enforcement, Planning Department at 415-575-6863, www.sf-planning.org

- 11. Revocation due to Violation of Conditions.** Should implementation of this Project result in complaints from interested property owners, residents, or commercial lessees which are not resolved by the Project Sponsor and found to be in violation of the Planning Code and/or the specific conditions of approval for the Project as set forth in Exhibit A of this Motion, the Zoning Administrator shall refer such complaints to the Commission, after which it may hold a public hearing on the matter to consider revocation of this authorization.

For information about compliance, contact Code Enforcement, Planning Department at 415-575-6863, www.sfplanning.org

Operation

- 12. Sidewalk Maintenance.** The Project Sponsor shall maintain the main entrance to the building and all sidewalks abutting the subject property in a clean and sanitary condition in compliance with the Department of Public Works Streets and Sidewalk Maintenance Standards.

For information about compliance, contact Bureau of Street Use and Mapping, Department of Public Works, 415-695-2017, <http://sfdpw.org>

- 13. Community Liaison.** Prior to issuance of a building permit to construct the project and implement the approved use, the Project Sponsor shall appoint a community liaison officer to deal with the issues of concern to owners and occupants of nearby properties. The Project Sponsor shall provide the Zoning Administrator and all registered neighborhood groups for the area with written notice of the name, business address, and telephone number of the community liaison. Should the contact information change, the Zoning Administrator and registered neighborhood groups shall be made aware of such change. The community liaison shall report to the Zoning Administrator what issues, if any, are of concern to the community and what issues have not been resolved by the Project Sponsor.

For information about compliance, contact Code Enforcement, Planning Department at 415-575-6863, www.sfplanning.org

- 14. On-Site Consumption.** On-site consumption of packaged or prepared cannabis products is permitted as an accessory use to this Cannabis Retail use. On-site smoking or vaporizing of cannabis products is not permitted.

For information about compliance, contact Code Enforcement, Planning Department at 415-575-6863, www.sfplanning.org

5801 MISSION ST SAN FRANCISCO

APN: 6472 001
 BUILDING HEIGHT: 27'-6"
 EXISTING OCCUPANCY GROUP: B
 PROPOSED OCCUPANCY GROUP: B
 CONSTRUCTION TYPE: IV
 ZONING DISTRICT: EXCEL SIOR OUTER MISSION STREET (NCD)
 HEIGHT LIMITS: 40'-X


BUILD AREA (GROUND FLOOR): 1413 SQFT
 (ATTIC FLOOR): 642 SQFT
 TOTAL: 2055 SQFT

DRAWING INDEX:
 A-0 COVER SHEET
 A-1 (E) 1st FLOOR PLAN AND DEMOLITION PLAN
 A-2 PROPOSED 1st FLOOR PLAN & (E) ATTIC FLOOR PLAN
 A-3 ELEVATION


SITE PICTURE



ASSESSOR MAP



MISSION STREET



1 SITE PLAN
 SCALE 1/32"=1'-0"



Joyful Design & Management Group

Tel: 1-415-320-9788
 E mail:
 Stephantong9788@gmail.com

Owner

Project:

5801 MISSION ST
 SAN FRANCISCO

Title:

COVER SHEET

Revision 4/22/2021

Sheet:

A-0



Joyful Design & Management Group

Tel: 1-415-320-9788
E mail:
Stephentong9788@gmail.com

Owner

Project:

5801 MISSION ST
SAN FRANCISCO



Title:

(E) 1st FLOOR &
DEMOLITION PLAN

Revision 4/22/2021


Sheet:

A-1







GENERAL NOTES:
 STOREFRONT MAINTAINED AS TRANSPARENT AS REQUIRED UNDER SECTION 145.3




EXISTING STORE FRONT ELEVATION



PROPOSED STORE FRONT ELEVATION



2 WIRELESS SECURITY CAMERA ATTACH DETAIL



3 ADA PUSH BUTTON CONNECTION DETAILS



Joyful Design & Management Group
 Tel: 1-415-320-9788
 E mail: Stephantong9788@gmail.com

Owner

Project:

5801 MISSION ST
 SAN FRANCISCO

Title:

ELEVATION

Revision 4/22/2021

Sheet:

A-3



NEIGHBORHOOD APPEAL FOR:

2020-007152CUA

BUILDING PERMIT: 6472/001

DATE OF DECISION: JUNE 10, 2021

**PROPERTY OWNER
SIGNATURE SECTION**

City Planning Commission

Case No. 2020-0071520VA

The undersigned declare that they are hereby subscribers to this Notice of Appeal and are owners of property affected by the proposed amendment or conditional use (that is, owners of property within the area that is the subject of the application for amendment or conditional use, or within a radius of 300 feet of the exterior boundaries of the property.

If ownership has changed and assessment roll has not been amended, we attach proof of ownership change. If signing for a firm or corporation, proof of authorization to sign on behalf of the organization is attached.

	Street Address, property owned	Assessor's Block & Lot	Printed Name of Owner(s)	Original Signature of Owner(s)
1.	<u>5825 Mission St</u>	<u>6472/002</u>	<u>Donald Green</u>	<u>[Signature]</u>
2.	<u>5833 Mission St</u>	<u>6472/027</u>	<u>Donald Green</u>	<u>[Signature]</u>
3.	<u>66 Oliver</u>	<u>6472/005</u>	<u>Donald Green</u>	<u>[Signature]</u>
4.	<u>5825 Mission</u>	<u>6472/002</u>	<u>Roderick V. Gittens</u>	<u>[Signature]</u>
5.	<u>5833 Mission St</u>	<u>6472/027</u>	<u>Roderick V. Gittens</u>	<u>[Signature]</u>
6.	<u>66 Oliver St</u>	<u>6472/005</u>	<u>Roderick V. Gittens</u>	<u>[Signature]</u>
7.	<u>50 Oliver St</u>	<u>6472/003</u>	<u>Roderick V. Gittens</u>	<u>[Signature]</u>
8.	_____	_____	_____	_____
9.	_____	_____	_____	_____
10.	_____	_____	_____	_____
11.	_____	_____	_____	_____
12.	_____	_____	_____	_____
13.	_____	_____	_____	_____
14.	_____	_____	_____	_____
15.	_____	_____	_____	_____
16.	_____	_____	_____	_____
17.	_____	_____	_____	_____
18.	_____	_____	_____	_____
19.	_____	_____	_____	_____
20.	_____	_____	_____	_____
21.	_____	_____	_____	_____
22.	_____	_____	_____	_____



NEIGHBORHOOD APPEAL FOR:

2020-007152CUA

BUILDING PERMIT: 6472/001

DATE OF DECISION: JUNE 10, 2021

**SAN FRANCISCO CHRISTIAN
CENTER
SAN FRANCISCO REVIVAL CENTER**

**BACKGROUND DOCUMENTATION
FOR THE FOLLOWING
PROPERTIES:**

1. 5825 MISSION STREET
2. 5833 MISSION STREET
3. 50 OLIVER STREET
4. 60 OLIVER STREET

#293313 A460777

FILED
In the office of the Secretary of State
of the State of California

JL

CERTIFICATE OF AMENDMENT
OF
ARTICLES OF INCORPORATION

APR 27 1995

Bill Jones
BILL JONES, Secretary of State

REV. DONALD E. GREEN AND LOUIS N. JOHNSON certify that:

1. They are the President and the Secretary, respectively, of SAN FRANCISCO REVIVAL CENTER, a NON-PROFIT CORPORATION, a California Corporation.
2. The Articles of Incorporation of this Corporation are amended in full to read as set forth within the attached Exhibit "A".
3. The foregoing Amendment and Restatement of Articles of Incorporation have been duly approved by the Board of Directors.
4. The foregoing Amendment and Restatement of Articles of Incorporation have been duly approved by the required vote of members.

We further declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the matters set forth in this certificate are true and correct of our own knowledge.

DATED: January 20, 1995

Rev Donald E Green
Reverend Donald E. Green, President

Louis N. Johnson
Louis N. Johnson, Secretary

EXHIBIT "A"
RESTATED AND AMENDED
ARTICLES OF INCORPORATION

I

The name of this corporation is **SAN FRANCISCO CHRISTIAN CENTER.**

II

- A. This corporation is a religious corporation and is not organized for the private gain of any person. It is organized under the non-profit Religious Corporation Law exclusively for religious purposes.
- B. To propagate the Gospel of Jesus Christ through evangelizing and missionary endeavors. The specific purpose of this corporation is to nurture and care for people, and help them find fulfillment and purpose in life through the resources provided by Jesus Christ.

III

This corporation elects to be governed by all the provisions of the Non-Profit Corporation Law of 1980 not otherwise applicable to it under Part 5 thereof.

IV

- A. This corporation is organized and operated exclusively for religious purposes within the meaning of Section 501(c) (3) of the Internal Revenue Code.
- B. No substantial part of the activities of this corporation shall consist of carrying on propaganda, or otherwise attempting to influence legislation, and the corporation shall not participate or intervene in any political campaign (including the publishing or distribution of statements) on behalf of any candidate for public office.

V

The property of this corporation is irrevocably dedicated to religious purposes and no part of the net income or assets of this corporation shall ever inure to the benefit of any director, officer or member thereof or to the benefit of any private person. Upon dissolution or winding up of the corporation by its members, its assets remaining after payment, or provision for payment of all debts and liabilities of this corporation shall be distributed to a non-profit fund, foundation or corporation which is organized and operated exclusively for religious purposes and which has established its tax exempt status under Section 501(c) (3) of the Internal Revenue Code.

DATED: Jan. 20, 1995

Rev Donald E Green
(Signature of Incorporator)

Reverend Donald E. Green
(Typed Name of Incorporator)



**California Secretary of State
Electronic Filing**



Corporation - Statement of Information

Entity Name: SAN FRANCISCO CHRISTIAN CENTER

Entity (File) Number: C0293313
File Date: 09/03/2020
Entity Type: Corporation
Jurisdiction: CALIFORNIA
Document ID: GJ24452

Detailed Filing Information

1. Entity Name: SAN FRANCISCO CHRISTIAN CENTER

2. Business Addresses:
 - a. Street Address of Principal Office in California: 5845 Mission St.
San Francisco, California 94112
United States of America

 - b. Mailing Address: 5845 Mission St.
San Francisco, California 94112
United States of America

3. Officers:
 - a. Chief Executive Officer: Roderick V Gittens
5845 Mission St.
San Francisco, California 94112
United States of America

 - b. Secretary: Cinda Sullivan
2001 Chipman St.
Alameda, California 94501
United States of America

Document ID: GJ24452



California Secretary of State Electronic Filing

Officers (Cont'd):

- c. Chief Financial Officer: Priscilla Wilder-Watson
2201 Sea Shell Dr.
Richmond, California 94804
United States of America
4. Agent for Service of Process: Cynthia Kay Dillard
5845 Mission St.
San Francisco, California 94112
United States of America

By signing this document, I certify that the information is true and correct and that I am authorized by California law to sign.

Electronic Signature: CDillard

Use bizfile.sos.ca.gov for online filings, searches, business records, and resources.

Document ID: GJ24452



ABOUT SFCC

San Francisco Christian Center is a fellowship of Spirit-filled believers committed to Christ-honoring worship, Kingdom-seeking prayer, Bible-centered discipleship, worldwide evangelism and local community service.

First known as New Testament Temple, the church was founded by Rev. William Keller in 1953 and was succeeded in 1954 by Rev. H.R. Bagwell as senior pastor. Rev. Bagwell changed the name to San Francisco Revival Center. Bishop Donald E. Green became senior pastor in 1966, changing the name to San Francisco Christian Center and served for 44 years before retiring in 2010. Today, Rev. Roderick Gittens leads the non-denominational, charismatic church located in the Outer Mission District.

At SFCC, celebration and adoration of the Lord is demonstrated through music, singing, prayer, drama, and the ministry of God's Word. The desire is that each person attending services at San Francisco Christian Center experience spiritual refreshing that will provoke a godly lifestyle.



NEIGHBORHOOD APPEAL FOR:

2020-007152CUA

BUILDING PERMIT: 6472/001

DATE OF DECISION: JUNE 10, 2021

**INGLESIA DE CRISTO MITSRS
COSECHA**

**BACKGROUND DOCUMENTATION
FOR TH FOLLOWING
PROPERTIES:**


- 1 LAWRENCE AVENUE

City Planning Commission

Case No. 2020-007152 CUA

The undersigned declare that they are hereby subscribers to this Notice of Appeal and are owners of property affected by the proposed amendment or conditional use (that is, owners of property within the area that is the subject of the application for amendment or conditional use, or within a radius of 300 feet of the exterior boundaries of the property.

If ownership has changed and assessment roll has not been amended, we attach proof of ownership change. If signing for a firm or corporation, proof of authorization to sign on behalf of the organization is attached.

Street Address, property owned	Assessor's Block & Lot	Printed Name of Owner(s)	Original Signature of Owner(s)
1. <u>1 Lawrence Ave</u>	<u>7143/034</u>	<u>Martha L. Urrutia</u>	
2. <u>1 LAWRENCE AVE</u>	<u>7143/034</u>	<u>VICTOR N. URRUTIA</u>	
3. <u>1 Lawrence Ave</u>	<u>7143/034</u>	<u>Anabelle Gomez</u>	
4. _____	_____	_____	_____
5. _____	_____	_____	_____
6. _____	_____	_____	_____
7. _____	_____	_____	_____
8. _____	_____	_____	_____
9. _____	_____	_____	_____
10. _____	_____	_____	_____
11. _____	_____	_____	_____
12. _____	_____	_____	_____
13. _____	_____	_____	_____
14. _____	_____	_____	_____
15. _____	_____	_____	_____
16. _____	_____	_____	_____
17. _____	_____	_____	_____
18. _____	_____	_____	_____
19. _____	_____	_____	_____
20. _____	_____	_____	_____
21. _____	_____	_____	_____
22. _____	_____	_____	_____

1 LAWRENCE AVE.

BYLAWS OF

Iglesia de Cristo Ministerios Cosecha

A Nonprofit corporation

INTRODUCTION

Foremost in the operation of this Corporation and its Bylaws is the Word of God as taught in Old and New Testaments of the Holy Bible. All provisions herein are declared to be subject to the Word of God which is to every possible extent incorporated herein by reference as a whole and unless otherwise stated to be found in the King James Version or New American Standard Version or other version of the Holy Bible generally accepted among Bible-believing congregations, churches or fellowships throughout this nation or the reading together of these versions to produce an understanding of the Truth of the sayings of God to men as found in the Holy Bible.

ARTICLE I

OFFICES

Section 1. The registered office of the Corporation shall be located at 156 So. Spruce Ave. Ste. 210, So. San Francisco, CA 94080.

Section 2. The Corporation may also have offices at such other places both within and without the state of incorporation as the Board of Directors may from time to time determine or the business of the Corporation may require.

ARTICLE II

TENETS OF FAITH AND DOCTRINE

The Church accepts the Scriptures as the revealed Will of God, the all sufficient rule of faith and practice, and for the purpose of maintaining general unity, adopts these Statements of Fundamental Truths and Doctrine.

Section 1. The Scriptures Inspired. The Bible is the inspired Word of God, a revelation from God to man, the infallible rule of faith and conduct, and is superior to conscience and reason, but not contrary to reason (2 Tim. 3:15-17; 1 Peter 1:23-25; Heb. 4:12).

Section 2. **The One True Godhead.** The triune Godhead is comprised of three (3) separate and distinct personalities, The Father, The Son, and The Holy Spirit, who are eternally self-existent, self-revealed and function as one entity. Jesus Christ, who is God manifested in the flesh, is the second member of the Godhead, co-equal and co-eternal with The Father and The Holy Spirit.

Section 3. **Man, His Fall and Redemption.** Man was created good and upright, for God said, "Let us make man in Our image, after Our likeness". But, man, by voluntary transgression, fell and his only hope of redemption is in Jesus Christ the Son of God (Genesis 1:26-31, 3:1-7; Romans 5:12-21).

Section 4. **The Salvation of Man.**

(a) *Man's only hope of redemption is through the shed blood of Jesus Christ.* On the cross Jesus Christ became sin and sickness providing both salvation and divine healing for all mankind (Psalm 103:3), being justified freely by His Grace through the redemption that is in Christ Jesus. For by grace we are saved through faith. "The word is near you, in your mouth and in your heart--that is, the word of faith which we are preaching, that if you confess with your mouth Jesus as Lord, and believe in your heart that God raised Him from the dead, you shall be saved; for with the heart man believes, resulting in righteousness, and with the mouth he confesses, resulting in salvation" (Romans 3:24; Ephesians 2:8; Romans 10:8-10).

(b) *The Evidence of Salvation.* The inward evidence to the believer of his salvation, is the direct witness of the Spirit (Romans 8:16). The outward evidence to all men is a life of righteousness and true holiness. "And this is His commandment, that we believe in the name of His Son Jesus Christ, and love one another, just as He commanded us." (1 John 3:23); ... while you are waiting for these things to happen and for him to come, try hard to live without sinning; and be at peace with everyone so that he will be pleased with you when he returns (II Peter 3:14 LB).

(c) *Faith and Works.* Salvation is by faith in Jesus Christ and not by human works; however, our works will determine the rewards in eternity (Romans 10:9-1 and II Cor. 5:10).

Section 5. **Baptism in Water.** The ordinance of baptism by a burial with Christ should be observed as commanded in the Scriptures by all who have really repented and in their hearts have truly believed on Christ as Savior and Lord. In so doing,

they declare to the world that they have died with Jesus and that they have also been raised with Him to walk in newness of life. (Matt. 28:19; Acts 10:47, 48; Romans 6:4).

Section 6. The Lord's Supper. "And when He had given thanks, He broke it, and said, 'This is my body which is for you. Do this in remembrance of me.' In the same way also the cup, after supper, saying, 'This cup is the new covenant in my blood, Do this, as often as you drink it, in remembrance of me.' Let a man examine himself, and so eat of the bread and drink of the cup" (1 Cor. 11:24; 25, 28).

Section 7. The Promise of the Father. All believers are entitled to, and should ardently expect and earnestly seek, the promise of the Father, the Baptism in the Holy Ghost and Fire, according to the command of our Lord Jesus Christ. This was the normal experience of all in the early Christian church. With it comes the endowment of power for life and service, the bestowment of the gifts and their uses in the work of the ministry. (Luke 24:49; Acts 1:4-8; 1 Cor. 12:1-31). This wonderful experience is distinct from and subsequent to the experience of the new birth (Acts 2:38; 10:44-46; 11:14-16; 15:7-9).

Section 8. The Evidence of the Baptism in the Holy Spirit. The full consummation of the Baptism of believers in the Holy Spirit is evidenced by the initial physical sign of speaking with other tongues as the Spirit gives utterance, and by the subsequent manifestation of spiritual power in public testimony and service (Acts 2:4; 10:44-46; 19:2, 6; 1:8).

Section 9. The Church. The church is the body of Christ, the habitation of God through the Spirit, with divine appointments for the fulfillment of her great commission. Each believer, born of the Spirit, is an integral part of the general assembly and church of the firstborn, which are written in Heaven (Ephesians 1:22; 2:19-22; Hebrews 12:23).

Section 10. Total Prosperity.

- (a) Spiritual. John 3:3, 11; II Cor. 5:17-21; Romans 10:9-10.
- (b) Mental. II Tim. 1:7; Romans 12:2; Isaiah 26:3.
- (c) Physical. Isaiah 53:4, 5; Matt. 8:17; I Peter 2:24.
- (d) Financial. III John 1:2; Malachi 3:10-11; Luke 6:38; II Cor. 9:6-10; Deut. 28:1-14.
- (e) Social. Proverbs 3:4.

Section 11. Blessed Hope. Jesus is coming again to gather all His Saints to Heaven (I Cor. 15:51-52; I Thess. 4:16-17; and II Thess. 2:1).

Section 12. The Lake of Fire. Those who have not accepted the redemptive work of Jesus Christ will suffer eternal separation from the Godhead. The devil and his angels, the beast and the false prophet, and anyone whose name was not found written in the book of life, shall be consigned to everlasting punishment in the lake of fire which burns with brimstone. This is the second death, the lake of fire (Rev. 19:20; 20:10-15).

Section 13. The Millennial Reign of Jesus. The return of our Lord Jesus Christ with His Saints from Heaven to rule and reign for one thousand years on earth as the Scriptures promised (Romans 11:25, 27; 2 Thess. 1:7; Rev. 19:11-16; 20:1-7). After this, there shall be a new heaven and a new earth (Rev. 21).

Section 14. Christian Life; Scriptural Conduct.

(a) *Personal Ethics.* "I can do anything I want to if Christ has not said no, but some of these things aren't good for me. Even if I am allowed to do them, I'll refuse to if I think they might get such a grip on me that I can't easily stop when I want to" (I Cor. 6:12 LB). "We can always 'prove' that we are right, but is the Lord convinced?" (Proverbs 16:2 LB) "And we beseech you, brethren, to know them which labor among you, and are over you in the Lord, and admonish you" (I Thessalonians 5:12).

(b) *Obedience to Law.* "Obey the government, for God is the one who has put it there. There is no government anywhere that God has not placed in power. So those who refuse to obey the laws of the land are refusing to obey God, and punishment will follow" (Romans 13:1-2 LB). "Obey the laws, then, for two reasons: first, to keep from being punished, and second, just because you know you should. Pay your taxes too, for these same two reasons. For government workers need to be paid so that they can keep on doing God's work, serving you. Pay everyone whatever he ought to have; pay your taxes and import duties gladly, obey those over you, and give honor and respect to all those to whom it is due. Pay all your debts except the debt of love for others - never finish paying that! For if you love them, you will be obeying all of God's laws, fulfilling all his requirements. Another reason for right living in this: you know how late it is; time is running out. Wake up, for the coming of the Lord is nearer now than when we first believed" (Romans 13:5-8, 11 LB).

(c) *Family; Household.* "Honor your father and mother, that you may have a long, good life in the land the Lord your God will give you" (Exodus 20:12). "For if a man know not how to rule his

own house, how shall he take care of the church of God?" (I Timothy 3:5) "Their wives must be thoughtful, not heavy drinkers, not gossipers, but faithful in everything they do" (I Timothy 3:11 LB). "The men you choose must be well thought of for their good lives; they must have only one wife and their children must love the Lord and not have a reputation for being wild or disobedient to their parents. These elders must be men of blameless lives because they are God's ministers. They must not be proud or impatient; they must not be drunkards or fighters or greedy for money" (Titus 1:6-7 LB). "That the aged men be sober, grave, temperate, sound in faith, in charity, in patience. That they may teach the young women to be sober, to love their husbands, to love their children" (Titus 2:2-4). "You wives must submit to your husbands' leadership in the same way you submit to the Lord. For a husband is in charge of his wife in the same way Christ is in charge of his body the Church. (He gave his very life to take care of it and be its Savior!) So you wives must willingly obey your husbands in everything, just as the Church obeys Christ. And you husbands, how the same kind of love to your wives as Christ showed to the Church when he died for her, to make her holy and clean, washed by baptism and God's Word: so that he could give her to himself as a glorious Church without a single spot or wrinkle or any other blemish, being holy and without a single fault. That is how husbands should treat their wives, loving them as parts of themselves. For since a man and his wife are now one, a man is really doing himself a favor and loving himself when he loves his wife. No one hates his own body but lovingly cares for it, just as Christ cares for his body the Church, of which we are parts. (That the husband and wife are one body is proved by the Scripture which says, "A Man must leave his father and mother when he marries, so the two shall be one.") So again, I say, a man must love his wife as a part of himself; and the wife must see to it that she deeply respects her husband - obeying, praising and honoring him. Children, obey your parents; this is the right thing to do because God has placed them in authority over you. Honor your father and mother. This is the first of God's Ten Commandments that ends with a promise. And this is the promise: that if you honor your father and mother, yours will be a long life, full of blessing. And now a word to you parents. Don't keep on scolding and nagging your children, making them angry and resentful. Rather bring them up with the loving discipline the Lord himself approves, with suggestions and godly advice" (Ephesians 5:22-31, 33-6:4 LB).

(d) *Control.* "...For a man is a slave to whatever controls him" (II Peter 2:19 LB). "Even if we believe that it makes no difference to the Lord whether we do these things, still we cannot just go ahead and do them to please ourselves; for we must bear the burden of being considerate of the doubts and fears

of others - of those who feel these things are wrong. Let's please the other fellow, not ourselves, and do what is for his good and thus build him up in the Lord" (Romans 15:2-3 LB).

(e) *Adultery; Immorality.* "Thou shalt not commit adultery" (Exodus 20:14). "...try hard to live without sinning; and be at peace with everyone so that he will be pleased with you when he returns" (II Peter 2:14 LB). "You shall not commit adultery. But I say: Anyone who even looks at a woman with lust in his eye has already committed adultery with her in his heart" (Matthew 5:27-28 LB). "...Don't fool yourselves. Those who live immoral lives, who are idol worshipers, adulterers or homosexuals will have no share in his Kingdom ..." (I Cor. 6:9 LB). "But now I have written unto you not to keep company, if any man that is called a brother be a fornicator, or covetous, or an idolater, or a railer, or a drunkard, or an extortioner; with such an one no not to eat" (I Cor. 5:11 LB).

(f) *Drunkenness; Drugs.* "This son of ours is stubborn and rebellious and won't obey; he is a worthless drunkard. Then the men of the city shall stone him to death. In this way you shall put away this evil from among you, and all the young men will hear about what happened and will be afraid" (Deuteronomy 21:20-21 LB). "...envy, murder, drunkenness, wild parties, and all that sort of thing. Let me tell you again as I have before, that anyone living that sort of life will not inherit the Kingdom of God" (Galatians 5:21 LB). "Nor thieves, nor covetous, nor drunkards, nor revilers, nor extortioners, shall inherit the kingdom of God" (I Cor. 6:10 LB). "Don't drink too much wine, for many evils lie along that path; be filled instead with the Holy Spirit, and controlled by Him" (Ephesians 5:18 LB). "For the drunkard and the glutton shall come to poverty; and drowsiness shall clothe a man with rags" (Proverbs 23:21).

(g) *Smoking.* "Know ye not that ye are the temple of God, and that the Spirit of God dwelleth in you? If any man defile the temple of God, him shall God destroy, for the temple of God is holy, which temple are ye" (I Cor. 3:16-17). "Haven't you yet learned that your body is the home of the Holy Spirit God gave you, and that He lives within you? Your body does not belong to you. For God has bought you with a great price. So use every part of your body to give glory back to God, because He owns it" (I Cor. 6:19).

(h) *Homosexuality.* "You shall not lie with a man as with a woman; it is an abomination" (Leviticus 18:22). "...God let go of them and let them do all these evil things, so that even their women turned against God's natural plan for them and indulged in sex sin with each other. And the men, instead of having a normal sex relationship with women, burned with lust for each other, men doing shameful things with other men and, as a

result, getting paid within their own souls with the penalty they so richly deserved. So it was that when they have God up and would not even acknowledge him, God gave them up to doing everything their evil minds could think of. Their lives became full of every kind of wickedness and sin" (Romans 1:26-29). "Don't you know that those doing such things have no share in the Kingdom of God? Don't fool yourselves. Those who live immoral lives, who are idol worshippers, adulterers or homosexuals will have no share in his Kingdom..." (I Cor. 6:9-10).

ARTICLE III DIRECTORS (ELDERS)

Section 1. The Directors hereinafter named and wherever the word "Director" shall appear in any corporate document, shall be taken to refer to and mean the word "Elder" as defined in Chapter One of the Epistle to Titus in the New Covenant of the Holy Bible. The initial Directors (Elders) shall be the following persons:

President/Pastor: Victor M. Urrutia

and such other persons as may be determined from time to time by appointment of the President (Pastor) - Chairman of the Board of Directors as hereinafter described. The number of Directors which shall constitute the Board shall not be less than one (1) Director.

Section 2. Vacancies and newly created directorships shall be filled by appointment of the Chairman of the existing members of the Board of Directors and each person so appointed shall be a Director until his successor is appointed in like manner.

Section 3. The business of the Corporation shall be managed by its Board of Directors under the final authority of the President (Pastor) - Chairman of the Board of Directors as to all decisions as set forth below in these Bylaws. Otherwise stated, no decision shall become a corporate act without approval from the President (Pastor) - Chairman of the Board of Directors.

MEETINGS OF THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS

Section 4. The Board of Directors of the Corporation may hold meetings, both regular and special, either within or without the state of incorporation.

Section 5. Regular meetings of the Board of Directors may be held without notice at such time and at such place as shall from time to time be determined by resolution of the President (Pastor) - Chairman of the Board.

Section 6. Special meetings of the Board shall be called by the President (Pastor) - Chairman of the Board without necessity of any prescribed notice period.

Section 7. At all meetings of the Board at least one of the Directors in office shall be necessary to constitute a quorum for the transaction of business, and the acts of a majority of the Directors present at a meeting at which a quorum is present when approved by the President (Pastor) - Chairman of the Board shall be the acts of the Board of Directors, except as may be otherwise specifically provided by statute or these Bylaws. One or more Directors may participate in a meeting of the Board by means of a conference telephone or similar communications equipment by means of which all persons participating in the meeting can hear each other. If a quorum shall not be present at any meeting of Directors, the Directors present thereat may adjourn the meeting from time to time, without notice other than announcement at the meeting, until a quorum shall be present. No meeting of the Board of Directors shall take place without the Chairman of the Board of Directors present.

Section 8. If the President (Pastor) - Chairman of the Board of Directors shall take any action which is intended as a corporate act, such action shall be as valid a corporate action as though it had been authorized at a meeting of the Board of Directors. However, no corporate act shall take place or be authorized without the approval of the Chairman of the Board of Directors.

COMPENSATION OF DIRECTORS

Section 9. Directors as such shall not receive any stated salary for their services but, by resolution of the Board, a fixed sum, and expenses of attendance if any, may be allowed for attendance at each regular meeting or special meeting of the Board or at meetings of the Executive Committee; provided that nothing herein contained shall be construed to preclude any Director from serving the Corporation in any other capacity and receiving compensation therefor.

REMOVAL OF DIRECTORS

Section 10. Any individual Director may be removed from office by the President (Pastor) - Chairman of the Board without assigning any cause whatsoever. In case one or more Directors be so removed, new Directors may be appointed at the same meeting or as the President (Pastor) - Chairman of the Board deems appropriate.

ARTICLE IV
NOTICES

Section 1. Notices to Directors shall be in writing and delivered personally or mailed to the Directors at their addresses appearing on the books of the Corporation. Notice by mail shall be deemed to be given at the time when the same shall be mailed. Notice may also be given by telegram or telephone personally.

ARTICLE IV
OFFICERS

Section 1. The officers of the Corporation shall be a President (Pastor), Vice President(s), a Secretary and a Treasurer. The President (Pastor) - Chairman of the Board may also choose additional Vice Presidents and one or more Assistant Secretaries and Assistant Treasurers. Any of the aforesaid offices may be held by the same person.

Section 2. The President (Pastor) - Chairman of the Board of Directors may appoint such other officers and agents as he shall deem necessary who shall hold their offices for such duties as shall be determined from time to time by the President (Pastor) - Chairman of the Board of Directors.

Section 3. The salaries, if any, of all officers and agents of the Corporation shall be fixed by the President (Pastor) - Chairman of the Board of Directors.

Section 4. The officers of the Corporation shall hold office until their successors are appointed by the President (Pastor) - Chairman of the Board of Directors. Any officer elected or appointed by the Chairman of the Board of Directors may be removed at any time by the President (Pastor) - Chairman of the Board of Directors. However, the President (Pastor) - Chairman of the Board may not be removed except by voluntary resignation or for gross error defined as severe deviation from the teachings of the Bible (Old and New Testaments read together as a whole) which would tend to spiritually endanger and lead the members of the fellowship away from the Lord, the God of the Bible.

PRESIDENT (PASTOR)

Section 5. The President (Pastor) shall be the Chief Executive Officer of the Corporation, having general and active management power of the business of the Corporation and shall see that all orders and resolutions of the Board of Directors are carried into effect. The word "President" wherever used in any corporate document of this Corporation shall be taken to be and

mean the word "Pastor" of the Church. As Pastor, the President shall officiate all commonly known duties limited to leading the congregants of the Church in regular prayer and worship services, meetings and Bible studies.

Section 6. The President (Pastor) shall at all times simultaneously occupy the office of the Chairman of the Board of Directors. The President (Pastor) shall execute any necessary documents and instruments on behalf of the Corporation, except where the signing and execution thereof shall be expressly delegated by the Board of Directors to some other officer or agent of the Corporation. The original President (Pastor) shall be elected by the original Director or Directors. Thereafter, the succeeding President (Pastor) - Chairman of the Board of Directors shall be appointed as more particularly described in Article Four, Section 7, below.

CHAIRMAN OF THE BOARD

Section 7. The Chairman of the Board of Directors shall preside at all meetings of the Board of Directors and shall perform such other duties and have such other powers as the Chairman of the Board may from time to time prescribe. The office of the Chairman of the Board shall at all times be held by the same single person as the President (Pastor). Any successor to the President (Pastor) - Chairman of the Board shall only come into existence at the appointment of the existing President (Pastor) - Chairman of the Board who may appoint his successor to take office in accordance with the written instructions of the then existing President (Pastor) - Chairman of the Board. In the event that the existing President (Pastor) - Chairman of the Board should become unable for any reason to continue in the office of the Chairman of the Board and be unable to appoint a successor President (Pastor) - Chairman of the Board, whether during his lifetime or by his Last Will and Testament, then and in that event a successor President (Pastor) - Chairman of the Board of Directors shall be elected by a majority of the remaining Directors where at least three (3) days advance written notice of such meeting is delivered to each Director, such notice containing the time, place and date and purpose of the meeting to be the election of a successor President (Pastor) - Chairman of the Board of Directors.

ASSISTANT PASTOR

Section 8. The Assistant Pastor as appointed by the President (Pastor) - chairman of the Board, shall in the absence or disability of the President (Pastor) - Chairman of the Board,

perform the duties and exercise the powers of the President, and shall perform such other duties and have such other powers as the Chairman of the Board of Directors may from time to time prescribe.

VICE PRESIDENT

Section 9. Vice President(s) shall assist the President (Pastor) - Chairman of the Board or the Assistant Pastor, as the case may be, in any and all duties deemed necessary and appropriate by the President. The duties of the Vice President(s) shall be known as and be taken to be such as the term "Deacon" as described in the New Covenant of the Holy Bible.

SECRETARY AND ASSISTANT SECRETARIES

Section 10. The Secretary shall attend all meetings of the Board of Directors and record all the proceedings of the Board of Directors in a book to be kept for that purpose and shall perform like duties for the Executive Committee when required. He shall give, or cause to be given, notice of all special meetings of the Board of Directors - President (Pastor) under whose supervision he shall be.

Section 11. The Assistant Secretary or, if there be more than one, the Assistant Secretaries, in the order determined by the Chairman of the Board of Directors, shall, in the absence or disability of the Secretary, perform the duties and exercise the powers of the Secretary and shall perform such other duties and shall have such other powers as the Chairman of the Board of Directors may from time to time prescribe.

TREASURER AND ASSISTANT TREASURERS

Section 12. The Treasurer shall have the custody of the corporate funds and securities donated to the Corporation and shall keep full and accurate accounts of receipts and disbursements in books belonging to the Corporation and shall deposit all monies and other valuable effects in the name and to the credit of the Corporation in such depositories as may be designated by the Chairman of the Board of Directors.

Section 13. He shall disburse the funds of the Corporation as may be ordered by the Chairman of the Board of Directors, taking proper vouchers for such disbursements, and shall render to the President (Pastor) - Chairman of the Board, at its regular meetings, or when the Chairman of the Board of Directors so requires, an account of all his transactions as Treasurer and of the financial condition of the Corporation.

Section 14. If required by the Chairman of the Board of Directors, he shall give the Corporation a bond in such sum and with such surety or sureties as shall be satisfactory to the Chairman of the Board of Directors for the faithful performance of the duties of his office and for the restoration to the Corporation, in case of his death, resignation, retirement or removal from office, of all books, papers, vouchers, money and other property of whatever kind in his possession or under his control belonging to the Corporation.

Section 15. The Assistant Treasurer, or if there shall be more than one, the Assistant Treasurers, in the order determined by the Chairman of the Board of Directors, shall, in the absence or disability of the Treasurer, perform the duties and exercise the powers of the Treasurer and shall perform such other duties and have such other powers as the Chairman of the Board of Directors may from time to time prescribe.

ARTICLE V
GENERAL PROVISIONS
INTERESTED DIRECTORS

Section 1. No contract or transaction between the Corporation and one or more of its Directors and officers, or between the Corporation and any other corporation, partnership, association or other organization in which one or more of its directors or officers are also Directors or officers, or have a financial interest, shall be void or voidable solely for such reason, or solely because the Director or officer is present as or participates in the meeting of the Board which authorizes the contract or transaction, or solely because his or their votes are counted for such purpose, if:

(a) The material fact as to his interest and as to the contract or transaction are disclosed or known to the Board of Directors and the Chairman of the Board in good faith authorizes the contract or transaction; or

(b) The contract or transaction is fair as to the Corporation as of the time it is authorized, approved or ratified, by the Chairman of the Board of Directors.

Section 2. Interested Directors may be counted in determining the presence of a quorum at a meeting of the Board of Directors which authorizes a contract or transaction in the preceding section.

CHECKS

Section 3. All checks or demands for money and notes of the Corporation shall be signed by such officer or officers or such other person or persons as the Chairman of the Board of Directors may from time to time designate.

FISCAL YEAR

Section 4. The fiscal year of the Corporation shall be fixed by resolution of the Board of Directors.

ANNUAL REPORT

Section 5. (a) The President and Treasurer shall present annually to the Directors a report, showing in appropriate detail the following:

(i) The assets and liabilities, including trust funds of the Corporation as of the end of the immediately preceding fiscal year.

(ii) The principal changes in assets and liabilities including trust funds during the immediately preceding year.

(iii) The revenue or receipts of the Corporation for the immediately preceding year, including separate data for each trust fund held by or for the Corporation.

(iv) The expenses or disbursements for the immediately preceding year, including separate data for each trust fund held by or for the Directors.

(b) The annual report shall be filed with the minutes of the annual meeting of the Directors.

ARTICLE VI DISSOLUTION

Section 1. Upon the dissolution of the Church, the Board of Directors shall, after the payment of all the liabilities of the Church, dispose of all of the assets of the Church exclusively for the purposes of the Church in such manner, or to such organization or organizations organized and operated exclusively for the purposes of the Church in such manner, or to such organization or organizations organized and operated exclusively for charitable, educational, religious or scientific purposes as shall qualify as an exempt corporation or organizations under Section 501(c)(3) of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended (or of the corresponding provisions of any future United States Revenue Law) as the Board of Directors shall determine.

Section 2. No part of the net earnings of the Church shall inure to the benefit of, or be distributable to, its members, officers, directors, or any person except that the Church shall be authorized and empowered to pay reasonable compensation for services rendered, and to make payments in the furtherance of the

Church. Notwithstanding any other provisions of the Articles of Incorporation or these Bylaws of the Church, the Church shall not carry on any activity not permitted to be carried on (a) by a corporation exempt from Federal Income Tax, under Section 501(c)(3) of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended, (or by the corresponding section of any future Revenue Code of the United States of America) or (b) by a corporation, contributions of which are deductible under Section 170(c)(2) of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended (or the corresponding section of any future United States Revenue Law).

**ARTICLE VII
AMENDMENTS**


Section 1. These Bylaws may be altered, added to, amended or repealed by a majority vote of the Directors, but in no event without the approval of the Chairman of the Board of Directors.

**ARTICLE VIII
MEMBERS**

Section 1. This Corporation, as a non-member Corporation, shall have no members. All voting rights reside in the Board of Directors and wherever the term "Member" is used in the state's nonprofit corporation act for the purposes of this Corporation, such term shall be taken to refer to Directors as if such term was replaced by the term "Directors."

Section 2. The mere regular attendance and regular contribution to the Corporation shall in no way entitle any person to membership in the Church.










April 15, 1997



Victor M. Urrutia
President (Pastor)
Chairman of the Board of Directors

The undersigned declare that they are hereby subscribers to this Notice of Appeal and are owners of property affected by the proposed amendment or conditional use (that is, owners of property within the area that is the subject of the application for amendment or conditional use, or within a radius of 300 feet of the exterior boundaries of the property.

If ownership has changed and assessment roll has not been amended, we attach proof of ownership change. If signing for a firm or corporation, proof of authorization to sign on behalf of the organization is attached.

Street Address, property owned	Assessor's Block & Lot	Printed Name of Owner(s)	Original Signature of Owner(s)
1. 5750 MISSION ST		M. MARDOZA	
2. 27 PAVIA		L. Argueta	
3. 5775 MISSION		RICKY PABLO J. Santiago	
4. 115 Templeton Ave.			
5. 5539 MISSION		A. SANTIAGO	
6. 5539 MISSION		J. Santiago	
7. 112 LAKEVIEW AVE		Manuel Almaraz	
8. 330 Winding Way		ERLINDA M. MARGARITA	
9. [REDACTED]		[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]
10. 76 HURON		CARLOS HERNANDEZ	
11. Laura		Andrea Garcia	
12. 230 Winding Way		ROZANO MARGARITA	
13. OMAR MACAPATO		466 ELLINGTON ST OMAR MACAPATO	
14. 188 Acton St		M. CAVE	
15. 214 ALEXANDER AVE		ROSE EVANOWSKI	
16. 52 CERRILLO AVE		JANE G.	
17. 67 OTTAWA		ELEEN NAVARRO	
18. 363 BELLVIEW AV		MICHAEL CREE	
19. 5717 MISSION		Maria Hernandez	
20. 850 Huron Ave ST.		Marjorie Gascon	
21. 6036 MISSION ST.		LEO CRUZADO	
22. 98 OTTAWA AVE SF		ARNOLD QUIJANO	

2020-0071524

ADDRESS	PRINT NAME	SIGNATURE
23 18561 GETHINGEN	ANTONIO ROSAS	Antonio Rosas
24 49 Partridge	LEONORA Molinas	LSM
25 49 Partridge	Gloria Molinas	Gloria Molinas
26 " "	Felicitas Molinas	Felicitas Molinas
27		
28		
29		
30		
31		
32		
33		
34		
35		
36		
37		
38		
39		
40		
41		
42		
43		
44		
45		
46		
47		

City Planning Commission

Case No. 2020-0071520VA

The undersigned declare that they are hereby subscribers to this Notice of Appeal and are owners of property affected by the proposed amendment or conditional use (that is, owners of property within the area that is the subject of the application for amendment or conditional use, or within a radius of 300 feet of the exterior boundaries of the property.

If ownership has changed and assessment roll has not been amended, we attach proof of ownership change. If signing for a firm or corporation, proof of authorization to sign on behalf of the organization is attached.


	Street Address, property owned	Assessor's Block & Lot	Printed Name of Owner(s)	Original Signature of Owner(s)
1.	<u>5785 Mission</u>	<u>6473050</u>	<u>Chuck K Sm</u>	<u>Chuck K Sm</u>
2.	_____	_____	<u>CHUCK W H S U</u>	<u>Chuck W H S U</u>
3.	_____	_____	_____	_____
4.	_____	_____	_____	_____
5.	_____	_____	_____	_____
6.	_____	_____	_____	_____
7.	_____	_____	_____	_____
8.	_____	_____	_____	_____
9.	_____	_____	_____	_____
10.	_____	_____	_____	_____
11.	_____	_____	_____	_____
12.	_____	_____	_____	_____
13.	_____	_____	_____	_____
14.	_____	_____	_____	_____
15.	_____	_____	_____	_____
16.	_____	_____	_____	_____
17.	_____	_____	_____	_____
18.	_____	_____	_____	_____
19.	_____	_____	_____	_____
20.	_____	_____	_____	_____
21.	_____	_____	_____	_____
22.	_____	_____	_____	_____

City Planning Commission

Case No. 2020-0071520UA

The undersigned declare that they are hereby subscribers to this Notice of Appeal and are owners of property affected by the proposed amendment or conditional use (that is, owners of property within the area that is the subject of the application for amendment or conditional use, or within a radius of 300 feet of the exterior boundaries of the property.

If ownership has changed and assessment roll has not been amended, we attach proof of ownership change. If signing for a firm or corporation, proof of authorization to sign on behalf of the organization is attached.

	Street Address, property owned	Assessor's Block & Lot	Printed Name of Owner(s)	Original Signature of Owner(s)
1.	<u>5810 MISSION ST #201</u>	<u>7143/038</u>	<u>ZHENG BO TANG</u>	
2.	<u>5810 MISSION ST #201</u>	<u>7143/038</u>	<u>TAMMY LIANG</u>	
3.				
4.				
5.				
6.				
7.				
8.				
9.				
10.				
11.				
12.				
13.				
14.				
15.				
16.				
17.				
18.				
19.				
20.				
21.				
22.				

City Planning Commission

Case No. 2020-00-71520VA

The undersigned declare that they are hereby subscribers to this Notice of Appeal and are owners of property affected by the proposed amendment or conditional use (that is, owners of property within the area that is the subject of the application for amendment or conditional use, or within a radius of 300 feet of the exterior boundaries of the property.


If ownership has changed and assessment roll has not been amended, we attach proof of ownership change. If signing for a firm or corporation, proof of authorization to sign on behalf of the organization is attached.

Street Address, property owned	Assessor's Block & Lot	Printed Name of Owner(s)	Original Signature of Owner(s)
1. <u>6851 Mission St.</u>	<u>6472 & 030</u>	<u>Huang/Li FMLY TRUST</u>	<u>Jan-Xiang Li</u>
2. _____	_____	_____	_____
3. _____	_____	_____	_____
4. _____	_____	_____	_____
5. _____	_____	_____	_____
6. _____	_____	_____	_____
7. _____	_____	_____	_____
8. _____	_____	_____	_____
9. _____	_____	_____	_____
10. _____	_____	_____	_____
11. _____	_____	_____	_____
12. _____	_____	_____	_____
13. _____	_____	_____	_____
14. _____	_____	_____	_____
15. _____	_____	_____	_____
16. _____	_____	_____	_____
17. _____	_____	_____	_____
18. _____	_____	_____	_____
19. _____	_____	_____	_____
20. _____	_____	_____	_____
21. _____	_____	_____	_____
22. _____	_____	_____	_____

City Planning Commission
Case No. 20 2000715 2CV4

The undersigned declare that they are hereby subscribers to this Notice of Appeal and are owners of property affected by the proposed amendment or conditional use (that is, owners of property within the area that is the subject of the application for amendment or conditional use, or within a radius of 300 feet of the exterior boundaries of the property.

If ownership has changed and assessment roll has not been amended, we attach proof of ownership change. If signing for a firm or corporation, proof of authorization to sign on behalf of the organization is attached.

	Street Address, property owned	Assessor's Block & Lot	Printed Name of Owner(s)	Original Signature of Owner(s)
1.	<u>5706 Mission St.</u>	<u>7109 002</u>	<u>Mei Lian Lin</u>	
2.	<u>5708 Mission St</u>	<u>7109 002</u>	<u>Steven Liao</u>	
3.	_____	_____	_____	_____
4.	_____	_____	_____	_____
5.	_____	_____	_____	_____
6.	_____	_____	_____	_____
7.	_____	_____	_____	_____
8.	_____	_____	_____	_____
9.	_____	_____	_____	_____
10.	_____	_____	_____	_____
11.	_____	_____	_____	_____
12.	_____	_____	_____	_____
13.	_____	_____	_____	_____
14.	_____	_____	_____	_____
15.	_____	_____	_____	_____
16.	_____	_____	_____	_____
17.	_____	_____	_____	_____
18.	_____	_____	_____	_____
19.	_____	_____	_____	_____
20.	_____	_____	_____	_____
21.	_____	_____	_____	_____
22.	_____	_____	_____	_____

City Planning Commission
 Case No. 2020-007152CVA

The undersigned declare that they are hereby subscribers to this Notice of Appeal and are owners of property affected by the proposed amendment or conditional use (that is, owners of property within the area that is the subject of the application for amendment or conditional use, or within a radius of 300 feet of the exterior boundaries of the property.

If ownership has changed and assessment roll has not been amended, we attach proof of ownership change. If signing for a firm or corporation, proof of authorization to sign on behalf of the organization is attached.

	Street Address, property owned	Assessor's Block & Lot	Printed Name of Owner(s)	Original Signature of Owner(s)
1.	<u>5712 MISSION</u>	<u>7108003</u>	<u>LAI-WAH WONG</u>	<u>H. R. W.</u>
2.	<u>5712 MISSION</u>	<u>7108003</u>	<u>Lai-wah Wong</u>	<u>LAI WAH WONG</u>
3.	<u>5700 Mission #2</u>	<u>7109-038</u>	<u>Glenda Parode</u>	<u>[Signature]</u>
4.	<u>5700 Mission #3</u>	<u>7109-0039</u>	<u>Glenda Parode</u>	<u>[Signature]</u>
5.	_____	_____	_____	_____
6.	_____	_____	_____	_____
7.	_____	_____	_____	_____
8.	_____	_____	_____	_____
9.	_____	_____	_____	_____
10.	_____	_____	_____	_____
11.	_____	_____	_____	_____
12.	_____	_____	_____	_____
13.	_____	_____	_____	_____
14.	_____	_____	_____	_____
15.	_____	_____	_____	_____
16.	_____	_____	_____	_____
17.	_____	_____	_____	_____
18.	_____	_____	_____	_____
19.	_____	_____	_____	_____
20.	_____	_____	_____	_____
21.	_____	_____	_____	_____
22.	_____	_____	_____	_____

City Planning Commission
 Case No. 2020-007152CVA

The undersigned declare that they are hereby subscribers to this Notice of Appeal and are owners of property affected by the proposed amendment or conditional use (that is, owners of property within the area that is the subject of the application for amendment or conditional use, or within a radius of 300 feet of the exterior boundaries of the property.

If ownership has changed and assessment roll has not been amended, we attach proof of ownership change. If signing for a firm or corporation, proof of authorization to sign on behalf of the organization is attached.

Street Address, property owned	Assessor's Block & Lot	Printed Name of Owner(s)	Original Signature of Owner(s)
1. <u>5700 APT 1[#] Mission</u>	<u>7109-037</u>	<u>WAN LING LI</u>	<u>Wan Ling Li</u>
2. <u>5700 APT 2[#] Mission</u>	<u>7109-037</u>	<u>ZONG HAO ZHANG</u>	<u>Zong Hao Zhang</u>
3. <u>5700 Mission Apt 4</u>	<u>7109-040</u>	<u>Tina Cen</u>	<u>Tina Cen</u>
4. _____	_____	_____	_____
5. _____	_____	_____	_____
6. _____	_____	_____	_____
7. _____	_____	_____	_____
8. _____	_____	_____	_____
9. _____	_____	_____	_____
10. _____	_____	_____	_____
11. _____	_____	_____	_____
12. _____	_____	_____	_____
13. _____	_____	_____	_____
14. _____	_____	_____	_____
15. _____	_____	_____	_____
16. _____	_____	_____	_____
17. _____	_____	_____	_____
18. _____	_____	_____	_____
19. _____	_____	_____	_____
20. _____	_____	_____	_____
21. _____	_____	_____	_____
22. _____	_____	_____	_____

City Planning Commission

Case No. 2020-0071520VA

The undersigned declare that they are hereby subscribers to this Notice of Appeal and are owners of property affected by the proposed amendment or conditional use (that is, owners of property within the area that is the subject of the application for amendment or conditional use, or within a radius of 300 feet of the exterior boundaries of the property.

If ownership has changed and assessment roll has not been amended, we attach proof of ownership change. If signing for a firm or corporation, proof of authorization to sign on behalf of the organization is attached.

	Street Address, property owned	Assessor's Block & Lot	Printed Name of Owner(s)	Original Signature of Owner(s)
1.	<u>5810 Mission St 209</u>	<u>7143/046</u>	<u>Dennis Zhu / Bing Fan</u>	<u>Dennis Bing Fan</u>
2.				
3.				
4.				
5.				
6.				
7.				
8.				
9.				
10.				
11.				
12.				
13.				
14.				
15.				
16.				
17.				
18.				
19.				
20.				
21.				
22.				

The undersigned declare that they are hereby subscribers to this Notice of Appeal and are owners of property affected by the proposed amendment or conditional use (that is, owners of property within the area that is the subject of the application for amendment or conditional use, or within a radius of 300 feet of the exterior boundaries of the property.

If ownership has changed and assessment roll has not been amended, we attach proof of ownership change. If signing for a firm or corporation, proof of authorization to sign on behalf of the organization is attached.

Street Address, property owned	Assessor's Block & Lot	Printed Name of Owner(s)	Original Signature of Owner(s)
1. <u>57 Oliver St. SF.</u>	<u>6473/025</u>	<u>EFREN MOBO</u>	<u>Efrén Mobo</u>
2. <u>57 Oliver St. SF</u>	<u>6473/025</u>	<u>Arlene Mobo</u>	<u>Arlene Mobo</u>
3. <u>75 Oliver St. SF</u>	<u>6473/021</u>	<u>Bi Yun Huang</u>	<u>Bi Yun Huang</u>
4. <u>75 Oliver St SF.</u>	<u>6473/021</u>	<u>BOJUN XIAO</u>	<u>Bojun Xiao</u>
5. <u>55 Oliver St. SF</u>	<u>6473/026</u>	<u>Kevin Feng</u>	<u>Kevin Feng</u>
6. <u>55 Oliver St SF</u>	<u>6473/026</u>	<u>Ning Kun Feng</u>	<u>Ning Kun Feng</u>
7. <u>74 OLIVER ST ST</u>	<u>6472/007</u>	<u>WENYI Y. LI</u>	<u>Wenyi Y. Li</u>
8. <u>69 Oliver St SF</u>	<u>6473/624</u>	<u>CHIN WA WONG</u>	<u>Chin Wa Wong</u>
9. _____	_____	_____	_____
10. _____	_____	_____	_____
11. _____	_____	_____	_____
12. _____	_____	_____	_____
13. _____	_____	_____	_____
14. _____	_____	_____	_____
15. _____	_____	_____	_____
16. _____	_____	_____	_____
17. _____	_____	_____	_____
18. _____	_____	_____	_____
19. _____	_____	_____	_____
20. _____	_____	_____	_____
21. _____	_____	_____	_____
22. _____	_____	_____	_____

1. 4626
2. —
3. ~~3~~ 2596
4. —
5. 4626
6. —
7. —
8. 2596.

The undersigned declare that they are hereby subscribers to this Notice of Appeal and are owners of property affected by the proposed amendment or conditional use (that is, owners of property within the area that is the subject of the application for amendment or conditional use, or within a radius of 300 feet of the exterior boundaries of the property.

If ownership has changed and assessment roll has not been amended, we attach proof of ownership change. If signing for a firm or corporation, proof of authorization to sign on behalf of the organization is attached.



Street Address, property owned	Assessor's Block & Lot	Printed Name of Owner(s)	Original Signature of Owner(s)
1. <u>5785 Mission St.</u>	<u>6473050</u>	<u>Chuck Siu</u>	<u>Chuck Siu</u>
2. <u>..</u>	<u>..</u>	<u>Yuan Ya Fong</u>	<u>Yuan Ya Fong</u>
3. _____	_____	_____	_____
4. _____	_____	<u>CHUCK YOUNG SIU</u>	<u>Chuck Sp.</u>
5. _____	_____	<u>Anita Siu</u>	<u>Anita Siu</u>
6. _____	_____	Ethan Siu <u>Ethan Siu</u>	<u>Ethan Siu</u>
7. _____	_____	<u>Winston Siu</u>	<u>Winston Siu</u>
8. _____	_____	<u>Justin Siu</u>	<u>Justin Siu</u>
9. _____	_____	<u>Nathan Siu</u>	<u>Nathan Siu</u>
10. _____	_____	Chuck Wah Siu	_____
11. _____	_____	<u>CHUCK WAH SIU</u>	<u>Chuck Wah Siu</u>
12. _____	_____	_____	_____
13. _____	_____	_____	_____
14. _____	_____	_____	_____
15. _____	_____	_____	_____
16. _____	_____	_____	_____
17. _____	_____	_____	_____
18. _____	_____	_____	_____
19. _____	_____	_____	_____
20. _____	_____	_____	_____
21. _____	_____	_____	_____
22. _____	_____	_____	_____

City Planning Commission

Case No. 2020-007152CUA






The undersigned declare that they are hereby subscribers to this Notice of Appeal and are owners of property affected by the proposed amendment or conditional use (that is, owners of property within the area that is the subject of the application for amendment or conditional use, or within a radius of 300 feet of the exterior boundaries of the property.

If ownership has changed and assessment roll has not been amended, we attach proof of ownership change. If signing for a firm or corporation, proof of authorization to sign on behalf of the organization is attached.

Street Address, property owned	Assessor's Block & Lot	Printed Name of Owner(s)	Original Signature of Owner(s)
1. <u>5867 Mission St #6</u>	<u>6472 060</u>	<u>MARIA C. MENDOZA</u>	
2. <u>5865 Mission St -</u>	<u>6472 063</u>	<u>HAN SUN</u>	
3. <u>5867 Mission St #4</u>	<u>6472 058</u>	<u>CESAR MENDOZA</u>	
4. _____	_____	_____	_____
5. _____	_____	_____	_____
6. _____	_____	_____	_____
7. _____	_____	_____	_____
8. _____	_____	_____	_____
9. _____	_____	_____	_____
10. _____	_____	_____	_____
11. _____	_____	_____	_____
12. _____	_____	_____	_____
13. _____	_____	_____	_____
14. _____	_____	_____	_____
15. _____	_____	_____	_____
16. _____	_____	_____	_____
17. _____	_____	_____	_____
18. _____	_____	_____	_____
19. _____	_____	_____	_____
20. _____	_____	_____	_____
21. _____	_____	_____	_____
22. _____	_____	_____	_____

The undersigned declare that they are hereby subscribers to this Notice of Appeal and are owners of property affected by the proposed amendment or conditional use (that is, owners of property within the area that is the subject of the application for amendment or conditional use, or within a radius of 300 feet of the exterior boundaries of the property.

If ownership has changed and assessment roll has not been amended, we attach proof of ownership change. If signing for a firm or corporation, proof of authorization to sign on behalf of the organization is attached.

	Street Address, property owned	Assessor's Block & Lot	Printed Name of Owner(s)	Original Signature of Owner(s)
1.	<u>29. Oliver St</u>	<u>6473/029A</u>	<u>MIGUEL Chen</u>	
2.	<u>29. Oliver St</u>	<u>6473/029A</u>	<u>Chen Xian Na</u>	
3.	<u>25-OLIVER ST</u>	<u>6473/029B</u>	<u>Miguel RIVERA</u>	
4.	<u>25-OLIVER ST</u>	<u>6473/029B</u>	<u>IMELDA RIVERA</u>	
5.	<u>55 Lawrence Ave.</u>	<u>7143/027</u>	<u>Lina Huang</u>	
6.	<u>55 Lawrence Ave</u>	<u>7143/027</u>	<u>ERIC Q. TA</u>	
7.	<u>45 Laura Street</u>	<u>7109A/033</u>	<u>Vincent Archuleta</u>	
8.	<u>45 Laura Street</u>	<u>7109A/033</u>	<u>Sandra Archuleta</u>	
9.	<u>43 LAWRENCE</u>	<u>7143029</u>	<u>JANE SANTA CRUZ</u>	
10.	<u>43 LAWRENCE</u>	<u>7143029</u>	<u>HERMINIA SANCHEZ</u>	
11.				
12.				
13.				
14.				
15.				
16.				
17.				
18.				
19.				
20.				
21.				
22.				

The undersigned declare that they are hereby subscribers to this Notice of Appeal and are owners of property affected by the proposed amendment or conditional use (that is, owners of property within the area that is the subject of the application for amendment or conditional use, or within a radius of 300 feet of the exterior boundaries of the property.

If ownership has changed and assessment roll has not been amended, we attach proof of ownership change. If signing for a firm or corporation, proof of authorization to sign on behalf of the organization is attached.

	Street Address, property owned	Assessor's Block & Lot	Printed Name of Owner(s)	Original Signature of Owner(s)
1.	<u>5723 MISSION</u>	<u>6473 043</u>	<u>SGAN MATSUBAYASHI</u>	
2.	<u>5723 MISSION</u>	<u>6473 043</u>	<u>ANGIE LAU</u>	
3.	_____	_____	_____	_____
4.	_____	_____	_____	_____
5.	_____	_____	_____	_____
6.	_____	_____	_____	_____
7.	_____	_____	_____	_____
8.	_____	_____	_____	_____
9.	_____	_____	_____	_____
10.	_____	_____	_____	_____
11.	_____	_____	_____	_____
12.	_____	_____	_____	_____
13.	_____	_____	_____	_____
14.	_____	_____	_____	_____
15.	_____	_____	_____	_____
16.	_____	_____	_____	_____
17.	_____	_____	_____	_____
18.	_____	_____	_____	_____
19.	_____	_____	_____	_____
20.	_____	_____	_____	_____
21.	_____	_____	_____	_____
22.	_____	_____	_____	_____

The undersigned declare that they are hereby subscribers to this Notice of Appeal and are owners of property affected by the proposed amendment or conditional use (that is, owners of property within the area that is the subject of the application for amendment or conditional use, or within a radius of 300 feet of the exterior boundaries of the property.

If ownership has changed and assessment roll has not been amended, we attach proof of ownership change. If signing for a firm or corporation, proof of authorization to sign on behalf of the organization is attached.

	Street Address, property owned	Assessor's Block & Lot	Printed Name of Owner(s)	Original Signature of Owner(s)
1.	1048 Brunswick	6491.023	Sham Xin Zhang	[Signature]
2.	1048 Brunswick		Yue ming Huang Sham Xin Zhang	[Signature]
3.	1048 Brunswick			[Signature]
4.	749 Brunswick	6491.023	Liu De song & Liang Xue Fang	[Signature]
5.	399 BELLEVUE AVE, BIK 4, 2448		Ken Lam & Irene Lam	[Signature]
6.				
7.	CHOLY # 16 RISEL AVE DALY CITY CA 94014		CHOT LEI	[Signature]
8.	1044 BRUNSWICK ST DALY CITY CA 94014		SUN WAN FANG	[Signature]
9.	20 Byron Ct	6489 057	Lifang Zhou	[Signature]
10.				
11.				
12.				
13.				
14.				
15.				
16.				
17.				
18.				
19.				
20.				
21.				
22.				

City Planning Commission

Case No. 2020-007152CUA

The undersigned declare that they are hereby subscribers to this Notice of Appeal and are owners of property affected by the proposed amendment or conditional use (that is, owners of property within the area that is the subject of the application for amendment or conditional use, or within a radius of 300 feet of the exterior boundaries of the property).

If ownership has changed and assessment roll has not been amended, we attach proof of ownership change. If signing for a firm or corporation, proof of authorization to sign on behalf of the organization is attached.




	Street Address, property owned	Assessor's Block & Lot	Printed Name of Owner(s)	Original Signature of Owner(s)
1.	74 Oliver St	6472/007	Susana S. Li	
2.	634 HANOVER		KANE JIAN SU	
3.	5820 Mission		daniel	
4.	5867 Mission #7	6472/061	DB Li	
5.	5820 MISSION ST		DAK TIMING	
6.	5822 Mission		Wing Shun Tan	
7.	5851 Mission	6472/030	Kenneth Huang	
8.	5810 Mission St	#204 7143/041	MONARK MARK	
9.	29 Oliver St	6473/029A	Mingyue Chen	
10.	29 Oliver St	6473/029A	Xiaona Chen	
11.	5 Oliver St	6473/030	Jason Fong	
12.	5 Oliver St	6473/030	Catherine Leung	
13.	5791 Mission St	6473/030	Jason Fong	
14.	5799 Mission St	6473/030	Catherine Leung	
15.				
16.				
17.				
18.				
19.				
20.				
21.				
22.				

City Planning Commission

Case No. 2020-007152CUA

The undersigned declare that they are hereby subscribers to this Notice of Appeal and are owners of property affected by the proposed amendment or conditional use (that is, owners of property within the area that is the subject of the application for amendment or conditional use, or within a radius of 300 feet of the exterior boundaries of the property.

If ownership has changed and assessment roll has not been amended, we attach proof of ownership change. If signing for a firm or corporation, proof of authorization to sign on behalf of the organization is attached.

	Street Address, property owned	Assessor's Block & Lot	Printed Name of Owner(s)	Original Signature of Owner(s)
1.	<u>30 OLIVER ST.</u>	<u>6472/002</u>	<u>FREDERICK BATALEAS</u>	
2.	<u>80 OLIVER ST</u>	<u>6472/008</u>	<u>Q1 E CAL</u>	
3.	<u>80 OLIVER ST</u>		<u>2HU0 RAN VI</u>	
4.	<u>11</u>		<u>Angela C.</u>	
5.				
6.				
7.				
8.				
9.				
10.				
11.				
12.				
13.				
14.				
15.				
16.				
17.				
18.				
19.				
20.				
21.				
22.				

The undersigned declare that they are hereby subscribers to this Notice of Appeal and are owners of property affected by the proposed amendment or conditional use (that is, owners of property within the area that is the subject of the application for amendment or conditional use, or within a radius of 300 feet of the exterior boundaries of the property.

If ownership has changed and assessment roll has not been amended, we attach proof of ownership change. If signing for a firm or corporation, proof of authorization to sign on behalf of the organization is attached.

	Street Address, property owned	Assessor's Block & Lot	Printed Name of Owner(s)	Original Signature of Owner(s)
1.	<u>96 OLIVER ST. S.F. CAHIF.</u>	<u>B6472 LOT #4</u>	<u>R. J BUONOLATTI</u>	
2.	_____	_____	_____	_____
3.	_____	_____	_____	_____
4.	_____	_____	_____	_____
5.	_____	_____	_____	_____
6.	_____	_____	_____	_____
7.	_____	_____	_____	_____
8.	_____	_____	_____	_____
9.	_____	_____	_____	_____
10.	_____	_____	_____	_____
11.	_____	_____	_____	_____
12.	_____	_____	_____	_____
13.	_____	_____	_____	_____
14.	_____	_____	_____	_____
15.	_____	_____	_____	_____
16.	_____	_____	_____	_____
17.	_____	_____	_____	_____
18.	_____	_____	_____	_____
19.	_____	_____	_____	_____
20.	_____	_____	_____	_____
21.	_____	_____	_____	_____
22.	_____	_____	_____	_____

JUANA FLORES 415 307-3643

City Planning Commission

Case No. 2020-0071520VA

The undersigned declare that they are hereby subscribers to this Notice of Appeal and are owners of property affected by the proposed amendment or conditional use (that is, owners of property within the area that is the subject of the application for amendment or conditional use, or within a radius of 300 feet of the exterior boundaries of the property.

If ownership has changed and assessment roll has not been amended, we attach proof of ownership change. If signing for a firm or corporation, proof of authorization to sign on behalf of the organization is attached.

* Street Address, property owned	Assessor's Block & Lot	Printed Name of Owner(s)	Original Signature of Owner(s)
1. <u>60 LAWRENCE AV</u>	<u>7109A-026</u> ^{LOT}	<u>ANTONIO FLORES</u>	<u>[Signature]</u>
2. _____	_____	<u>JUANA FLORES</u>	<u>Juan Flores</u>
3. _____	_____	<u>OSCAR FLORES</u>	_____
4. _____	_____	_____	_____
5. _____	_____	_____	_____
6. _____	_____	_____	_____
7. _____	_____	_____	_____
8. _____	_____	_____	_____
9. _____	_____	_____	_____
10. _____	_____	_____	_____
11. _____	_____	_____	_____
12. _____	_____	_____	_____
13. _____	_____	_____	_____
14. _____	_____	_____	_____
15. _____	_____	_____	_____
16. _____	_____	_____	_____
17. _____	_____	_____	_____
18. _____	_____	_____	_____
19. _____	_____	_____	_____
20. _____	_____	_____	_____
21. _____	_____	_____	_____
22. _____	_____	_____	_____

The undersigned declare that they are hereby subscribers to this Notice of Appeal and are owners of property affected by the proposed amendment or conditional use (that is, owners of property within the area that is the subject of the application for amendment or conditional use, or within a radius of 300 feet of the exterior boundaries of the property).

If ownership has changed and assessment roll has not been amended, we attach proof of ownership change. If signing for a firm or corporation, proof of authorization to sign on behalf of the organization is attached.

	Street Address, property owned	Assessor's Block & Lot	Printed Name of Owner(s)	Original Signature of Owner(s)
1.	<u>125 Whittier St.</u>	<u>6490 027</u>	<u>Victor Fong</u>	<u>Victor Fong</u>
2.	<u>133 Whittier St.</u>	<u>6490 028</u>	<u>Victor Fong</u>	<u>Victor Fong</u>
3.	<u>125 Whittier ST</u>		<u>Kristi Fong</u>	<u>KNIGI E FONG</u>
4.	<u>125 Whittier ST</u>		<u>Bao Bei Tan</u>	<u>BaoBei Tan</u>
5.	<u>125 Whittier ST</u>		<u>Gary Fong</u>	<u>GARY FONG</u>
6.	<u>125 Whittier ST</u>		<u>Kaitlin Fong</u>	<u>Kaitlin Fong</u>
7.	<u>125 Whittier ST</u>		<u>Kyle Fong</u>	<u>Kyle Fong</u>
8.	<u>125 Whittier ST</u>		<u>Chloe Fong</u>	<u>Chloe Fong</u>
9.	<u>142 WHITTIER ST.</u>		<u>CHOW KAU TAM</u>	<u>Chow Kau Tam</u>
10.	<u>142 WHITTIER ST.</u>		<u>CHOI HUNG CHAN</u>	<u>Choi Hung Chan</u>
11.	<u>142 WHITTIER ST.</u>		<u>JOHNNY TAM</u>	<u>Johnny Tam</u>
12.	<u>167 Whittier St</u>		<u>Jenny Jian</u>	<u>Jin Ai Jian</u>
13.	<u>167 Whittier St</u>		<u>Zhen Wen Li</u>	<u>Zhen Wen Li</u>
14.	<u>152 Whittier St</u>		<u>Lu Ji Lin</u>	<u>LJ Lin</u>
15.	<u>152 Whittier St</u>		<u>Runtan Cen</u>	<u>R Cen</u>
16.	<u>156 WHITTIER ST</u>		<u>CEN MAGAT</u>	<u>Cen on Magat</u>
17.	<u>156 Whittier St.</u>		<u>Dalisay Magat</u>	<u>Dalisay Magat</u>
18.				
19.				
20.				
21.				
22.				

City Planning Commission

Case No. 2020-007152CUA

The undersigned declare that they are hereby subscribers to this Notice of Appeal and are owners of property affected by the proposed amendment or conditional use (that is, owners of property within the area that is the subject of the application for amendment or conditional use, or within a radius of 300 feet of the exterior boundaries of the property).

If ownership has changed and assessment roll has not been amended, we attach proof of ownership change. If signing for a firm or corporation, proof of authorization to sign on behalf of the organization is attached.

Street Address, property owned	Assessor's Block & Lot	Printed Name of Owner(s)	Original Signature of Owner(s)
1. 34 Whittier Street		Yue Hin Ko	
2. 34 Whittier Street		Wing Wai Hong	
3. 22 Whittier St		To, David	
4. 28 Whittier St.		Wei Danyu	
5. 28 Whittier St		Tom Chen Huang	
6. _____			
7. _____			
8. _____			
9. _____			
10. _____			
11. _____			
12. _____			
13. _____			
14. _____			
15. _____			
16. _____			
17. _____			
18. _____			
19. _____			
20. _____			
21. _____			
22. _____			

City Planning Commission

Case No. 2020-007152CUA

The undersigned declare that they are hereby subscribers to this Notice of Appeal and are owners of property affected by the proposed amendment or conditional use (that is, owners of property within the area that is the subject of the application for amendment or conditional use, or within a radius of 300 feet of the exterior boundaries of the property.

If ownership has changed and assessment roll has not been amended, we attach proof of ownership change. If signing for a firm or corporation, proof of authorization to sign on behalf of the organization is attached.




Street Address, property owned	Assessor's Block & Lot	Printed Name of Owner(s)	Original Signature of Owner(s)
1. 779 Brunswick street		Mei Xiang Yan	mei xiang yan
2. 779 BRUNSWICK STREET		Emily Yan Ting Wu	Emily Yan Ting Wu
3. 212 Lobos ST		MINDY HUANG	Mindy Huang
4. 210 Lobos st		Alice Lee	Alice Lee
5. 5897 mission		JAMES ZITUNG	James Zitong
6. 90 Florentine		JIAN RU	Jian Ru
7. 5816 MISSISSA ST.		ALFREDO PEÑA	Alfredo Peña
8. 5816 MISSISSA ST A		VIVIAN FAN	Vivian Fan
9. 5816 MISSION ST		ZOSIMO VILLAPAZA III	Zosimo Villapaza III
10. 5816 Mission St 201		JORDAN P. MEZA	Jordan P. Meza
11. 5818 Mission St		Al. Meza	Al. Meza
12. 80 Lanke st		ELAINE LAU	Elaine Lau
13. 170 Alise		Don Joo	Don Joo
14. 11210'		Peixing Li	Peixing Li
15. 310 Gutfenberg		BILL PHUONG	Bill Phuong
16. 80 OLIVER		STEVEN LI	Steven Li
17. 80 OLIVER		Angela Li	Angela Li
18. 49 Oliver		Villa M Jaento	Villa M Jaento
19. 298 Whittier		Jamie	Jamie
20. 298 Whittier		Li Siun	Li Siun
21. _____			
22. _____			

City Planning Commission

Case No. 2020-007152CUA

The undersigned declare that they are hereby subscribers to this Notice of Appeal and are owners of property affected by the proposed amendment or conditional use (that is, owners of property within the area that is the subject of the application for amendment or conditional use, or within a radius of 300 feet of the exterior boundaries of the property.

If ownership has changed and assessment roll has not been amended, we attach proof of ownership change. If signing for a firm or corporation, proof of authorization to sign on behalf of the organization is attached.

Street Address, property owned	Assessor's Block & Lot	Printed Name of Owner(s)	Original Signature of Owner(s)
1. <u>5800 MISSION ST SF</u>	<u>7143 038</u>	<u>ZHENG BO TANG</u>	
2. <u>u u</u>	<u>u u</u>	<u>TAMMY LIANG</u>	
3. <u>m u</u>	<u>u u</u>	<u>SAMANTHA TANG</u>	
4. <u>u u</u>	<u>u u</u>	<u>SHAWNEE TANG</u>	
5. <u>u u</u>	<u>u u</u>	<u>KENNY CHOW</u>	
6. _____	_____	_____	_____
7. _____	_____	_____	_____
8. _____	_____	_____	_____
9. _____	_____	_____	_____
10. _____	_____	_____	_____
11. _____	_____	_____	_____
12. _____	_____	_____	_____
13. _____	_____	_____	_____
14. _____	_____	_____	_____
15. _____	_____	_____	_____
16. _____	_____	_____	_____
17. _____	_____	_____	_____
18. _____	_____	_____	_____
19. _____	_____	_____	_____
20. _____	_____	_____	_____
21. _____	_____	_____	_____
22. _____	_____	_____	_____

City Planning Commission

Case No. 2020-007152CUA

The undersigned declare that they are hereby subscribers to this Notice of Appeal and are owners of property affected by the proposed amendment or conditional use (that is, owners of property within the area that is the subject of the application for amendment or conditional use, or within a radius of 300 feet of the exterior boundaries of the property.

If ownership has changed and assessment roll has not been amended, we attach proof of ownership change. If signing for a firm or corporation, proof of authorization to sign on behalf of the organization is attached.

Street Address, property owned	Assessor's Block & Lot	Printed Name of Owner(s)	Original Signature of Owner(s)
1. 80 CRESCIO CT		Jenny Lam	
2. 80 Crescio Ct		Mi Rhee	Mi Rhee
3. 80 Crescio Ct.		TAERASAK LEE	
4. 218 NIAGARA		YIM SIN FONG	Yim Sin Fong KEN KWONG
5. 537 BRUNSWICK ST		XUE BIN HANG	Xue Bin Hang
6. 749 Brunswick St		TIE SONG LING	Tie Song Ling
7. 749 BRUNSWICK ST		XUE TANG LING	Xue Tang Ling
8. 132 BRUNSWICK ST		GUINAN JIANG	GUINAN JIANG
9. 132 BRUNSWICK ST		TIE B HUANG	TIE B HUANG
10. 81 White Street		Shi Lyshu	
11. 80 White Street		Yanxue Kong	Yanxue Kong
12. 218 London St		Bi Chang Wu	Bi Chang Wu
13. 2 Amazon Ave.		Yan Huan Wu	Yan Huan Wu
14. 843 Brunswick St		Qarmer Alday	
15. 843 Brunswick		gppadua	
16. Price St only w/h		Chli	
17. 416 416 Monroe St		Zhang	Zhang
18. 609 BRUNSWICK		Ruzi Li	Ruzi Li
19. 728 Brunswick Street		Shu Hui Wu	Shu Hui Wu
20. 431 Amazon Ave		Somboon	
21. 281 Cowell Street		Xinlan Huang	Xinlan Huang
22. 787 Brunswick		Chiling Wang	
23. 152 White St		Annie Cai	Annie Cai
24. Hill Co. L.		850D BRUNSWICK ST	
25. 135 GRANADA AVE		Mu Lin Chen	

26 75 OLIVER ST

Xiao Feng Huang Xiao Feng Huang





27 Gao zhu zhu 852 Brunswick St

28. mi mi Cai 118 Oliver St

29 605 Hanover Lily Wong 3

The undersigned declare that they are hereby subscribers to this Notice of Appeal and are owners of property affected by the proposed amendment or conditional use (that is, owners of property within the area that is the subject of the application for amendment or conditional use, or within a radius of 300 feet of the exterior boundaries of the property.

If ownership has changed and assessment roll has not been amended, we attach proof of ownership change. If signing for a firm or corporation, proof of authorization to sign on behalf of the organization is attached.

Street Address, property owned	Assessor's Block & Lot	Printed Name of Owner(s)	Original Signature of Owner(s)
1. <u>5871 Mission St. #8 S-F</u>	<u>64721050</u>	<u>ESTHER NAGRAMP</u>	
2. <u>5871 Mission St. #8 2</u> <small>941B</small>	<u>64721044</u>	<u>Shmei Tan</u>	
3. <u>5871 mission Apt 5</u>	<u>64721043</u>	<u>Shashi</u>	<u>S.K.</u>
4. <u>5871 Mission 6</u>	<u>64721048</u>	<u>EXCER SUCAPITA</u>	
5. <u>5871 Mission Apt 7</u>	<u>64721049</u>	<u>Wendy chen</u>	
6. <u>5871 Mission st. #9</u>	<u>64721051</u>	<u>Yan Qing Chow</u>	
7. <u>5871 MISSION #3</u>	<u>64721045</u>	<u>Oleg Tomillo</u>	
8. <u>5873 MISSION ST.</u>	<u>64721054</u>	<u>NOREEN QUIMSON</u>	
9. _____	_____	_____	_____
10. _____	_____	_____	_____
11. _____	_____	_____	_____
12. _____	_____	_____	_____
13. _____	_____	_____	_____
14. _____	_____	_____	_____
15. _____	_____	_____	_____
16. _____	_____	_____	_____
17. _____	_____	_____	_____
18. _____	_____	_____	_____
19. _____	_____	_____	_____
20. _____	_____	_____	_____
21. _____	_____	_____	_____
22. _____	_____	_____	_____

984



NEIGHBORHOOD APPEAL FOR:

2020-007152CUA

BUILDING PERMIT: 6472/001

DATE OF DECISION: JUNE 10, 2021

**LETTERS OF SUPPORT &
MISC.**

BetterHousingPolicies.org

住房政策改良会

7/10/2021

Dear Board of Supervisors,

BetterHousingPolicies.org(BHP) is joining the African-American-led SF Christian Center and other neighborhood groups in the **appeal against** the Planning Commission's decision to approve the Conditional Use Authorization (CUA) of 5801 Mission Street as a cannabis retail. The Case Number is **2020-007152CUA**.

BHP represents a majority of the monolingual and limited English-speaking Chinese and Asian communities in the City on their quality housing needs. We must highlight that the Outer Mission's population is 51% Asian, 50% foreign born, 74% families and 68% limited or non-English-speakers, we have a large membership presence in the Outer Mission. Our membership is overwhelmingly **opposing** this project because it **decreases** the quality-of-life for the neighborhood, and **subtracts** from the offering of the neighborhood business. **Our membership and our community need services for families, youth and seniors, not yet another cannabis store.**

Moreover, the project sponsor did not do an adequate job in **outreaching** to our communities and membership. Most of our members in the 300' area were not notified in a culturally competent way. There were only one or two members of the Asian community attended the two community meetings held by the project sponsor. None of our members affected by the project knew about the meetings. **The project is culturally insensitive and exclusionary.** This gives an impression that the project sponsor tries to fly under the radar. This is an indicator that the project sponsor will be a subpar neighbor.

We join the other appealing neighborhood organizations in **protest** of the Planning Commission's assessment that the project is a necessary and welcomed business. **District 11 already has THREE cannabis storefronts** that serve both San Francisco and San Mateo County. There are **at least FIVE stores in a one mile radius** and it already constitutes **clustering**. In addition, there are other means of purchasing and using cannabis, including delivery and legally growing at home.

Don't forget, around the 1,000 feet radius of the project site is identified as a **High-Risk Corridor** by the Vision Zero program of the City and County of San Francisco. Also, the areas around the Longfellow School are identified for the **Safe Routes to Schools** program due to the high number of pedestrian fatality and injuries. **Adding another cannabis store attracting traffic from two counties is a hazard to the community.**

We respectfully ask you to reject this project.

Sincerely,

Josephine Zhao

07 / 11 / 2021

BetterHousingPolicies.org
info@BetterHousingPolicies.org

TITLE	Appeal 5801 Mission LOS
FILE NAME	BHP joining neigh...nnabis retail.pdf
DOCUMENT ID	8d5dc4cb22459977f990fff84933ce2faa92c536
AUDIT TRAIL DATE FORMAT	MM / DD / YYYY
STATUS	• Completed

Document History

 SENT	07 / 12 / 2021 04:18:05 UTC	Sent for signature to Josephine Zhao (josephine8zhao@gmail.com) from fitzpatrick.delia@gmail.com IP: 73.170.115.23
 VIEWED	07 / 12 / 2021 05:08:52 UTC	Viewed by Josephine Zhao (josephine8zhao@gmail.com) IP: 157.131.114.37
 SIGNED	07 / 12 / 2021 05:09:28 UTC	Signed by Josephine Zhao (josephine8zhao@gmail.com) IP: 157.131.114.37
 COMPLETED	07 / 12 / 2021 05:09:28 UTC	The document has been completed.



July 9, 2021

San Francisco Board of Supervisors
City and County of San Francisco
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place
San Francisco, CA 94102

Attention Board of Supervisors:

The African American Early Childhood Educators respectfully requests the Board of Supervisors to allow an appeal hearing on the Conditional Use Authorization case number 2020-007152, allowing 5801 Mission Street to be used as a cannabis retailer.

The African American Early Childhood Educators are advocates for African American children and all child care providers that support and educate. Our organization is a resource to the Family Child Care community and city at large, providing support services and assistance, referrals, and a wealth of knowledge and guidance for best practice insights for all who provide care to African American children in San Francisco. We want to reduce the achievement gap; to ensure that each child has access to quality education and equitable resources

The African American Early Childhood Educators' vision is that every child will have equitable access to high quality education, fitted with positive social emotional learning and reinforced with trauma informed. The approval of a cannabis establishment so close to children whether 100 or 1000 feet; in an SFUSD school, in an afterschool or child care facility should be view as hazardous, unethical and illogical.

We request an appeal hearing on the conditional use of the 5801 Mission Street location be granted for three reasons.

1. **Public Safety.** Longfellow Elementary is 1000 feet from 5801 Mission Street. This Title 1 school is the educational home to over 500 students and was the **first school identified by SFUSD to operate a Safe Routes to Schools program**, due to the high number of pedestrian and car crashes and near misses. Over the past five years, SFMTA has installed new signals, cross walks, and traffic calming measures to remediate crashes and injuries. Cannabis dispensaries are high volume, stop, drop, and roll businesses. 5801 Mission Street is desirable due to its proximity to the San Mateo County line and 280 freeway on and off ramps. This business will increase car traffic in a high injury corridor,

putting children and families directly in the path of cannabis club visitors between 5:00pm and 6:20pm, when working parents pick up kids from school.

2. Inadequate community engagement. COVID-19 pandemic and the struggles and damage it caused didn't allow families to think about anything but survival and safety. To be clear, 94112 led the city with the highest number of infections for six months. If the Longfellow Elementary school site hadn't been closed the majority of the past 18 months due to the COVID 19 public health crisis, far more families and community members would have been engaged. An appeal hearing should not be scheduled until after the 2021-2022 school year starts, allowing time for school families and community to be better informed.

During the Planning Department hearing when the conditional use to operate as a cannabis dispensary was granted, Cantonese speaking participants in public testimony did not receive adequate translation services.

3. Community Equity and Cannabis Equity. Application of the Cannabis Equity program shouldn't come at the expense of Community Equity. The intent of the cannabis equity program is to make it easier for folks whose neighborhoods were most impacted by the Federal Government's failed "War on Drugs" to enter into the marketplace.

Sincerely,



Monique Guidry, Founding Member
African American Early Childhood Educators
Guidry's Early Care & Education Program (26 years of service)
San Francisco, CA. 94112

Members of the African American Early Childhood Educators:

Margie Stokes, Marge's Child Care (37 years of service)
Renee Underwood, Retired Child Care Provider (38 years of service)
Mary Evelyn Thomas, Our Special Place (40 years of service)
Pat Sullivan, Baby Steps Nature School (30 years of service)
Delia Fitzpatrick, Our Kids First (12 years of service) (Admin)

info@aaece.org

JULY 11TH, 2021



TAX ID #33-1090268

OMI CULTURAL PARTICIPATION PROJECT

Dear San Francisco Planning Commission
San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

We are appealing the Planning Commission's decision to approve the Conditional Use Authorization (CUA) of 5801 Mission Street Case Number. 2020-007152CUA.

We disagree with the Planning Commission's summary that this is a necessary and needed business. District 11 already has THREE cannabis storefronts that serve the community and citizens that reside in both San Francisco and San Mateo County. There are at least 5 in a one mile radius and constituents clustering. In addition, there are other means of purchasing/using cannabis including delivery and the ability to grow cannabis which is allowed by law.

The area, within 1000 feet, is identified as a High-Risk corridor by the City and County of San Francisco Vision Zero program and the Longfellow School is identified for the Safe Routes to Schools program, due to the high number of pedestrian and car crashes and near misses. This is a hazard to the community.

Sincerely,

07 / 11 / 2021

Maurice Rivers
Executive Director

415-729-3658
209 OCEAN AVENUE • SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94112
WWW.OMI-CPP.ORG

TITLE	5801 Mission Street Appeal OMI-CPP
FILE NAME	5801 Mission Supp...r (OMI-CPP) R.pdf
DOCUMENT ID	2793d5d73ad91fa46edd1103a6ab5580f3c89562
AUDIT TRAIL DATE FORMAT	MM / DD / YYYY
STATUS	• Completed

Document History



07 / 12 / 2021
03:45:34 UTC

Sent for signature to Maurice Rivers
(jumpstreet1983@gmail.com) from fitzpatrick.delia@gmail.com
IP: 73.170.115.23



07 / 12 / 2021
03:46:50 UTC

Viewed by Maurice Rivers (jumpstreet1983@gmail.com)
IP: 73.93.154.126



07 / 12 / 2021
03:47:11 UTC

Signed by Maurice Rivers (jumpstreet1983@gmail.com)
IP: 73.93.154.126



COMPLETED

07 / 12 / 2021
03:47:11 UTC

The document has been completed.



Lakeview

July 9, 2021

San Francisco Board of Supervisors
City and County of San Francisco
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place
San Francisco, CA 94102

Attention Board of Supervisors:

The OMI Community Collaborative respectfully requests the Board of Supervisors to allow an appeal hearing on the Conditional Use Authorization case number 2020-007152, allowing 5801 Mission Street to be used as a cannabis retailer.

The Oceanview, Merced Heights and Ingleside Community Collaborative (OMI-CC) is a group of individuals, non-profit organizations, business owners and faith-based organizations who have come together to form alliances that will strengthen their communities and give stability to future generations.

The mission of the OMI Community Collaborative (OMICC) is to seek community engagement through inclusive and diverse practices, to build community resources which enable thriving economic self-sufficiency and stability and to create diverse family and neighborhood-oriented community that is vibrant, educated, thriving and green with health and lifestyle needs that are easily met.

We request an appeal hearing on the conditional use of the 5801 Mission Street location be granted for three reasons.

1. Public Safety. Longfellow Elementary is 1000 feet from 5801 Mission Street. This Title 1 school is the educational home to over 500 students and was the **first school identified by SFUSD to operate a Safe Routes to Schools program**, due to the high number of pedestrian and car crashes and near misses. Over the past five years, SFMTA has installed new signals, cross walks, and traffic calming measures to remediate crashes and injuries. Cannabis dispensaries are high volume, stop, drop, and roll businesses. 5801 Mission Street is desirable due to its proximity to the San Mateo County line and 280 freeway on and off ramps. This business will increase car traffic in a high injury corridor, putting children and families directly in the path of cannabis club visitors between 5:00pm and 6:20pm, when working parents pick up kids from school.
2. Inadequate community engagement. COVID-19 pandemic and the struggles and damage it caused didn't allow families to think about anything but survival and safety. To be clear, 94112 led the city with the highest number of infections for six months. If the Longfellow Elementary school



Lakeview

site hadn't been closed the majority of the past 18 months due to the COVID 19 public health crisis, far more families and community members would have been engaged. An appeal hearing should not be scheduled until after the 2021-2022 school year starts, allowing time for school families and community to be better informed.

During the Planning Department hearing when the conditional use to operate as a cannabis dispensary was granted, Cantonese speaking participants in public testimony did not receive adequate translation services.

3. Community Equity and Cannabis Equity. Application of the Cannabis Equity program shouldn't come at the expense of Community Equity. The intent of the cannabis equity program is to make it easier for folks whose neighborhoods were most impacted by the Federal Government's failed "War on Drugs" to enter into the marketplace. There are any number of vacant storefronts on Mission street a little further north on Mission Street that the applicant could have chosen. Longfellow Elementary has been operating in that location for 139 years.

Sincerely,

Delia Fitzpatrick
OMI Community Collaborative, Chair
Our Kids First/Invest Black/African American Early Childhood Educators
5845 Mission Street, Ste. 301
San Francisco, CA. 94112

Members of the OMI Community Collaborative:

Al Harris, OMI Neighbors in Action
Alida Fisher, CASE/Resident
Bonnie Ora Sherk, A Living Library
Brandon Wiley, OMI Family Resource Center/Urban YMCA
Denise Sanderson, Ocean View Library
Felicia Thibodeaux, Southwest Community Corporation/I.T. Bookman Community Center
Jackie Battle Rec and Park Department
Jennifer Gasang, SFSU/Institute of Civil Engagement
Johanna Lopez Miyaki, We are OMI
Laura Padilla, Mission YMCA
Mary C. Harris, OMI Neighbors in Action

Mary Evelyn Thomas, African American Early Childhood Educators
Maurice Rivers, OMI Cultural Participation Project
Moneka Urbanske, Ridge Lane Neighbors
Monique Guidry, African American Early Childhood Educators
Patty Clement-Cihak, Catholic Charities CYO
Renard Monroe, Youth 1st
Robert Muehlbauer, Ridge Lane Neighbors
Theresa De Dios, Stonestown YMCA



Delia Fitzpatrick <fitzpatrick.delia@gmail.com>

Re: Question about Releaf Cannabis at 5801 Mission

1 message

Balba, Ryan (CPC) <ryan.balba@sfgov.org>

Wed, Mar 10, 2021 at 2:13 PM

To: Delia Fitzpatrick <fitzpatrick.delia@gmail.com>

Cc: Ahsha Safai <ahshasafai@gmail.com>, Ernest Jones <mrernestjones@gmail.com>, Patty Clement-Cihak <pclement@cccyo.org>, Gale Rosboro <rosboro.gale@gmail.com>, Mary Thomas <ospmayethomas@gmail.com>, jumpstreet1983 <jumpstreet1983@gmail.com>, Roderick Gittens <rgittens@sbcglobal.net>, Jackie Manion <jackie@okfprogram.com>

Hello Delia,

Thank you for your email. The Pre-Application Meeting is an opportunity for applicants to meet with persons or groups who are interested in a Project, so they can provide feedback and discuss any concerns. If you still have concerns with the Project after the Pre-Application Meeting, we recommend that you continue to discuss your concerns with the Project Sponsor. If you still have concerns when the Project goes to hearing, you can certainly submit a written comment prior to the hearing or provide a verbal comment during the hearing.

As to your concern with the attendee list, the Department does not attend these meetings, and we only verify that correct notice was provided so that any person who may be interested can attend and discuss the Project. It seems that you received that notice and were able to attend. I can (and will) certainly note to the Project Sponsor to verify the attendee list on Zoom and ensure that anyone who identified themselves during the meeting is listed.

Thank you,
Ryan

Ryan Balba, Assistant Planner
Southwest Team, Current Planning Division

All Pronouns

San Francisco Planning

49 South Van Ness Avenue, Suite 1400, San Francisco, CA 94103

Direct: 628.652.7331 | www.sfplanning.org[San Francisco Property Information Map](#)

Due to COVID-19, San Francisco Planning is operating remotely, and the City's Permit Center is open on a limited basis. Our staff are [available by e-mail](#), and the Planning and Historic Preservation Commissions are convening remotely. The public is [encouraged to participate](#). Find more information on our services [here](#).

From: Delia Fitzpatrick <fitzpatrick.delia@gmail.com>**Sent:** Wednesday, March 10, 2021 3:50 AM**To:** Balba, Ryan (CPC) <ryan.balba@sfgov.org>**Cc:** Ahsha Safai <ahshasafai@gmail.com>; Ernest Jones <mrernestjones@gmail.com>; Patty Clement-Cihak <pclement@cccyo.org>; Gale Rosboro <rosboro.gale@gmail.com>; Mary Thomas <ospmayethomas@gmail.com>; jumpstreet1983 <jumpstreet1983@gmail.com>; Roderick Gittens <rgittens@sbcglobal.net>; Jackie Manion <jackie@okfprogram.com>**Subject:** Question about Releaf Cannabis at 5801 Mission

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Good morning, Ryan:

My early morning reading included the pre-application about Releaf.

How do you validate the details provided in the application?

I have a few concerns about a few things:

1. Per my notes, there were 16 people on the call, the application only mentions 9. I know for a fact that 9 people were excluded from the attendance roster:

Gale Rosboro, Patty Clement, Mary Evelyn Thomas, Pastor Roderick Gittens, Mary & Al Harris, Felisia Thibodeux, Nicholas Wilsey, and myself.

Maurice Rivers was included as Maurice R.

Question: How are you validating (fact-checking) the information provided?

2. The supplemental use application says:

There are no sensitive uses (as defined in Planning Code Section 202.2A) within 600 feet of the proposed site, the sponsors are conscious that minors will pass by the site.

My feedback:

A. Our Kids First, an SFUSD community learning hub/after-school and summer program: 226 feet away at 5845 Mission Street. We walk and pick our students up from Longfellow Elementary and San Francisco Christian School. I know that the laws were amended to shorten the distance from 900 to 600 of only a school (K-12), but the impact needs to account for the impact of the community and include the SFUSD satellite location. We are a valued extension and should be view as such.

3. The supplemental use application says:

This will complement the mix of goods and services currently available in the district and contribute to the economic vitality of the neighborhood by removing a vacant store-front.

My feedback:

Per my comment from the pre-application meeting that I was not documented as being in attendance, the proposed site is across the street from Woody's liquor store (82 feet), the Connection bar (174 feet), and a Lucky Van's tattoo parlor (200 feet). This is a hazardous environment already and adding one more ingredient would make it inhabitable by the community that lives there.

4. The supplemental use application says:

The Planning Code does not require parking or loading for any use. The proposed use is designed to meet the needs of the immediate neighborhood and should generate significant amounts of vehicular trips from the immediate neighborhood or citywide. The applicant intends to diligently prevent double-parking by customers who visit the store.

My feedback:

There is no available parking on a regular day.
How can they prevent double parking and high foot traffic?

5. The supplemental use application says:

This Project is consistent with the stated purpose of the NCD district in that the intended retail use will provide diversity to the retail corridor and a compatible retail service to the public in the immediate surrounding neighborhood.

My feedback:

District 11 consists of the highest youth and seniors in the city.

There are already 3 cannabis establishments:

Green Leaf, 4214 Mission Street
Cookies, 5226 Mission Street
Mission Organic, 5258 Mission Street

Please provide clarification.

Delia
Our Kids First
OMI Community Collaborative
African American Early Educators
Invest Black

--

Delia Fitzpatrick

The Good Rural

010

62-111/311

7/12 21

PAY TO THE
ORDER OF

SF Planning Dept

\$ 665.00

Six hundred sixty five dollars - 00/100

DOLLARS

See the
Front of
Details on
Back.

BlueVine

FOR

2020-00715204 *[Signature]*

MONARCH



San Francisco Planning

BOARD OF SUPERVISORS APPEAL FEE WAIVER FOR NEIGHBORHOOD ORGANIZATIONS

APPLICATION

Appellant's Information

Name: Pastor Roderick Gittens

Address: 5825-5845 Mission

Email Address: rgittens@sfchristiancenter.org

Telephone: 415-584-5515 ext. 20

Neighborhood Group Organization Information

Name of Organization: San Francisco Christian Center

Address: 5825-5845 Mission

Email Address: cdillard@sfchristiancenter.org

Telephone: 415-584-5515 ext. 13

Property Information

Project Address: 5801 Mission Street

Project Application (PRJ) Record No: 2020-007152CUA

Building Permit No: 6472/ 001

Date of Decision (if any): JUNE 10, 2021

Required Criteria for Granting Waiver

All must be satisfied; please attach supporting materials.

REQUIRED CRITERIA	YES	NO
The appellant is a member of the stated neighborhood organization and is authorized to file the appeal on behalf of the organization. Authorization may take the form of a letter signed by the President or other officer of the organization.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	
The appellant is appealing on behalf of an organization that is registered with the Planning Department and that appears on the Department's current list of neighborhood organizations.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	
The appellant is appealing on behalf of an organization that has been in existence at least 24 months prior to the submittal of the fee waiver request. Existence may be established by evidence including that relating to the organization's activities at that time such as meeting minutes, resolutions, publications and rosters.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	
The appellant is appealing on behalf of a neighborhood organization that is affected by the project and that is the subject of the appeal.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	

For Department Use Only

Application received by Planning Department:

By: _____

Date: _____

Submission Checklist:

- APPELLANT AUTHORIZATION CURRENT ORGANIZATION REGISTRATION MINIMUM ORGANIZATION AGE
 PROJECT IMPACT ON ORGANIZATION

- WAIVER APPROVED WAIVER DENIED



BOARD OF SUPERVISORS APPEAL FEE WAIVER FOR NEIGHBORHOOD ORGANIZATIONS INFORMATIONAL AND APPLICATION PACKET

Pursuant to Planning Code Section 350(j)(3) and Ordinance No. 149-16, Section 4, the Planning Director shall consider and make determinations regarding applications for the authorization of a Board of Supervisors Appeal Fee Waiver.

For questions, call 415.558.6377, email pic@sfgov.org, or visit the Planning Information Center (PIC) at 1660 Mission Street, San Francisco, where planners are available to assist you.

Español: Si desea ayuda sobre cómo llenar esta solicitud en español, por favor llame al 415.575.9010. Tenga en cuenta que el Departamento de Planificación requerirá al menos un día hábil para responder

中文: 如果您希望獲得使用中文填寫這份申請表的幫助, 請致電415.575.9010。請注意, 規劃部門需要至少一個工作日來回應。

Tagalog: Kung gusto mo ng tulong sa pagkumpleto ng application na ito sa Filipino, paki tawagan ang 415.575.9120. Paki tandaan na mangangailangan ang Planning Department ng hindi kukulangin sa isang araw na pantrabaho para makasagot.

WHAT IS AN APPLICATION FOR A BOARD OF SUPERVISORS APPEAL FEE WAIVER?

Planning Code Section 350(j)(3) and Ordinance No. 149-16, Section 4, establishes a waiver from the Board of Supervisor Appeal fees if the appeal is filed by a neighborhood organization that has been in existence for 24 months prior to the filing date of the request, is on the Planning Department's neighborhood organization notification list and can demonstrate to the Planning Director or his/her designee that the organization is substantially affected by the proposed project.

WHO MAY APPLY FOR A DISCRETIONARY REVIEW FEE WAIVER?

Any individual or neighborhood group can file for a Board of Supervisors Appeal. Exact criteria for neighborhood group organizations in order to qualify for a fee waiver are specified below:

- the appellant is a member of the stated neighborhood organization and is authorized to file the appeal on behalf of the organization. Authorization may take the form of a letter signed by the President or other officer of the organization;
- the appellant is appealing on behalf of the organization that is registered with the Planning Department and that appears on the Planning Department's current list of neighborhood organization. To determine if the neighborhood group organization is registered with the Planning Department, visit <http://sf-planning.org/neighborhood-groups-map>;
- the appellant is appealing on behalf of an organization that has been in existence at least 24 months prior to the submittal of the fee waiver request. Existence may be established by evidence including that relating to the organization's activities at that time such as meeting minutes, resolutions, publications, website or roster; and
- the appellant is appealing on behalf of a neighborhood organization that is affected by the project and that is the subject of the appeal.

HOW DO I SUBMIT THE APPLICATION?

If the requirements above are met, complete the following application, along with any necessary supporting materials, and submit it to the Planning Information Center (PIC) at 1660 Mission Street, First Floor, San Francisco, CA 94013.

A check must be made for the correct amount per the [Planning Department Fee Schedule](#), payable to San Francisco Planning Department. Once the Department determines that the requestor is eligible for the fee waiver, the Department will mail the check back to the entity.

From: [BOS Legislation, \(BOS\)](#)
To: rgittens@sfchristiancenter.org; ed.mat.brown@gmail.com; heidihanley@yahoo.com; 5801missionstreet@gmail.com
Cc: [PEARSON, ANNE \(CAT\)](#); [STACY, KATE \(CAT\)](#); [JENSEN, KRISTEN \(CAT\)](#); [Ryan, James \(DPW\)](#); [Tse, Bernie \(DPW\)](#); [Duran, Vanessa \(DPW\)](#); [Wong, Jason \(DPW\)](#); [Gibson, Lisa \(CPC\)](#); [Jain, Devyani \(CPC\)](#); [Varat, Adam \(CPC\)](#); [Navarrete, Joy \(CPC\)](#); [Lynch, Laura \(CPC\)](#); [Teague, Corey \(CPC\)](#); [Sanchez, Scott \(CPC\)](#); [Sider, Dan \(CPC\)](#); [Starr, Aaron \(CPC\)](#); [Ionin, Jonas \(CPC\)](#); [Balba, Ryan \(CPC\)](#); [Rosenberg, Julie \(BOA\)](#); [Longaway, Alec \(BOA\)](#); [BOS- Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#); [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#); [Somera, Alisa \(BOS\)](#); [Mchugh, Eileen \(BOS\)](#); [BOS Legislation, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: PLANNING DEPARTMENT RESPONSE: Proposed 5801 Mission Street Project - Appeal Hearing July 27, 2021
Date: Monday, July 19, 2021 10:58:22 AM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Greetings,

The Office of the Clerk of the Board received the following response from the Planning Department, regarding the proposed 5801 Mission Street project:

[Planning Department Response – July 20, 2021](#)

The hearing for this matter is scheduled for 3:00 p.m. special order before the Board on July 27, 2021.

I invite you to review the entire matters on our [Legislative Research Center](#) by following the link below:

[Board of Supervisors File No. 210801](#)

Best regards,

Jocelyn Wong

San Francisco Board of Supervisors

1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244

San Francisco, CA 94102

T: 415.554.7702 | F: 415.554.5163

jocelyn.wong@sfgov.org | www.sfbos.org

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a “virtual” meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation, and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of

the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors' website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.

From: [Starr, Aaron \(CPC\)](#)
To: [BOS Legislation, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Planning Department Appeal Response 5801 Mission Street
Date: Monday, July 19, 2021 10:35:40 AM
Attachments: [CU Appeal Response Portfolio - 5801 MISSION ST - 2020-007152APL \(ID 1245825\).pdf](#)

Please see attached.

Aaron Starr, Manager of Legislative Affairs

Legislative Affairs

San Francisco Planning

PLEASE NOTE MY NEW ADDRESS AND PHONE NUMBER AS OF AUGUST 17, 2020:

49 South Van Ness Avenue, Suite 1400, San Francisco, CA 94103

Direct: +1628-652-7533 | sfplanning.org

[San Francisco Property Information Map](#)

IN ORDER FOR US TO MOVE, OUR OFFICE WILL BE CLOSED WITH NO ACCESS TO PHONES OR E-MAIL ON THURSDAY, AUGUST 13 and FRIDAY, AUGUST 14, 2020. WE APPRECIATE YOUR PATIENCE.

Due to COVID-19, San Francisco Planning is not providing any in-person services, but we are operating remotely. Our staff are available by e-mail, and the Planning and Historic Preservation Commissions are convening remotely. The public is encouraged to participate. Find more information on our services [here](#).



Conditional Use Authorization Appeal

5801 MISSION ST

DATE: July 19, 2021
TO: Angela Calvillo, Clerk of the Board of Supervisors
FROM: Rich Hillis, Planning Director – Planning Department (628) 652-7600
Ryan Balba, Case Planner – Planning Department (628) 652-7331
RE: Board File No. 210801, Planning Case No. 2020-007152CUA
Appeal of Conditional Use Authorization for 123 Market Street
HEARING DATE: July 27, 2021
PROJECT SPONSOR: Edward Brown, PO BOX 31248, Walnut Creek, CA 31248
APPELLANTS: Pastor Roderick Gittens, on behalf of the San Francisco Christian Center

INTRODUCTION

This memorandum and the attached documents are a response to the letters of appeal to the Board of Supervisors (“Board”) regarding the Planning Commission’s (“Commission”) approval of the application for Conditional Use Authorization under Planning Department Case Number 2020-007152CUA (“Application”) pursuant to Planning Code Sections:

- 202.2 (Location and Operating Conditions);
- 303 (Conditional Use Authorization); and
- 720 (Excelsior Outer Mission Neighborhood Commercial District)

This memorandum addresses the appeal to the Board, filed on July 12, 2021, by Pastor Roderick Gittens on behalf of the San Francisco Christian Center.

The decision before the Board is whether to uphold, overturn, or amend the Planning Commission’s approval of an application for Conditional Use Authorization to allow the proposed Project at the subject property.

PROJECT DESCRIPTION

The Project would establish a Cannabis Retail Use measuring 2,055 square feet in a vacant commercial space within a two-story, mixed-use building located at 5801 Mission Street, APN 001/6472 (“Project Site”). The Project does not include a request for authorization of on-site smoking or vaporizing of cannabis products. No changes to the building exterior or envelope are proposed. New business signage will be applied for under a separate permit.

SITE DESCRIPTION & PRESENT USE

The Project Site is located on a corner lot located on the south side of Mission Street, with approximately 100 feet of frontage along Mission Street and 122 feet of frontage along Oliver Street within the Excelsior Outer Mission Street Neighborhood Commercial Zoning District, the Fringe Financial Services Restricted Use District, and a 40-X Height and Bulk District. The site is an approximately 11,602 square foot lot. The Project Site is developed with a two-story building containing five commercial spaces at the ground floor and six residential units above. The commercial space was last occupied by a boutique retail shop.

SURROUNDING PROPERTIES AND NEIGHBORHOOD

The surrounding neighborhood is predominantly comprised of single-family homes; however, there are many fully commercial buildings and mixed-use residential buildings along the Mission Street corridor. The two directly adjacent properties are a residential property and a religious institution.

BACKGROUND

- On October 10, 2020, the Project Sponsor filed the Application with the Department.
- On June 10, 2021, the San Francisco Planning Commission (“Commission”) conducted a duly noticed public hearing and considered the Application. At this hearing, the Commission voted 4-2 (Tanner and Fung against; Chan absent) to approve the Project.

CONDITIONAL USE AUTHORIZATION REQUIREMENTS

Planning Code Section 303 establishes criteria for the Commission to consider when reviewing all applications for Conditional Use approval. To approve the project, the Commission must find that these criteria have been met:

1. That the proposed use or feature, at the size and intensity contemplated and at the proposed location, will provide a development that is necessary or desirable for, and compatible with, the neighborhood or the community; and
2. That such use or feature as proposed will not be detrimental to the health, safety, convenience, or general welfare of persons residing or working in the vicinity, or injurious to property, improvements or potential development in the vicinity, with respect to aspects including but not limited to the following:
 - a. The nature of the proposed site, including its size and shape, and the proposed size, shape and arrangement of structures;
 - b. The accessibility and traffic patterns for persons and vehicles, the type and volume of such traffic, and the adequacy of proposed off-street parking and loading;
 - c. The safeguards afforded to prevent noxious or offensive emissions such as noise, glare, dust and odor;
 - d. Treatment given, as appropriate, to such aspects as landscaping, screening, open spaces, parking and loading areas, service areas, lighting and signs; and
3. That such use or feature as proposed will comply with the applicable provisions of this Code and will not adversely affect the General Plan.
4. That such use or feature as proposed will provide development that is in conformity with the stated purpose of the applicable Use District.

In addition, Planning Code Section 303(w) outlines additional findings for the Commission when reviewing proposals for new Cannabis Retail establishments.

1. The Commission shall consider “the geographic distribution of Cannabis Retail Uses throughout the City, the concentration of Cannabis Retail and Medical Cannabis Dispensary Uses within the general proximity of the proposed Cannabis Retail Use, the balance of other goods and services available within the general proximity of the proposed Cannabis Retail Use, any increase in youth access and exposure to cannabis at nearby facilities that primarily serve youth, and any proposed measures to counterbalance any such increase.”

APPELLANT ISSUES AND PLANNING DEPARTMENT RESPONSES

ISSUE 1: The Appellant expressed concern with the clustering of cannabis storefronts in District 11.

RESPONSE 1: The Planning Commission found that the project is appropriately distanced from other cannabis storefronts and does not contribute to clustering.

In District 11, there are 3 existing Medical Cannabis Dispensaries, each operating with temporary authorization to conduct adult use sales. The closest Medical Cannabis Dispensary to the Project Site is 5260 Mission Street, dba Mission Organic Services, and is approximately 3,143 feet from the Project. Planning Code Section 202.2(a)(5)(B) states that a new Cannabis Retail Use shall not be located within a 600-foot radius of a parcel for which a valid permit from the City’s Office of Cannabis for a Cannabis Retailer or a Medicinal Cannabis Retailer has been issued. The Project meets this requirement. This application is the first Cannabis Retail application that has been approved in District 11 since the legalization of adult use cannabis in late 2017.

ISSUE 2: The proposed project is in an area with a high density of children, including a well-used facility for school-age children located at the San Francisco Christian Center.

RESPONSE 2: The Planning Code establishes a buffer between Cannabis Retail locations and schools. The Planning Code does not preclude Cannabis Retailers to be in an area with a high density of children.

Planning Code Section 202.2(a)(5)(B) states that a new Cannabis Retail Use shall not be located within a 600-foot radius containing an existing public or private School. The Planning Code’s definition of School does not include facilities such as parks, preschools, or after-school programs. By providing a regulated, legal market within the neighborhood, the proposed business would further discourage unregulated sales, making youth access to cannabis products more restricted. Additionally, the Project has been designed to be responsive to this condition by placing a waiting and check in area at the front of the tenant space, ensuring that cannabis products are never visible from the exterior of the store. The Planning Commission found that the Project will not increase youth access and exposure to cannabis.

ISSUE 3: There was a lack of appropriate outreach to monolingual residents.

RESPONSE 3: The Project Sponsor conducted outreach and noticing as required by the Planning Code and the Office of Cannabis Good Neighbor Policy.

On November 13, 2020, the Project Sponsor held a Pre-Application Meeting and invited all Neighborhood Organizations listed in the Crocker-Amazon and Outer Mission as of August 29, 2020. All immediately adjacent neighbors were also notified by postal mail. On February 12, 2021, the Project Sponsor held an Office of Cannabis Virtual Good Neighbor Meeting. All residents within 300 feet of the proposed site, as well as all neighborhood groups within the Crocker-Amazon Neighborhood were notified by postal mail. The notice was provided in English, Spanish, traditional Chinese, and Filipino. An additional Good Neighbor Policy meeting, with the same groups notified, occurred on April 21, 2021. Finally, the June 10 Planning Commission hearing for the Project was properly noticed per the City's language access rules, including mailed, posted, and newspaper advertisements.

SUMMARY RESPONSE

The Appellant brings up the issue of cannabis storefront clustering in District 11. The Planning Commission found that the Project meets the necessary buffering provisions from other cannabis uses. The Commission also found that the Project contributes to a more balanced geographic distribution of Cannabis Retailers in the City. The Appellant additionally brings up the issue of the Project's proximity to youth. The Planning Commission found that the site is not within 600 feet of a School, as defined by the Planning Code. Further, the high regulation of cannabis facilities assuages the concerns of youth access. The final issue from the Appellant deals with the lack of appropriate outreach to monolingual residents. The Project Sponsor conducted all noticing and outreach as required by the Planning Code and the Office of Cannabis Good Neighbor Policy, including mailing of notices for outreach meetings in English, Spanish, traditional Chinese, and Filipino.

CONCLUSION

For the reasons stated in this document, in the attached Motion, and in the Planning Department case file, the Planning Department recommends that the Board uphold the Planning Commission's decision in approving the Conditional Use Authorization for the Project.

From: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#)
Cc: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#); [Somera, Alisa \(BOS\)](#); [Ng, Wilson \(BOS\)](#); [Laxamana, Junko \(BOS\)](#); [Mchugh, Eileen \(BOS\)](#); [BOS Legislation, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: FW: Please Vote No on September 21, on the appeal by a Church to stop the opening of the ReLeaf Cannabis Dispensary at 5801 Mission St
Date: Friday, September 10, 2021 4:20:16 PM

-----Original Message-----

From: Bram Goodwin <goodwin.bram@gmail.com>
Sent: Friday, September 10, 2021 8:25 AM
To: PrestonStaff (BOS) <prestonstaff@sfgov.org>
Cc: Board of Supervisors, (BOS) <board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org>; Kilgore, Preston (BOS) <preston.kilgore@sfgov.org>; David Goldman <dgoldman@gmail.com>; Snyder, Jen (BOS) <jen.snyder@sfgov.org>; RonenStaff (BOS) <ronenstaff@sfgov.org>; Walton, Shamann (BOS) <shamann.walton@sfgov.org>; Ed Brown <5801missionstreet@gmail.com>; Heidi Hanley <heidihanley@yahoo.com>; Stefani, Catherine (BOS) <catherine.stefani@sfgov.org>; MelgarStaff (BOS) <melgarstaff@sfgov.org>
Subject: Please Vote No on September 21, on the appeal by a Church to stop the opening of the ReLeaf Cannabis Dispensary at 5801 Mission St

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dean,

On Tuesday September 21, before the Board of Supervisors, will come a Cannabis oriented appeal from the San Francisco Planning Commission, concerning 5801 Mission St, the relocation of one of the original Cannabis Medical Dispensaries, ReLeaf, @releafherbal_sf, which won approval by the SFPC 4-2.

I am asking you to vote NO on the appeal by a church in the area, in favor of the ReLeaf Cannabis Retail Store opening.

5801 Mission St is the the relocation of ReLeaf Dispensary, @releafherbal_sf, one of the original Medical Cannabis Dispensaries formerly located at Mission & 9th St .. It's lease was not renewed, due to the death of the owner, the survivors not wanting to renew due to federal banking issues ... They have found a new location, got approval from the SFPC .. A church group has appealed it to you ... Please affirm the SFPC yes vote, by voting for them to open.

Releaf is an equity applicant, made of up SF folks who have been in the Cannabis business for awhile, have done numerous good deeds for the citizens of SF. We know the members of the ownership group well, can vouch for their committment to the community.

Please vote to allow Releaf to open, as most of the opposition is of the stigma variety ...

If you have any questions, need more information, would like to meet with the principals, Ed Brown & Heidi Hanley, please contact me.

Thank you for your continued support of fairness for San Francisco.

bram

Bram Goodwin
photographer
Founder, San Francisco Social Club
415.505.3686
twitter: bramgoodwin
linkedin: bramfoto

From: [Oleg Tomillo](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Communities & Neighbors Oppose 5801 Mission Cannabis Retail
Date: Tuesday, August 10, 2021 5:49:08 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Ms. Clerk of The Board,

We challenge the approval of 5801 Mission Street based on the following:

- 1) CLUSTERING - already have THREE cannabis storefronts operating in District 11;
- 2) HIGH DENSITY OF CHILDREN NEARBY including a well-used facility for school-age children located at the San Francisco Christian Center;
- 3) LACK OF APPROPRIATE OUTREACH TO MONOLINGUAL RESIDENTS.

我們基於以下理由對 5801 Mission Street 大麻店的批准提出質疑:

- 1) 集群 - 已經在第 11 區經營三個大麻店面;
- 2) 附近有高密度的兒童,包括位於舊金山基督教中心的學齡兒童設施;
- 3) 缺乏對單一語言居民的適當宣傳。

Desafiamos la aprobación de 5801 Mission Street con base en lo siguiente:

- 1) AGRUPACIÓN - ya tenemos TRES escaparates de marijuana operando en el Distrito 11;
- 2) ALTA DENSIDAD DE NIÑOS CERCANOS, que incluye una instalación bien utilizada para niños en edad escolar ubicada en el San Francisco Christian Center;
- 3) FALTA DE ALCANCE APROPIADO A LOS RESIDENTES MONOLINGÜES.

Oleg Tomillo
otomillo@gmail.com
5871 MISSION ST, APT 3
San Francisco, California 94112

From: [Aihong Zeng](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Communities & Neighbors Oppose 5801 Mission Cannabis Retail
Date: Monday, August 2, 2021 8:53:05 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Ms. Clerk of The Board,

We challenge the approval of 5801 Mission Street based on the following:

- 1) CLUSTERING - already have THREE cannabis storefronts operating in District 11;
- 2) HIGH DENSITY OF CHILDREN NEARBY including a well-used facility for school-age children located at the San Francisco Christian Center;
- 3) LACK OF APPROPRIATE OUTREACH TO MONOLINGUAL RESIDENTS.

我們基於以下理由對 5801 Mission Street 大麻店的批准提出質疑:

- 1) 集群 - 已經在第 11 區經營三個大麻店面;
- 2) 附近有高密度的兒童,包括位於舊金山基督教中心的學齡兒童設施;
- 3) 缺乏對單一語言居民的適當宣傳。

Desafiamos la aprobación de 5801 Mission Street con base en lo siguiente:

- 1) AGRUPACIÓN - ya tenemos TRES escaparates de marijuana operando en el Distrito 11;
- 2) ALTA DENSIDAD DE NIÑOS CERCANOS, que incluye una instalación bien utilizada para niños en edad escolar ubicada en el San Francisco Christian Center;
- 3) FALTA DE ALCANCE APROPIADO A LOS RESIDENTES MONOLINGÜES.

Aihong Zeng
alicecjzeng@hotmail.com
244 Thrift st
San Francisco , California 94112

From: [Shao Lan Luo](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Communities & Neighbors Oppose 5801 Mission Cannabis Retail
Date: Sunday, August 1, 2021 4:20:23 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Ms. Clerk of The Board,

We challenge the approval of 5801 Mission Street based on the following:

- 1) CLUSTERING - already have THREE cannabis storefronts operating in District 11;
- 2) HIGH DENSITY OF CHILDREN NEARBY including a well-used facility for school-age children located at the San Francisco Christian Center;
- 3) LACK OF APPROPRIATE OUTREACH TO MONOLINGUAL RESIDENTS.

我們基於以下理由對 5801 Mission Street 大麻店的批准提出質疑:

- 1) 集群 - 已經在第 11 區經營三個大麻店面;
- 2) 附近有高密度的兒童,包括位於舊金山基督教中心的學齡兒童設施;
- 3) 缺乏對單一語言居民的適當宣傳。

Desafiamos la aprobación de 5801 Mission Street con base en lo siguiente:

- 1) AGRUPACIÓN - ya tenemos TRES escaparates de marijuana operando en el Distrito 11;
- 2) ALTA DENSIDAD DE NIÑOS CERCANOS, que incluye una instalación bien utilizada para niños en edad escolar ubicada en el San Francisco Christian Center;
- 3) FALTA DE ALCANCE APROPIADO A LOS RESIDENTES MONOLINGÜES.

Shao Lan Luo
carmen0805zeng@hotmail.com
298 Oliver Street
Daly City , California 94014

From: [Miao w Liao](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Communities & Neighbors Oppose 5801 Mission Cannabis Retail
Date: Friday, July 30, 2021 3:55:54 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Ms. Clerk of The Board,

We challenge the approval of 5801 Mission Street based on the following:

- 1) CLUSTERING - already have THREE cannabis storefronts operating in District 11;
- 2) HIGH DENSITY OF CHILDREN NEARBY including a well-used facility for school-age children located at the San Francisco Christian Center;
- 3) LACK OF APPROPRIATE OUTREACH TO MONOLINGUAL RESIDENTS.

我們基於以下理由對 5801 Mission Street 大麻店的批准提出質疑:

- 1) 集群 - 已經在第 11 區經營三個大麻店面;
- 2) 附近有高密度的兒童,包括位於舊金山基督教中心的學齡兒童設施;
- 3) 缺乏對單一語言居民的適當宣傳。

Desafiamos la aprobación de 5801 Mission Street con base en lo siguiente:

- 1) AGRUPACIÓN - ya tenemos TRES escaparates de marijuana operando en el Distrito 11;
- 2) ALTA DENSIDAD DE NIÑOS CERCANOS, que incluye una instalación bien utilizada para niños en edad escolar ubicada en el San Francisco Christian Center;
- 3) FALTA DE ALCANCE APROPIADO A LOS RESIDENTES MONOLINGÜES.

Miao w Liao
jadeliang1983@gmail.com
625 Brunswick street
San Francisco , California 94112

From: [Qi Q Wu](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Communities & Neighbors Oppose 5801 Mission Cannabis Retail
Date: Wednesday, July 28, 2021 10:20:07 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Ms. Clerk of The Board,

We challenge the approval of 5801 Mission Street based on the following:

- 1) CLUSTERING - already have THREE cannabis storefronts operating in District 11;
- 2) HIGH DENSITY OF CHILDREN NEARBY including a well-used facility for school-age children located at the San Francisco Christian Center;
- 3) LACK OF APPROPRIATE OUTREACH TO MONOLINGUAL RESIDENTS.

我們基於以下理由對 5801 Mission Street 大麻店的批准提出質疑:

- 1) 集群 - 已經在第 11 區經營三個大麻店面;
- 2) 附近有高密度的兒童,包括位於舊金山基督教中心的學齡兒童設施;
- 3) 缺乏對單一語言居民的適當宣傳。

Desafiamos la aprobación de 5801 Mission Street con base en lo siguiente:

- 1) AGRUPACIÓN - ya tenemos TRES escaparates de marijuana operando en el Distrito 11;
- 2) ALTA DENSIDAD DE NIÑOS CERCANOS, que incluye una instalación bien utilizada para niños en edad escolar ubicada en el San Francisco Christian Center;
- 3) FALTA DE ALCANCE APROPIADO A LOS RESIDENTES MONOLINGÜES.

Qi Q Wu
qiqwu110154@gmail.com
91 Oliver St
San Francisco , California 94112

From: [Xiu Q Li](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Communities & Neighbors Oppose 5801 Mission Cannabis Retail
Date: Wednesday, July 28, 2021 10:19:00 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Ms. Clerk of The Board,

We challenge the approval of 5801 Mission Street based on the following:

- 1) CLUSTERING - already have THREE cannabis storefronts operating in District 11;
- 2) HIGH DENSITY OF CHILDREN NEARBY including a well-used facility for school-age children located at the San Francisco Christian Center;
- 3) LACK OF APPROPRIATE OUTREACH TO MONOLINGUAL RESIDENTS.

我們基於以下理由對 5801 Mission Street 大麻店的批准提出質疑:

- 1) 集群 - 已經在第 11 區經營三個大麻店面;
- 2) 附近有高密度的兒童,包括位於舊金山基督教中心的學齡兒童設施;
- 3) 缺乏對單一語言居民的適當宣傳。

Desafiamos la aprobación de 5801 Mission Street con base en lo siguiente:

- 1) AGRUPACIÓN - ya tenemos TRES escaparates de marijuana operando en el Distrito 11;
- 2) ALTA DENSIDAD DE NIÑOS CERCANOS, que incluye una instalación bien utilizada para niños en edad escolar ubicada en el San Francisco Christian Center;
- 3) FALTA DE ALCANCE APROPIADO A LOS RESIDENTES MONOLINGÜES.

Xiu Q Li
xiuqli080254@gmail.com
91 Oliver St
San Francisco , California 94112

From: [May Chan](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Communities & Neighbors Oppose 5801 Mission Cannabis Retail
Date: Wednesday, July 28, 2021 11:46:17 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Ms. Clerk of The Board,

We challenge the approval of 5801 Mission Street based on the following:

- 1) CLUSTERING - already have THREE cannabis storefronts operating in District 11;
- 2) HIGH DENSITY OF CHILDREN NEARBY including a well-used facility for school-age children located at the San Francisco Christian Center;
- 3) LACK OF APPROPRIATE OUTREACH TO MONOLINGUAL RESIDENTS.

我們基於以下理由對 5801 Mission Street 大麻店的批准提出質疑:

- 1) 集群 - 已經在第 11 區經營三個大麻店面;
- 2) 附近有高密度的兒童,包括位於舊金山基督教中心的學齡兒童設施;
- 3) 缺乏對單一語言居民的適當宣傳。

Desafiamos la aprobación de 5801 Mission Street con base en lo siguiente:

- 1) AGRUPACIÓN - ya tenemos TRES escaparates de marijuana operando en el Distrito 11;
- 2) ALTA DENSIDAD DE NIÑOS CERCANOS, que incluye una instalación bien utilizada para niños en edad escolar ubicada en el San Francisco Christian Center;
- 3) FALTA DE ALCANCE APROPIADO A LOS RESIDENTES MONOLINGÜES.

May Chan
cpc8888@icloud.com
345 Arguello Blvd
SF, California 94118

From: [Tina Cen-Camarao](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Communities & Neighbors Oppose 5801 Mission Cannabis Retail
Date: Tuesday, July 27, 2021 7:17:25 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Ms. Clerk of The Board,

We challenge the approval of 5801 Mission Street based on the following:

- 1) CLUSTERING - already have THREE cannabis storefronts operating in District 11;
- 2) HIGH DENSITY OF CHILDREN NEARBY including a well-used facility for school-age children located at the San Francisco Christian Center;
- 3) LACK OF APPROPRIATE OUTREACH TO MONOLINGUAL RESIDENTS.

我們基於以下理由對 5801 Mission Street 大麻店的批准提出質疑:

- 1) 集群 - 已經在第 11 區經營三個大麻店面;
- 2) 附近有高密度的兒童,包括位於舊金山基督教中心的學齡兒童設施;
- 3) 缺乏對單一語言居民的適當宣傳。

Desafiamos la aprobación de 5801 Mission Street con base en lo siguiente:

- 1) AGRUPACIÓN - ya tenemos TRES escaparates de marijuana operando en el Distrito 11;
- 2) ALTA DENSIDAD DE NIÑOS CERCANOS, que incluye una instalación bien utilizada para niños en edad escolar ubicada en el San Francisco Christian Center;
- 3) FALTA DE ALCANCE APROPIADO A LOS RESIDENTES MONOLINGÜES.

Tina Cen-Camarao
tinacentc@yahoo.com
5700 Mission street
San francisco, California 94112

From: [Delia Fitzpatrick](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Communities & Neighbors Oppose 5801 Mission Cannabis Retail
Date: Tuesday, July 27, 2021 7:06:18 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Ms. Clerk of The Board,

We challenge the approval of 5801 Mission Street based on the following:

- 1) CLUSTERING - already have THREE cannabis storefronts operating in District 11;
- 2) HIGH DENSITY OF CHILDREN NEARBY including a well-used facility for school-age children located at the San Francisco Christian Center;
- 3) LACK OF APPROPRIATE OUTREACH TO MONOLINGUAL RESIDENTS.

我們基於以下理由對 5801 Mission Street 大麻店的批准提出質疑:

- 1) 集群 - 已經在第 11 區經營三個大麻店面;
- 2) 附近有高密度的兒童,包括位於舊金山基督教中心的學齡兒童設施;
- 3) 缺乏對單一語言居民的適當宣傳。

Desafiamos la aprobación de 5801 Mission Street con base en lo siguiente:

- 1) AGRUPACIÓN - ya tenemos TRES escaparates de marijuana operando en el Distrito 11;
- 2) ALTA DENSIDAD DE NIÑOS CERCANOS, que incluye una instalación bien utilizada para niños en edad escolar ubicada en el San Francisco Christian Center;
- 3) FALTA DE ALCANCE APROPIADO A LOS RESIDENTES MONOLINGÜES.

Delia Fitzpatrick
fitzpatrick.delia@gmail.com
364 serrano drive
San francisco, California 94132

From: [Judith Sampson](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Communities & Neighbors Oppose 5801 Mission Cannabis Retail
Date: Tuesday, July 27, 2021 4:16:02 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Ms. Clerk of The Board,

We challenge the approval of 5801 Mission Street based on the following:

- 1) CLUSTERING - already have THREE cannabis storefronts operating in District 11;
- 2) HIGH DENSITY OF CHILDREN NEARBY including a well-used facility for school-age children located at the San Francisco Christian Center;
- 3) LACK OF APPROPRIATE OUTREACH TO MONOLINGUAL RESIDENTS.

我們基於以下理由對 5801 Mission Street 大麻店的批准提出質疑:

- 1) 集群 - 已經在第 11 區經營三個大麻店面;
- 2) 附近有高密度的兒童,包括位於舊金山基督教中心的學齡兒童設施;
- 3) 缺乏對單一語言居民的適當宣傳。

Desafiamos la aprobación de 5801 Mission Street con base en lo siguiente:

- 1) AGRUPACIÓN - ya tenemos TRES escaparates de marijuana operando en el Distrito 11;
- 2) ALTA DENSIDAD DE NIÑOS CERCANOS, que incluye una instalación bien utilizada para niños en edad escolar ubicada en el San Francisco Christian Center;
- 3) FALTA DE ALCANCE APROPIADO A LOS RESIDENTES MONOLINGÜES.

Judith Sampson
profit5@comcast.net
819 Ocean Avenue
San Francisco, California 94112

From: [Christopher Hill](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Communities & Neighbors Oppose 5801 Mission Cannabis Retail
Date: Tuesday, July 27, 2021 1:46:17 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Ms. Clerk of The Board,

We challenge the approval of 5801 Mission Street based on the following:

- 1) CLUSTERING - already have THREE cannabis storefronts operating in District 11;
- 2) HIGH DENSITY OF CHILDREN NEARBY including a well-used facility for school-age children located at the San Francisco Christian Center;
- 3) LACK OF APPROPRIATE OUTREACH TO MONOLINGUAL RESIDENTS.

我們基於以下理由對 5801 Mission Street 大麻店的批准提出質疑:

- 1) 集群 - 已經在第 11 區經營三個大麻店面;
- 2) 附近有高密度的兒童,包括位於舊金山基督教中心的學齡兒童設施;
- 3) 缺乏對單一語言居民的適當宣傳。

Desafiamos la aprobación de 5801 Mission Street con base en lo siguiente:

- 1) AGRUPACIÓN - ya tenemos TRES escaparates de marijuana operando en el Distrito 11;
- 2) ALTA DENSIDAD DE NIÑOS CERCANOS, que incluye una instalación bien utilizada para niños en edad escolar ubicada en el San Francisco Christian Center;
- 3) FALTA DE ALCANCE APROPIADO A LOS RESIDENTES MONOLINGÜES.

Christopher Hill
hillchris8@yahoo.com
1749 Oxford st
Berkeley , California 94709

From: [chuck siu](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Appeal hearing-5801 mission street
Date: Tuesday, July 27, 2021 11:35:01 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear supervisors

I'm writing to oppose the opening of the cannabis shop. We don't need anymore in the city. I live across the street from 5801 mission street. Having a cannabis shop will bring crime. There's a church next door, I see families with children going to the church. I see children walking to and from school. Longfellow Elementary School and San Francisco Christian School are one block away. We don't want any of our children to get hurt. We don't want anyone to get hurt. Thank you.

Best regards,

Chuck Siu

[Sent from Yahoo Mail for iPhone](#)

From: [Kimberley Miller](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Communities & Neighbors Oppose 5801 Mission Cannabis Retail
Date: Tuesday, July 27, 2021 9:05:28 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Ms. Clerk of The Board,

We challenge the approval of 5801 Mission Street based on the following:

- 1) CLUSTERING - already have THREE cannabis storefronts operating in District 11;
- 2) HIGH DENSITY OF CHILDREN NEARBY including a well-used facility for school-age children located at the San Francisco Christian Center;
- 3) LACK OF APPROPRIATE OUTREACH TO MONOLINGUAL RESIDENTS.

我們基於以下理由對 5801 Mission Street 大麻店的批准提出質疑:

- 1) 集群 - 已經在第 11 區經營三個大麻店面;
- 2) 附近有高密度的兒童,包括位於舊金山基督教中心的學齡兒童設施;
- 3) 缺乏對單一語言居民的適當宣傳。

Desafiamos la aprobación de 5801 Mission Street con base en lo siguiente:

- 1) AGRUPACIÓN - ya tenemos TRES escaparates de marijuana operando en el Distrito 11;
- 2) ALTA DENSIDAD DE NIÑOS CERCANOS, que incluye una instalación bien utilizada para niños en edad escolar ubicada en el San Francisco Christian Center;
- 3) FALTA DE ALCANCE APROPIADO A LOS RESIDENTES MONOLINGÜES.

Kimberley Miller
kmiller@sfchristiancenter.org
5845 Mission Street
San Francisco, California 94112

From: [Huanzhuan Feng](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Communities & Neighbors Oppose 5801 Mission Cannabis Retail
Date: Thursday, July 22, 2021 5:38:23 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Ms. Clerk of The Board,

We challenge the approval of 5801 Mission Street based on the following:

- 1) CLUSTERING - already have THREE cannabis storefronts operating in District 11;
- 2) HIGH DENSITY OF CHILDREN NEARBY including a well-used facility for school-age children located at the San Francisco Christian Center;
- 3) LACK OF APPROPRIATE OUTREACH TO MONOLINGUAL RESIDENTS.

我們基於以下理由對 5801 Mission Street 大麻店的批准提出質疑:

- 1) 集群 - 已經在第 11 區經營三個大麻店面;
- 2) 附近有高密度的兒童,包括位於舊金山基督教中心的學齡兒童設施;
- 3) 缺乏對單一語言居民的適當宣傳。

Desafiamos la aprobación de 5801 Mission Street con base en lo siguiente:

- 1) AGRUPACIÓN - ya tenemos TRES escaparates de marijuana operando en el Distrito 11;
- 2) ALTA DENSIDAD DE NIÑOS CERCANOS, que incluye una instalación bien utilizada para niños en edad escolar ubicada en el San Francisco Christian Center;
- 3) FALTA DE ALCANCE APROPIADO A LOS RESIDENTES MONOLINGÜES.

Huanzhuan Feng
chulingl@outlook.com
175 Frankfort St
Daly City, California 94014

From: sophia.liu
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Communities & Neighbors Oppose 5801 Mission Cannabis Retail
Date: Thursday, July 22, 2021 5:36:02 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Ms. Clerk of The Board,

We challenge the approval of 5801 Mission Street based on the following:

- 1) CLUSTERING - already have THREE cannabis storefronts operating in District 11;
- 2) HIGH DENSITY OF CHILDREN NEARBY including a well-used facility for school-age children located at the San Francisco Christian Center;
- 3) LACK OF APPROPRIATE OUTREACH TO MONOLINGUAL RESIDENTS.

我們基於以下理由對 5801 Mission Street 大麻店的批准提出質疑:

- 1) 集群 - 已經在第 11 區經營三個大麻店面;
- 2) 附近有高密度的兒童,包括位於舊金山基督教中心的學齡兒童設施;
- 3) 缺乏對單一語言居民的適當宣傳。

Desafiamos la aprobación de 5801 Mission Street con base en lo siguiente:

- 1) AGRUPACIÓN - ya tenemos TRES escaparates de marijuana operando en el Distrito 11;
- 2) ALTA DENSIDAD DE NIÑOS CERCANOS, que incluye una instalación bien utilizada para niños en edad escolar ubicada en el San Francisco Christian Center;
- 3) FALTA DE ALCANCE APROPIADO A LOS RESIDENTES MONOLINGÜES.

sophia liu
sophialiu320@gmail.com
175 frankfort st
daly city, California 94014

From: [Bi Yan Ye](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Communities & Neighbors Oppose 5801 Mission Cannabis Retail
Date: Thursday, July 22, 2021 5:32:42 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Ms. Clerk of The Board,

We challenge the approval of 5801 Mission Street based on the following:

- 1) CLUSTERING - already have THREE cannabis storefronts operating in District 11;
- 2) HIGH DENSITY OF CHILDREN NEARBY including a well-used facility for school-age children located at the San Francisco Christian Center;
- 3) LACK OF APPROPRIATE OUTREACH TO MONOLINGUAL RESIDENTS.

我們基於以下理由對 5801 Mission Street 大麻店的批准提出質疑:

- 1) 集群 - 已經在第 11 區經營三個大麻店面;
- 2) 附近有高密度的兒童,包括位於舊金山基督教中心的學齡兒童設施;
- 3) 缺乏對單一語言居民的適當宣傳。

Desafiamos la aprobación de 5801 Mission Street con base en lo siguiente:

- 1) AGRUPACIÓN - ya tenemos TRES escaparates de marijuana operando en el Distrito 11;
- 2) ALTA DENSIDAD DE NIÑOS CERCANOS, que incluye una instalación bien utilizada para niños en edad escolar ubicada en el San Francisco Christian Center;
- 3) FALTA DE ALCANCE APROPIADO A LOS RESIDENTES MONOLINGÜES.

Bi Yan Ye

biyanye1987@icloud.com

2700 Alemany Blvd

San Francisco , California 94112

From: [Heling Zheng](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Communities & Neighbors Oppose 5801 Mission Cannabis Retail
Date: Thursday, July 22, 2021 5:25:51 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Ms. Clerk of The Board,

We challenge the approval of 5801 Mission Street based on the following:

- 1) CLUSTERING - already have THREE cannabis storefronts operating in District 11;
- 2) HIGH DENSITY OF CHILDREN NEARBY including a well-used facility for school-age children located at the San Francisco Christian Center;
- 3) LACK OF APPROPRIATE OUTREACH TO MONOLINGUAL RESIDENTS.

我們基於以下理由對 5801 Mission Street 大麻店的批准提出質疑:

- 1) 集群 - 已經在第 11 區經營三個大麻店面;
- 2) 附近有高密度的兒童,包括位於舊金山基督教中心的學齡兒童設施;
- 3) 缺乏對單一語言居民的適當宣傳。

Desafiamos la aprobación de 5801 Mission Street con base en lo siguiente:

- 1) AGRUPACIÓN - ya tenemos TRES escaparates de marijuana operando en el Distrito 11;
- 2) ALTA DENSIDAD DE NIÑOS CERCANOS, que incluye una instalación bien utilizada para niños en edad escolar ubicada en el San Francisco Christian Center;
- 3) FALTA DE ALCANCE APROPIADO A LOS RESIDENTES MONOLINGÜES.

Heling Zheng
helings8899@gmail.com
8200 oceanview ter.ATP.217
San Francisco , Ca94132

From: [William Lou](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Communities & Neighbors Oppose 5801 Mission Cannabis Retail
Date: Thursday, July 22, 2021 4:47:34 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Ms. Clerk of The Board,

We challenge the approval of 5801 Mission Street based on the following:

- 1) CLUSTERING - already have THREE cannabis storefronts operating in District 11;
- 2) HIGH DENSITY OF CHILDREN NEARBY including a well-used facility for school-age children located at the San Francisco Christian Center;
- 3) LACK OF APPROPRIATE OUTREACH TO MONOLINGUAL RESIDENTS.

我們基於以下理由對 5801 Mission Street 大麻店的批准提出質疑:

- 1) 集群 - 已經在第 11 區經營三個大麻店面;
- 2) 附近有高密度的兒童,包括位於舊金山基督教中心的學齡兒童設施;
- 3) 缺乏對單一語言居民的適當宣傳。

Desafiamos la aprobación de 5801 Mission Street con base en lo siguiente:

- 1) AGRUPACIÓN - ya tenemos TRES escaparates de marijuana operando en el Distrito 11;
- 2) ALTA DENSIDAD DE NIÑOS CERCANOS, que incluye una instalación bien utilizada para niños en edad escolar ubicada en el San Francisco Christian Center;
- 3) FALTA DE ALCANCE APROPIADO A LOS RESIDENTES MONOLINGÜES.

William Lou
william94121@gmail.com
Weight Ct
Brentwood, California 94513

From: [Lai Tam](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Communities & Neighbors Oppose 5801 Mission Cannabis Retail
Date: Thursday, July 22, 2021 4:47:18 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Ms. Clerk of The Board,

We challenge the approval of 5801 Mission Street based on the following:

- 1) CLUSTERING - already have THREE cannabis storefronts operating in District 11;
- 2) HIGH DENSITY OF CHILDREN NEARBY including a well-used facility for school-age children located at the San Francisco Christian Center;
- 3) LACK OF APPROPRIATE OUTREACH TO MONOLINGUAL RESIDENTS.

我們基於以下理由對 5801 Mission Street 大麻店的批准提出質疑:

- 1) 集群 - 已經在第 11 區經營三個大麻店面;
- 2) 附近有高密度的兒童,包括位於舊金山基督教中心的學齡兒童設施;
- 3) 缺乏對單一語言居民的適當宣傳。

Desafiamos la aprobación de 5801 Mission Street con base en lo siguiente:

- 1) AGRUPACIÓN - ya tenemos TRES escaparates de marijuana operando en el Distrito 11;
- 2) ALTA DENSIDAD DE NIÑOS CERCANOS, que incluye una instalación bien utilizada para niños en edad escolar ubicada en el San Francisco Christian Center;
- 3) FALTA DE ALCANCE APROPIADO A LOS RESIDENTES MONOLINGÜES.

Lai Tam
victoriayuly@gmail.com
1391 37th Ave
San Francisco , California 94122

From: [John King](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Communities & Neighbors Oppose 5801 Mission Cannabis Retail
Date: Thursday, July 22, 2021 4:40:39 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Ms. Clerk of The Board,

We challenge the approval of 5801 Mission Street based on the following:

- 1) CLUSTERING - already have THREE cannabis storefronts operating in District 11;
- 2) HIGH DENSITY OF CHILDREN NEARBY including a well-used facility for school-age children located at the San Francisco Christian Center;
- 3) LACK OF APPROPRIATE OUTREACH TO MONOLINGUAL RESIDENTS.

我們基於以下理由對 5801 Mission Street 大麻店的批准提出質疑:

- 1) 集群 - 已經在第 11 區經營三個大麻店面;
- 2) 附近有高密度的兒童,包括位於舊金山基督教中心的學齡兒童設施;
- 3) 缺乏對單一語言居民的適當宣傳。

Desafiamos la aprobación de 5801 Mission Street con base en lo siguiente:

- 1) AGRUPACIÓN - ya tenemos TRES escaparates de marijuana operando en el Distrito 11;
- 2) ALTA DENSIDAD DE NIÑOS CERCANOS, que incluye una instalación bien utilizada para niños en edad escolar ubicada en el San Francisco Christian Center;
- 3) FALTA DE ALCANCE APROPIADO A LOS RESIDENTES MONOLINGÜES.

John King
Valrie.king@ymail.com
721 Capitol Ave.
San Francisco, California 94112-2904

From: [Tiffany LI](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Communities & Neighbors Oppose 5801 Mission Cannabis Retail
Date: Thursday, July 22, 2021 3:38:23 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Ms. Clerk of The Board,

We challenge the approval of 5801 Mission Street based on the following:

- 1) CLUSTERING - already have THREE cannabis storefronts operating in District 11;
- 2) HIGH DENSITY OF CHILDREN NEARBY including a well-used facility for school-age children located at the San Francisco Christian Center;
- 3) LACK OF APPROPRIATE OUTREACH TO MONOLINGUAL RESIDENTS.

我們基於以下理由對 5801 Mission Street 大麻店的批准提出質疑:

- 1) 集群 - 已經在第 11 區經營三個大麻店面;
- 2) 附近有高密度的兒童,包括位於舊金山基督教中心的學齡兒童設施;
- 3) 缺乏對單一語言居民的適當宣傳。

Desafiamos la aprobación de 5801 Mission Street con base en lo siguiente:

- 1) AGRUPACIÓN - ya tenemos TRES escaparates de marijuana operando en el Distrito 11;
- 2) ALTA DENSIDAD DE NIÑOS CERCANOS, que incluye una instalación bien utilizada para niños en edad escolar ubicada en el San Francisco Christian Center;
- 3) FALTA DE ALCANCE APROPIADO A LOS RESIDENTES MONOLINGÜES.

Tiffany LI

Tiffanydailyinfor@gmail.com

77 Guttenberg street

San Francisco , California 94112

From: [Tieming Liu](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Communities & Neighbors Oppose 5801 Mission Cannabis Retail
Date: Thursday, July 22, 2021 3:37:35 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Ms. Clerk of The Board,

We challenge the approval of 5801 Mission Street based on the following:

- 1) CLUSTERING - already have THREE cannabis storefronts operating in District 11;
- 2) HIGH DENSITY OF CHILDREN NEARBY including a well-used facility for school-age children located at the San Francisco Christian Center;
- 3) LACK OF APPROPRIATE OUTREACH TO MONOLINGUAL RESIDENTS.

我們基於以下理由對 5801 Mission Street 大麻店的批准提出質疑:

- 1) 集群 - 已經在第 11 區經營三個大麻店面;
- 2) 附近有高密度的兒童,包括位於舊金山基督教中心的學齡兒童設施;
- 3) 缺乏對單一語言居民的適當宣傳。

Desafiamos la aprobación de 5801 Mission Street con base en lo siguiente:

- 1) AGRUPACIÓN - ya tenemos TRES escaparates de marijuana operando en el Distrito 11;
- 2) ALTA DENSIDAD DE NIÑOS CERCANOS, que incluye una instalación bien utilizada para niños en edad escolar ubicada en el San Francisco Christian Center;
- 3) FALTA DE ALCANCE APROPIADO A LOS RESIDENTES MONOLINGÜES.

Tieming Liu
tieming438@yahoo.com
438 Wilde Ave
San Francisco , California 94134

From: [Yong Luo](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Communities & Neighbors Oppose 5801 Mission Cannabis Retail
Date: Thursday, July 22, 2021 3:37:21 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Ms. Clerk of The Board,

We challenge the approval of 5801 Mission Street based on the following:

- 1) CLUSTERING - already have THREE cannabis storefronts operating in District 11;
- 2) HIGH DENSITY OF CHILDREN NEARBY including a well-used facility for school-age children located at the San Francisco Christian Center;
- 3) LACK OF APPROPRIATE OUTREACH TO MONOLINGUAL RESIDENTS.

我們基於以下理由對 5801 Mission Street 大麻店的批准提出質疑:

- 1) 集群 - 已經在第 11 區經營三個大麻店面;
- 2) 附近有高密度的兒童,包括位於舊金山基督教中心的學齡兒童設施;
- 3) 缺乏對單一語言居民的適當宣傳。

Desafiamos la aprobación de 5801 Mission Street con base en lo siguiente:

- 1) AGRUPACIÓN - ya tenemos TRES escaparates de marijuana operando en el Distrito 11;
- 2) ALTA DENSIDAD DE NIÑOS CERCANOS, que incluye una instalación bien utilizada para niños en edad escolar ubicada en el San Francisco Christian Center;
- 3) FALTA DE ALCANCE APROPIADO A LOS RESIDENTES MONOLINGÜES.

Yong Luo

lcbizsys1@yahoo.cim

4019 Mission Street

San Francisco , California 94112

From: [Kit Chau](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Communities & Neighbors Oppose 5801 Mission Cannabis Retail
Date: Thursday, July 22, 2021 3:34:24 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Ms. Clerk of The Board,

We challenge the approval of 5801 Mission Street based on the following:

- 1) CLUSTERING - already have THREE cannabis storefronts operating in District 11;
- 2) HIGH DENSITY OF CHILDREN NEARBY including a well-used facility for school-age children located at the San Francisco Christian Center;
- 3) LACK OF APPROPRIATE OUTREACH TO MONOLINGUAL RESIDENTS.

我們基於以下理由對 5801 Mission Street 大麻店的批准提出質疑:

- 1) 集群 - 已經在第 11 區經營三個大麻店面;
- 2) 附近有高密度的兒童,包括位於舊金山基督教中心的學齡兒童設施;
- 3) 缺乏對單一語言居民的適當宣傳。

Desafiamos la aprobación de 5801 Mission Street con base en lo siguiente:

- 1) AGRUPACIÓN - ya tenemos TRES escaparates de marijuana operando en el Distrito 11;
- 2) ALTA DENSIDAD DE NIÑOS CERCANOS, que incluye una instalación bien utilizada para niños en edad escolar ubicada en el San Francisco Christian Center;
- 3) FALTA DE ALCANCE APROPIADO A LOS RESIDENTES MONOLINGÜES.

Kit Chau
kitchau438@yahoo.com
438 Wilde Ave
San Francisco, California 94134

From: [Lisa p](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Communities & Neighbors Oppose 5801 Mission Cannabis Retail
Date: Thursday, July 22, 2021 3:07:58 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Ms. Clerk of The Board,

We challenge the approval of 5801 Mission Street based on the following:

- 1) CLUSTERING - already have THREE cannabis storefronts operating in District 11;
- 2) HIGH DENSITY OF CHILDREN NEARBY including a well-used facility for school-age children located at the San Francisco Christian Center;
- 3) LACK OF APPROPRIATE OUTREACH TO MONOLINGUAL RESIDENTS.

我們基於以下理由對 5801 Mission Street 大麻店的批准提出質疑:

- 1) 集群 - 已經在第 11 區經營三個大麻店面;
- 2) 附近有高密度的兒童,包括位於舊金山基督教中心的學齡兒童設施;
- 3) 缺乏對單一語言居民的適當宣傳。

Desafiamos la aprobación de 5801 Mission Street con base en lo siguiente:

- 1) AGRUPACIÓN - ya tenemos TRES escaparates de marijuana operando en el Distrito 11;
- 2) ALTA DENSIDAD DE NIÑOS CERCANOS, que incluye una instalación bien utilizada para niños en edad escolar ubicada en el San Francisco Christian Center;
- 3) FALTA DE ALCANCE APROPIADO A LOS RESIDENTES MONOLINGÜES.

Lisa p

lisap2013@yahoo.com

2143 Quesada Ave

SF, Colorado CA 94124

From: [Sam Liang](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Communities & Neighbors Oppose 5801 Mission Cannabis Retail
Date: Thursday, July 22, 2021 2:35:22 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Ms. Clerk of The Board,

We challenge the approval of 5801 Mission Street based on the following:

- 1) CLUSTERING - already have THREE cannabis storefronts operating in District 11;
- 2) HIGH DENSITY OF CHILDREN NEARBY including a well-used facility for school-age children located at the San Francisco Christian Center;
- 3) LACK OF APPROPRIATE OUTREACH TO MONOLINGUAL RESIDENTS.

我們基於以下理由對 5801 Mission Street 大麻店的批准提出質疑:

- 1) 集群 - 已經在第 11 區經營三個大麻店面;
- 2) 附近有高密度的兒童,包括位於舊金山基督教中心的學齡兒童設施;
- 3) 缺乏對單一語言居民的適當宣傳。

Desafiamos la aprobación de 5801 Mission Street con base en lo siguiente:

- 1) AGRUPACIÓN - ya tenemos TRES escaparates de marijuana operando en el Distrito 11;
- 2) ALTA DENSIDAD DE NIÑOS CERCANOS, que incluye una instalación bien utilizada para niños en edad escolar ubicada en el San Francisco Christian Center;
- 3) FALTA DE ALCANCE APROPIADO A LOS RESIDENTES MONOLINGÜES.

Sam Liang
samzkliang@gmail.com
469 Vienna ST
San Francisco , California 94112

From: [Grace Yang](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Communities & Neighbors Oppose 5801 Mission Cannabis Retail
Date: Thursday, July 22, 2021 2:25:03 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Ms. Clerk of The Board,

We challenge the approval of 5801 Mission Street based on the following:

- 1) CLUSTERING - already have THREE cannabis storefronts operating in District 11;
- 2) HIGH DENSITY OF CHILDREN NEARBY including a well-used facility for school-age children located at the San Francisco Christian Center;
- 3) LACK OF APPROPRIATE OUTREACH TO MONOLINGUAL RESIDENTS.

我們基於以下理由對 5801 Mission Street 大麻店的批准提出質疑:

- 1) 集群 - 已經在第 11 區經營三個大麻店面;
- 2) 附近有高密度的兒童,包括位於舊金山基督教中心的學齡兒童設施;
- 3) 缺乏對單一語言居民的適當宣傳。

Desafiamos la aprobación de 5801 Mission Street con base en lo siguiente:

- 1) AGRUPACIÓN - ya tenemos TRES escaparates de marijuana operando en el Distrito 11;
- 2) ALTA DENSIDAD DE NIÑOS CERCANOS, que incluye una instalación bien utilizada para niños en edad escolar ubicada en el San Francisco Christian Center;
- 3) FALTA DE ALCANCE APROPIADO A LOS RESIDENTES MONOLINGÜES.

Grace Yang
gracey602@gmail.com
4028 La Mesa Ln
San Jose , California 95124

From: [BaiZhong Huang](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Communities & Neighbors Oppose 5801 Mission Cannabis Retail
Date: Thursday, July 22, 2021 1:57:26 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Ms. Clerk of The Board,

We challenge the approval of 5801 Mission Street based on the following:

- 1) CLUSTERING - already have THREE cannabis storefronts operating in District 11;
- 2) HIGH DENSITY OF CHILDREN NEARBY including a well-used facility for school-age children located at the San Francisco Christian Center;
- 3) LACK OF APPROPRIATE OUTREACH TO MONOLINGUAL RESIDENTS.

我們基於以下理由對 5801 Mission Street 大麻店的批准提出質疑:

- 1) 集群 - 已經在第 11 區經營三個大麻店面;
- 2) 附近有高密度的兒童,包括位於舊金山基督教中心的學齡兒童設施;
- 3) 缺乏對單一語言居民的適當宣傳。

Desafiamos la aprobación de 5801 Mission Street con base en lo siguiente:

- 1) AGRUPACIÓN - ya tenemos TRES escaparates de marijuana operando en el Distrito 11;
- 2) ALTA DENSIDAD DE NIÑOS CERCANOS, que incluye una instalación bien utilizada para niños en edad escolar ubicada en el San Francisco Christian Center;
- 3) FALTA DE ALCANCE APROPIADO A LOS RESIDENTES MONOLINGÜES.

BaiZhong Huang
baizhonghuang@yahoo.com
5851 mission st
San francisco, California 94112

From: [YanXiang Li](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Communities & Neighbors Oppose 5801 Mission Cannabis Retail
Date: Thursday, July 22, 2021 1:54:04 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Ms. Clerk of The Board,

We challenge the approval of 5801 Mission Street based on the following:

- 1) CLUSTERING - already have THREE cannabis storefronts operating in District 11;
- 2) HIGH DENSITY OF CHILDREN NEARBY including a well-used facility for school-age children located at the San Francisco Christian Center;
- 3) LACK OF APPROPRIATE OUTREACH TO MONOLINGUAL RESIDENTS.

我們基於以下理由對 5801 Mission Street 大麻店的批准提出質疑:

- 1) 集群 - 已經在第 11 區經營三個大麻店面;
- 2) 附近有高密度的兒童,包括位於舊金山基督教中心的學齡兒童設施;
- 3) 缺乏對單一語言居民的適當宣傳。

Desafiamos la aprobación de 5801 Mission Street con base en lo siguiente:

- 1) AGRUPACIÓN - ya tenemos TRES escaparates de marijuana operando en el Distrito 11;
- 2) ALTA DENSIDAD DE NIÑOS CERCANOS, que incluye una instalación bien utilizada para niños en edad escolar ubicada en el San Francisco Christian Center;
- 3) FALTA DE ALCANCE APROPIADO A LOS RESIDENTES MONOLINGÜES.

YanXiang Li
yanxiang_li@yahoo.com
5851 mission st
San francisco, California 94112

From: [Peiyong Su](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Communities & Neighbors Oppose 5801 Mission Cannabis Retail
Date: Thursday, July 22, 2021 1:50:29 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Ms. Clerk of The Board,

We challenge the approval of 5801 Mission Street based on the following:

- 1) CLUSTERING - already have THREE cannabis storefronts operating in District 11;
- 2) HIGH DENSITY OF CHILDREN NEARBY including a well-used facility for school-age children located at the San Francisco Christian Center;
- 3) LACK OF APPROPRIATE OUTREACH TO MONOLINGUAL RESIDENTS.

我們基於以下理由對 5801 Mission Street 大麻店的批准提出質疑:

- 1) 集群 - 已經在第 11 區經營三個大麻店面;
- 2) 附近有高密度的兒童,包括位於舊金山基督教中心的學齡兒童設施;
- 3) 缺乏對單一語言居民的適當宣傳。

Desafiamos la aprobación de 5801 Mission Street con base en lo siguiente:

- 1) AGRUPACIÓN - ya tenemos TRES escaparates de marijuana operando en el Distrito 11;
- 2) ALTA DENSIDAD DE NIÑOS CERCANOS, que incluye una instalación bien utilizada para niños en edad escolar ubicada en el San Francisco Christian Center;
- 3) FALTA DE ALCANCE APROPIADO A LOS RESIDENTES MONOLINGÜES.

Peiyong Su
peiyong0819@gmail.com
Thrift street x Plymouth
San Francisco , Colorado CA 94112

From: [Wei Ye](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Communities & Neighbors Oppose 5801 Mission Cannabis Retail
Date: Thursday, July 22, 2021 1:34:31 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Ms. Clerk of The Board,

We challenge the approval of 5801 Mission Street based on the following:

- 1) CLUSTERING - already have THREE cannabis storefronts operating in District 11;
- 2) HIGH DENSITY OF CHILDREN NEARBY including a well-used facility for school-age children located at the San Francisco Christian Center;
- 3) LACK OF APPROPRIATE OUTREACH TO MONOLINGUAL RESIDENTS.

我們基於以下理由對 5801 Mission Street 大麻店的批准提出質疑:

- 1) 集群 - 已經在第 11 區經營三個大麻店面;
- 2) 附近有高密度的兒童,包括位於舊金山基督教中心的學齡兒童設施;
- 3) 缺乏對單一語言居民的適當宣傳。

Desafiamos la aprobación de 5801 Mission Street con base en lo siguiente:

- 1) AGRUPACIÓN - ya tenemos TRES escaparates de marijuana operando en el Distrito 11;
- 2) ALTA DENSIDAD DE NIÑOS CERCANOS, que incluye una instalación bien utilizada para niños en edad escolar ubicada en el San Francisco Christian Center;
- 3) FALTA DE ALCANCE APROPIADO A LOS RESIDENTES MONOLINGÜES.

Wei Ye

ye1558@yahoo.com

McKinnon Ave x third street

San Francisco, California 94124

From: [Xiuzhen Wu](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Communities & Neighbors Oppose 5801 Mission Cannabis Retail
Date: Thursday, July 22, 2021 1:16:41 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Ms. Clerk of The Board,

We challenge the approval of 5801 Mission Street based on the following:

- 1) CLUSTERING - already have THREE cannabis storefronts operating in District 11;
- 2) HIGH DENSITY OF CHILDREN NEARBY including a well-used facility for school-age children located at the San Francisco Christian Center;
- 3) LACK OF APPROPRIATE OUTREACH TO MONOLINGUAL RESIDENTS.

我們基於以下理由對 5801 Mission Street 大麻店的批准提出質疑:

- 1) 集群 - 已經在第 11 區經營三個大麻店面;
- 2) 附近有高密度的兒童,包括位於舊金山基督教中心的學齡兒童設施;
- 3) 缺乏對單一語言居民的適當宣傳。

Desafiamos la aprobación de 5801 Mission Street con base en lo siguiente:

- 1) AGRUPACIÓN - ya tenemos TRES escaparates de marijuana operando en el Distrito 11;
- 2) ALTA DENSIDAD DE NIÑOS CERCANOS, que incluye una instalación bien utilizada para niños en edad escolar ubicada en el San Francisco Christian Center;
- 3) FALTA DE ALCANCE APROPIADO A LOS RESIDENTES MONOLINGÜES.

Xiuzhen Wu
xiuzhenwu12@gmail.com
508 Cayuga ave
SanFrancisco , Ca94112

From: [Yufei Zheng](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Communities & Neighbors Oppose 5801 Mission Cannabis Retail
Date: Thursday, July 22, 2021 12:14:56 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Ms. Clerk of The Board,

We challenge the approval of 5801 Mission Street based on the following:

- 1) CLUSTERING - already have THREE cannabis storefronts operating in District 11;
- 2) HIGH DENSITY OF CHILDREN NEARBY including a well-used facility for school-age children located at the San Francisco Christian Center;
- 3) LACK OF APPROPRIATE OUTREACH TO MONOLINGUAL RESIDENTS.

我們基於以下理由對 5801 Mission Street 大麻店的批准提出質疑:

- 1) 集群 - 已經在第 11 區經營三個大麻店面;
- 2) 附近有高密度的兒童,包括位於舊金山基督教中心的學齡兒童設施;
- 3) 缺乏對單一語言居民的適當宣傳。

Desafiamos la aprobación de 5801 Mission Street con base en lo siguiente:

- 1) AGRUPACIÓN - ya tenemos TRES escaparates de marijuana operando en el Distrito 11;
- 2) ALTA DENSIDAD DE NIÑOS CERCANOS, que incluye una instalación bien utilizada para niños en edad escolar ubicada en el San Francisco Christian Center;
- 3) FALTA DE ALCANCE APROPIADO A LOS RESIDENTES MONOLINGÜES.

Yufei Zheng
fafaontheway@gmail.com
91 Oliver St
San Francisco , California 94122

From: [Daoan Wu](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Communities & Neighbors Oppose 5801 Mission Cannabis Retail
Date: Thursday, July 22, 2021 12:14:08 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Ms. Clerk of The Board,

We challenge the approval of 5801 Mission Street based on the following:

- 1) CLUSTERING - already have THREE cannabis storefronts operating in District 11;
- 2) HIGH DENSITY OF CHILDREN NEARBY including a well-used facility for school-age children located at the San Francisco Christian Center;
- 3) LACK OF APPROPRIATE OUTREACH TO MONOLINGUAL RESIDENTS.

我們基於以下理由對 5801 Mission Street 大麻店的批准提出質疑:

- 1) 集群 - 已經在第 11 區經營三個大麻店面;
- 2) 附近有高密度的兒童,包括位於舊金山基督教中心的學齡兒童設施;
- 3) 缺乏對單一語言居民的適當宣傳。

Desafiamos la aprobación de 5801 Mission Street con base en lo siguiente:

- 1) AGRUPACIÓN - ya tenemos TRES escaparates de marijuana operando en el Distrito 11;
- 2) ALTA DENSIDAD DE NIÑOS CERCANOS, que incluye una instalación bien utilizada para niños en edad escolar ubicada en el San Francisco Christian Center;
- 3) FALTA DE ALCANCE APROPIADO A LOS RESIDENTES MONOLINGÜES.

Daoan Wu
daoanwu@gmail.com
91 Oliver St
San Francisco , California 94112

From: [Stephanie Thomas](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Communities & Neighbors Oppose 5801 Mission Cannabis Retail
Date: Thursday, July 22, 2021 12:02:39 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Ms. Clerk of The Board,

We challenge the approval of 5801 Mission Street based on the following:

- 1) CLUSTERING - already have THREE cannabis storefronts operating in District 11;
- 2) HIGH DENSITY OF CHILDREN NEARBY including a well-used facility for school-age children located at the San Francisco Christian Center;
- 3) LACK OF APPROPRIATE OUTREACH TO MONOLINGUAL RESIDENTS.

我們基於以下理由對 5801 Mission Street 大麻店的批准提出質疑:

- 1) 集群 - 已經在第 11 區經營三個大麻店面;
- 2) 附近有高密度的兒童,包括位於舊金山基督教中心的學齡兒童設施;
- 3) 缺乏對單一語言居民的適當宣傳。

Desafiamos la aprobación de 5801 Mission Street con base en lo siguiente:

- 1) AGRUPACIÓN - ya tenemos TRES escaparates de marijuana operando en el Distrito 11;
- 2) ALTA DENSIDAD DE NIÑOS CERCANOS, que incluye una instalación bien utilizada para niños en edad escolar ubicada en el San Francisco Christian Center;
- 3) FALTA DE ALCANCE APROPIADO A LOS RESIDENTES MONOLINGÜES.

Stephanie Thomas
stephanie@thomas.as
9477 MacArthur Blvd
oakland, California 94605

From: [Elaine Ding](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Communities & Neighbors Oppose 5801 Mission Cannabis Retail
Date: Thursday, July 22, 2021 11:57:34 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Ms. Clerk of The Board,

We challenge the approval of 5801 Mission Street based on the following:

- 1) CLUSTERING - already have THREE cannabis storefronts operating in District 11;
- 2) HIGH DENSITY OF CHILDREN NEARBY including a well-used facility for school-age children located at the San Francisco Christian Center;
- 3) LACK OF APPROPRIATE OUTREACH TO MONOLINGUAL RESIDENTS.

我們基於以下理由對 5801 Mission Street 大麻店的批准提出質疑:

- 1) 集群 - 已經在第 11 區經營三個大麻店面;
- 2) 附近有高密度的兒童,包括位於舊金山基督教中心的學齡兒童設施;
- 3) 缺乏對單一語言居民的適當宣傳。

Desafiamos la aprobación de 5801 Mission Street con base en lo siguiente:

- 1) AGRUPACIÓN - ya tenemos TRES escaparates de marijuana operando en el Distrito 11;
- 2) ALTA DENSIDAD DE NIÑOS CERCANOS, que incluye una instalación bien utilizada para niños en edad escolar ubicada en el San Francisco Christian Center;
- 3) FALTA DE ALCANCE APROPIADO A LOS RESIDENTES MONOLINGÜES.

Elaine Ding
elainedingusa@gmail.com
5851 Mission St.
San Francisco , California 94112

From: [Cheryl Ng](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Communities & Neighbors Oppose 5801 Mission Cannabis Retail
Date: Thursday, July 22, 2021 8:40:37 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Ms. Clerk of The Board,

We challenge the approval of 5801 Mission Street based on the following:

- 1) CLUSTERING - already have THREE cannabis storefronts operating in District 11;
- 2) HIGH DENSITY OF CHILDREN NEARBY including a well-used facility for school-age children located at the San Francisco Christian Center;
- 3) LACK OF APPROPRIATE OUTREACH TO MONOLINGUAL RESIDENTS.

我們基於以下理由對 5801 Mission Street 大麻店的批准提出質疑:

- 1) 集群 - 已經在第 11 區經營三個大麻店面;
- 2) 附近有高密度的兒童,包括位於舊金山基督教中心的學齡兒童設施;
- 3) 缺乏對單一語言居民的適當宣傳。

Desafiamos la aprobación de 5801 Mission Street con base en lo siguiente:

- 1) AGRUPACIÓN - ya tenemos TRES escaparates de marijuana operando en el Distrito 11;
- 2) ALTA DENSIDAD DE NIÑOS CERCANOS, que incluye una instalación bien utilizada para niños en edad escolar ubicada en el San Francisco Christian Center;
- 3) FALTA DE ALCANCE APROPIADO A LOS RESIDENTES MONOLINGÜES.

Cheryl Ng

cherylng886@yahoo.com

3143 San Jose Ave

San Francisco , California 94112

From: [jiaqi liang](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Communities & Neighbors Oppose 5801 Mission Cannabis Retail
Date: Thursday, July 22, 2021 8:01:28 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Ms. Clerk of The Board,

We challenge the approval of 5801 Mission Street based on the following:

- 1) CLUSTERING - already have THREE cannabis storefronts operating in District 11;
- 2) HIGH DENSITY OF CHILDREN NEARBY including a well-used facility for school-age children located at the San Francisco Christian Center;
- 3) LACK OF APPROPRIATE OUTREACH TO MONOLINGUAL RESIDENTS.

我們基於以下理由對 5801 Mission Street 大麻店的批准提出質疑:

- 1) 集群 - 已經在第 11 區經營三個大麻店面;
- 2) 附近有高密度的兒童,包括位於舊金山基督教中心的學齡兒童設施;
- 3) 缺乏對單一語言居民的適當宣傳。

Desafiamos la aprobación de 5801 Mission Street con base en lo siguiente:

- 1) AGRUPACIÓN - ya tenemos TRES escaparates de marijuana operando en el Distrito 11;
- 2) ALTA DENSIDAD DE NIÑOS CERCANOS, que incluye una instalación bien utilizada para niños en edad escolar ubicada en el San Francisco Christian Center;
- 3) FALTA DE ALCANCE APROPIADO A LOS RESIDENTES MONOLINGÜES.

jiaqi liang
yukijiaqi1409@gmail.com
36 Curtis street
san francisco, California 94112

From: [Andrew Chang](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Communities & Neighbors Oppose 5801 Mission Cannabis Retail
Date: Thursday, July 22, 2021 7:49:24 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Ms. Clerk of The Board,

We challenge the approval of 5801 Mission Street based on the following:

- 1) CLUSTERING - already have THREE cannabis storefronts operating in District 11;
- 2) HIGH DENSITY OF CHILDREN NEARBY including a well-used facility for school-age children located at the San Francisco Christian Center;
- 3) LACK OF APPROPRIATE OUTREACH TO MONOLINGUAL RESIDENTS.

我們基於以下理由對 5801 Mission Street 大麻店的批准提出質疑:

- 1) 集群 - 已經在第 11 區經營三個大麻店面;
- 2) 附近有高密度的兒童,包括位於舊金山基督教中心的學齡兒童設施;
- 3) 缺乏對單一語言居民的適當宣傳。

Desafiamos la aprobación de 5801 Mission Street con base en lo siguiente:

- 1) AGRUPACIÓN - ya tenemos TRES escaparates de marijuana operando en el Distrito 11;
- 2) ALTA DENSIDAD DE NIÑOS CERCANOS, que incluye una instalación bien utilizada para niños en edad escolar ubicada en el San Francisco Christian Center;
- 3) FALTA DE ALCANCE APROPIADO A LOS RESIDENTES MONOLINGÜES.

Andrew Chang
jenlwo828@gmail.com
Concord
San Francisco, California 94112

From: [Matthew Chang](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Communities & Neighbors Oppose 5801 Mission Cannabis Retail
Date: Thursday, July 22, 2021 7:48:23 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Ms. Clerk of The Board,

We challenge the approval of 5801 Mission Street based on the following:

- 1) CLUSTERING - already have THREE cannabis storefronts operating in District 11;
- 2) HIGH DENSITY OF CHILDREN NEARBY including a well-used facility for school-age children located at the San Francisco Christian Center;
- 3) LACK OF APPROPRIATE OUTREACH TO MONOLINGUAL RESIDENTS.

我們基於以下理由對 5801 Mission Street 大麻店的批准提出質疑:

- 1) 集群 - 已經在第 11 區經營三個大麻店面;
- 2) 附近有高密度的兒童,包括位於舊金山基督教中心的學齡兒童設施;
- 3) 缺乏對單一語言居民的適當宣傳。

Desafiamos la aprobación de 5801 Mission Street con base en lo siguiente:

- 1) AGRUPACIÓN - ya tenemos TRES escaparates de marijuana operando en el Distrito 11;
- 2) ALTA DENSIDAD DE NIÑOS CERCANOS, que incluye una instalación bien utilizada para niños en edad escolar ubicada en el San Francisco Christian Center;
- 3) FALTA DE ALCANCE APROPIADO A LOS RESIDENTES MONOLINGÜES.

Matthew Chang
jenlwo828@gmail.com
Concord
San Francisco, California 94112

From: [Teresa Chang](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Communities & Neighbors Oppose 5801 Mission Cannabis Retail
Date: Thursday, July 22, 2021 7:43:39 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Ms. Clerk of The Board,

We challenge the approval of 5801 Mission Street based on the following:

- 1) CLUSTERING - already have THREE cannabis storefronts operating in District 11;
- 2) HIGH DENSITY OF CHILDREN NEARBY including a well-used facility for school-age children located at the San Francisco Christian Center;
- 3) LACK OF APPROPRIATE OUTREACH TO MONOLINGUAL RESIDENTS.

我們基於以下理由對 5801 Mission Street 大麻店的批准提出質疑:

- 1) 集群 - 已經在第 11 區經營三個大麻店面;
- 2) 附近有高密度的兒童,包括位於舊金山基督教中心的學齡兒童設施;
- 3) 缺乏對單一語言居民的適當宣傳。

Desafiamos la aprobación de 5801 Mission Street con base en lo siguiente:

- 1) AGRUPACIÓN - ya tenemos TRES escaparates de marijuana operando en el Distrito 11;
- 2) ALTA DENSIDAD DE NIÑOS CERCANOS, que incluye una instalación bien utilizada para niños en edad escolar ubicada en el San Francisco Christian Center;
- 3) FALTA DE ALCANCE APROPIADO A LOS RESIDENTES MONOLINGÜES.

Teresa Chang
jenlwo828@gmail.com
Concord
San Francisco, California 94112

From: [Tom Chang](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Communities & Neighbors Oppose 5801 Mission Cannabis Retail
Date: Thursday, July 22, 2021 7:42:39 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Ms. Clerk of The Board,

We challenge the approval of 5801 Mission Street based on the following:

- 1) CLUSTERING - already have THREE cannabis storefronts operating in District 11;
- 2) HIGH DENSITY OF CHILDREN NEARBY including a well-used facility for school-age children located at the San Francisco Christian Center;
- 3) LACK OF APPROPRIATE OUTREACH TO MONOLINGUAL RESIDENTS.

我們基於以下理由對 5801 Mission Street 大麻店的批准提出質疑:

- 1) 集群 - 已經在第 11 區經營三個大麻店面;
- 2) 附近有高密度的兒童,包括位於舊金山基督教中心的學齡兒童設施;
- 3) 缺乏對單一語言居民的適當宣傳。

Desafiamos la aprobación de 5801 Mission Street con base en lo siguiente:

- 1) AGRUPACIÓN - ya tenemos TRES escaparates de marijuana operando en el Distrito 11;
- 2) ALTA DENSIDAD DE NIÑOS CERCANOS, que incluye una instalación bien utilizada para niños en edad escolar ubicada en el San Francisco Christian Center;
- 3) FALTA DE ALCANCE APROPIADO A LOS RESIDENTES MONOLINGÜES.

Tom Chang
jenlwo828@gmail.com
Concord
San Francisco, California 94112

From: [Wai Kan](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Communities & Neighbors Oppose 5801 Mission Cannabis Retail
Date: Thursday, July 22, 2021 7:40:21 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Ms. Clerk of The Board,

We challenge the approval of 5801 Mission Street based on the following:

- 1) CLUSTERING - already have THREE cannabis storefronts operating in District 11;
- 2) HIGH DENSITY OF CHILDREN NEARBY including a well-used facility for school-age children located at the San Francisco Christian Center;
- 3) LACK OF APPROPRIATE OUTREACH TO MONOLINGUAL RESIDENTS.

我們基於以下理由對 5801 Mission Street 大麻店的批准提出質疑:

- 1) 集群 - 已經在第 11 區經營三個大麻店面;
- 2) 附近有高密度的兒童,包括位於舊金山基督教中心的學齡兒童設施;
- 3) 缺乏對單一語言居民的適當宣傳。

Desafiamos la aprobación de 5801 Mission Street con base en lo siguiente:

- 1) AGRUPACIÓN - ya tenemos TRES escaparates de marijuana operando en el Distrito 11;
- 2) ALTA DENSIDAD DE NIÑOS CERCANOS, que incluye una instalación bien utilizada para niños en edad escolar ubicada en el San Francisco Christian Center;
- 3) FALTA DE ALCANCE APROPIADO A LOS RESIDENTES MONOLINGÜES.

Wai Kan

kan313@gmail.com

Mission Hill Drive

Daly City, California 94014

From: [Jennifer Lo](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Communities & Neighbors Oppose 5801 Mission Cannabis Retail
Date: Thursday, July 22, 2021 7:37:46 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Ms. Clerk of The Board,

We challenge the approval of 5801 Mission Street based on the following:

- 1) CLUSTERING - already have THREE cannabis storefronts operating in District 11;
- 2) HIGH DENSITY OF CHILDREN NEARBY including a well-used facility for school-age children located at the San Francisco Christian Center;
- 3) LACK OF APPROPRIATE OUTREACH TO MONOLINGUAL RESIDENTS.

我們基於以下理由對 5801 Mission Street 大麻店的批准提出質疑:

- 1) 集群 - 已經在第 11 區經營三個大麻店面;
- 2) 附近有高密度的兒童,包括位於舊金山基督教中心的學齡兒童設施;
- 3) 缺乏對單一語言居民的適當宣傳。

Desafiamos la aprobación de 5801 Mission Street con base en lo siguiente:

- 1) AGRUPACIÓN - ya tenemos TRES escaparates de marijuana operando en el Distrito 11;
- 2) ALTA DENSIDAD DE NIÑOS CERCANOS, que incluye una instalación bien utilizada para niños en edad escolar ubicada en el San Francisco Christian Center;
- 3) FALTA DE ALCANCE APROPIADO A LOS RESIDENTES MONOLINGÜES.

Jennifer Lo
jenlwo828@gmail.com
Edinburgh
San Francisco, California 94112

From: [Huan Yehlo](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Communities & Neighbors Oppose 5801 Mission Cannabis Retail
Date: Thursday, July 22, 2021 7:36:55 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Ms. Clerk of The Board,

We challenge the approval of 5801 Mission Street based on the following:

- 1) CLUSTERING - already have THREE cannabis storefronts operating in District 11;
- 2) HIGH DENSITY OF CHILDREN NEARBY including a well-used facility for school-age children located at the San Francisco Christian Center;
- 3) LACK OF APPROPRIATE OUTREACH TO MONOLINGUAL RESIDENTS.

我們基於以下理由對 5801 Mission Street 大麻店的批准提出質疑:

- 1) 集群 - 已經在第 11 區經營三個大麻店面;
- 2) 附近有高密度的兒童,包括位於舊金山基督教中心的學齡兒童設施;
- 3) 缺乏對單一語言居民的適當宣傳。

Desafiamos la aprobación de 5801 Mission Street con base en lo siguiente:

- 1) AGRUPACIÓN - ya tenemos TRES escaparates de marijuana operando en el Distrito 11;
- 2) ALTA DENSIDAD DE NIÑOS CERCANOS, que incluye una instalación bien utilizada para niños en edad escolar ubicada en el San Francisco Christian Center;
- 3) FALTA DE ALCANCE APROPIADO A LOS RESIDENTES MONOLINGÜES.

Huan Yehlo
jenlwo828@gmail.com
Edinburgh
San Francisco, California 94112

From: [Lan Lo](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Communities & Neighbors Oppose 5801 Mission Cannabis Retail
Date: Thursday, July 22, 2021 7:35:50 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Ms. Clerk of The Board,

We challenge the approval of 5801 Mission Street based on the following:

- 1) CLUSTERING - already have THREE cannabis storefronts operating in District 11;
- 2) HIGH DENSITY OF CHILDREN NEARBY including a well-used facility for school-age children located at the San Francisco Christian Center;
- 3) LACK OF APPROPRIATE OUTREACH TO MONOLINGUAL RESIDENTS.

我們基於以下理由對 5801 Mission Street 大麻店的批准提出質疑:

- 1) 集群 - 已經在第 11 區經營三個大麻店面;
- 2) 附近有高密度的兒童,包括位於舊金山基督教中心的學齡兒童設施;
- 3) 缺乏對單一語言居民的適當宣傳。

Desafiamos la aprobación de 5801 Mission Street con base en lo siguiente:

- 1) AGRUPACIÓN - ya tenemos TRES escaparates de marijuana operando en el Distrito 11;
- 2) ALTA DENSIDAD DE NIÑOS CERCANOS, que incluye una instalación bien utilizada para niños en edad escolar ubicada en el San Francisco Christian Center;
- 3) FALTA DE ALCANCE APROPIADO A LOS RESIDENTES MONOLINGÜES.

Lan Lo
jenlwo828@gmail.com
Edinburgh
San Francisco, California 94112

From: [Laura Lo](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Communities & Neighbors Oppose 5801 Mission Cannabis Retail
Date: Thursday, July 22, 2021 7:32:37 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Ms. Clerk of The Board,

We challenge the approval of 5801 Mission Street based on the following:

- 1) CLUSTERING - already have THREE cannabis storefronts operating in District 11;
- 2) HIGH DENSITY OF CHILDREN NEARBY including a well-used facility for school-age children located at the San Francisco Christian Center;
- 3) LACK OF APPROPRIATE OUTREACH TO MONOLINGUAL RESIDENTS.

我們基於以下理由對 5801 Mission Street 大麻店的批准提出質疑:

- 1) 集群 - 已經在第 11 區經營三個大麻店面;
- 2) 附近有高密度的兒童,包括位於舊金山基督教中心的學齡兒童設施;
- 3) 缺乏對單一語言居民的適當宣傳。

Desafiamos la aprobación de 5801 Mission Street con base en lo siguiente:

- 1) AGRUPACIÓN - ya tenemos TRES escaparates de marijuana operando en el Distrito 11;
- 2) ALTA DENSIDAD DE NIÑOS CERCANOS, que incluye una instalación bien utilizada para niños en edad escolar ubicada en el San Francisco Christian Center;
- 3) FALTA DE ALCANCE APROPIADO A LOS RESIDENTES MONOLINGÜES.

Laura Lo
jenlwo828@gmail.com
Edinburgh
San Francisco, California 94112

From: [Eric Lo](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Communities & Neighbors Oppose 5801 Mission Cannabis Retail
Date: Thursday, July 22, 2021 7:31:15 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Ms. Clerk of The Board,

We challenge the approval of 5801 Mission Street based on the following:

- 1) CLUSTERING - already have THREE cannabis storefronts operating in District 11;
- 2) HIGH DENSITY OF CHILDREN NEARBY including a well-used facility for school-age children located at the San Francisco Christian Center;
- 3) LACK OF APPROPRIATE OUTREACH TO MONOLINGUAL RESIDENTS.

我們基於以下理由對 5801 Mission Street 大麻店的批准提出質疑:

- 1) 集群 - 已經在第 11 區經營三個大麻店面;
- 2) 附近有高密度的兒童,包括位於舊金山基督教中心的學齡兒童設施;
- 3) 缺乏對單一語言居民的適當宣傳。

Desafiamos la aprobación de 5801 Mission Street con base en lo siguiente:

- 1) AGRUPACIÓN - ya tenemos TRES escaparates de marijuana operando en el Distrito 11;
- 2) ALTA DENSIDAD DE NIÑOS CERCANOS, que incluye una instalación bien utilizada para niños en edad escolar ubicada en el San Francisco Christian Center;
- 3) FALTA DE ALCANCE APROPIADO A LOS RESIDENTES MONOLINGÜES.

Eric Lo
jenlwo828@gmail.com
Edinburgh
San Francisco, California 94112

From: [Roger LO](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Communities & Neighbors Oppose 5801 Mission Cannabis Retail
Date: Thursday, July 22, 2021 7:29:59 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Ms. Clerk of The Board,

We challenge the approval of 5801 Mission Street based on the following:

- 1) CLUSTERING - already have THREE cannabis storefronts operating in District 11;
- 2) HIGH DENSITY OF CHILDREN NEARBY including a well-used facility for school-age children located at the San Francisco Christian Center;
- 3) LACK OF APPROPRIATE OUTREACH TO MONOLINGUAL RESIDENTS.

我們基於以下理由對 5801 Mission Street 大麻店的批准提出質疑:

- 1) 集群 - 已經在第 11 區經營三個大麻店面;
- 2) 附近有高密度的兒童,包括位於舊金山基督教中心的學齡兒童設施;
- 3) 缺乏對單一語言居民的適當宣傳。

Desafiamos la aprobación de 5801 Mission Street con base en lo siguiente:

- 1) AGRUPACIÓN - ya tenemos TRES escaparates de marijuana operando en el Distrito 11;
- 2) ALTA DENSIDAD DE NIÑOS CERCANOS, que incluye una instalación bien utilizada para niños en edad escolar ubicada en el San Francisco Christian Center;
- 3) FALTA DE ALCANCE APROPIADO A LOS RESIDENTES MONOLINGÜES.

Roger LO
jenlwo828@gmail.com
Edinburgh
San Francisco, California 94112

From: [Allen Wu](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Communities & Neighbors Oppose 5801 Mission Cannabis Retail
Date: Thursday, July 22, 2021 7:26:38 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Ms. Clerk of The Board,

We challenge the approval of 5801 Mission Street based on the following:

- 1) CLUSTERING - already have THREE cannabis storefronts operating in District 11;
- 2) HIGH DENSITY OF CHILDREN NEARBY including a well-used facility for school-age children located at the San Francisco Christian Center;
- 3) LACK OF APPROPRIATE OUTREACH TO MONOLINGUAL RESIDENTS.

我們基於以下理由對 5801 Mission Street 大麻店的批准提出質疑:

- 1) 集群 - 已經在第 11 區經營三個大麻店面;
- 2) 附近有高密度的兒童,包括位於舊金山基督教中心的學齡兒童設施;
- 3) 缺乏對單一語言居民的適當宣傳。

Desafiamos la aprobación de 5801 Mission Street con base en lo siguiente:

- 1) AGRUPACIÓN - ya tenemos TRES escaparates de marijuana operando en el Distrito 11;
- 2) ALTA DENSIDAD DE NIÑOS CERCANOS, que incluye una instalación bien utilizada para niños en edad escolar ubicada en el San Francisco Christian Center;
- 3) FALTA DE ALCANCE APROPIADO A LOS RESIDENTES MONOLINGÜES.

Allen Wu
jenlwo828@gmail.com
Edinburgh
San Francisco, California 94112

From: [Adi Wu](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Communities & Neighbors Oppose 5801 Mission Cannabis Retail
Date: Thursday, July 22, 2021 7:24:51 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Ms. Clerk of The Board,

We challenge the approval of 5801 Mission Street based on the following:

- 1) CLUSTERING - already have THREE cannabis storefronts operating in District 11;
- 2) HIGH DENSITY OF CHILDREN NEARBY including a well-used facility for school-age children located at the San Francisco Christian Center;
- 3) LACK OF APPROPRIATE OUTREACH TO MONOLINGUAL RESIDENTS.

我們基於以下理由對 5801 Mission Street 大麻店的批准提出質疑:

- 1) 集群 - 已經在第 11 區經營三個大麻店面;
- 2) 附近有高密度的兒童,包括位於舊金山基督教中心的學齡兒童設施;
- 3) 缺乏對單一語言居民的適當宣傳。

Desafiamos la aprobación de 5801 Mission Street con base en lo siguiente:

- 1) AGRUPACIÓN - ya tenemos TRES escaparates de marijuana operando en el Distrito 11;
- 2) ALTA DENSIDAD DE NIÑOS CERCANOS, que incluye una instalación bien utilizada para niños en edad escolar ubicada en el San Francisco Christian Center;
- 3) FALTA DE ALCANCE APROPIADO A LOS RESIDENTES MONOLINGÜES.

Adi Wu
jenlwo828@gmail.com
Edinburgh
San Francisco, California 94112

From: [Sue Wu](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Communities & Neighbors Oppose 5801 Mission Cannabis Retail
Date: Thursday, July 22, 2021 7:21:28 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Ms. Clerk of The Board,

We challenge the approval of 5801 Mission Street based on the following:

- 1) CLUSTERING - already have THREE cannabis storefronts operating in District 11;
- 2) HIGH DENSITY OF CHILDREN NEARBY including a well-used facility for school-age children located at the San Francisco Christian Center;
- 3) LACK OF APPROPRIATE OUTREACH TO MONOLINGUAL RESIDENTS.

我們基於以下理由對 5801 Mission Street 大麻店的批准提出質疑:

- 1) 集群 - 已經在第 11 區經營三個大麻店面;
- 2) 附近有高密度的兒童,包括位於舊金山基督教中心的學齡兒童設施;
- 3) 缺乏對單一語言居民的適當宣傳。

Desafiamos la aprobación de 5801 Mission Street con base en lo siguiente:

- 1) AGRUPACIÓN - ya tenemos TRES escaparates de marijuana operando en el Distrito 11;
- 2) ALTA DENSIDAD DE NIÑOS CERCANOS, que incluye una instalación bien utilizada para niños en edad escolar ubicada en el San Francisco Christian Center;
- 3) FALTA DE ALCANCE APROPIADO A LOS RESIDENTES MONOLINGÜES.

Sue Wu
jenlwo828@gmail.com
Edinburgh
San Francisco, California 94112

From: [Cindy Ma](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Communities & Neighbors Oppose 5801 Mission Cannabis Retail
Date: Thursday, July 22, 2021 7:01:34 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Ms. Clerk of The Board,

We challenge the approval of 5801 Mission Street based on the following:

- 1) CLUSTERING - already have THREE cannabis storefronts operating in District 11;
- 2) HIGH DENSITY OF CHILDREN NEARBY including a well-used facility for school-age children located at the San Francisco Christian Center;
- 3) LACK OF APPROPRIATE OUTREACH TO MONOLINGUAL RESIDENTS.

我們基於以下理由對 5801 Mission Street 大麻店的批准提出質疑:

- 1) 集群 - 已經在第 11 區經營三個大麻店面;
- 2) 附近有高密度的兒童,包括位於舊金山基督教中心的學齡兒童設施;
- 3) 缺乏對單一語言居民的適當宣傳。

Desafiamos la aprobación de 5801 Mission Street con base en lo siguiente:

- 1) AGRUPACIÓN - ya tenemos TRES escaparates de marijuana operando en el Distrito 11;
- 2) ALTA DENSIDAD DE NIÑOS CERCANOS, que incluye una instalación bien utilizada para niños en edad escolar ubicada en el San Francisco Christian Center;
- 3) FALTA DE ALCANCE APROPIADO A LOS RESIDENTES MONOLINGÜES.

Cindy Ma

kcjnma238@hotmail.com

3140 San Jose Avenue

San Francisco , California 94112

From: [Runtan Cai](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Communities & Neighbors Oppose 5801 Mission Cannabis Retail
Date: Thursday, July 22, 2021 7:00:59 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Ms. Clerk of The Board,

We challenge the approval of 5801 Mission Street based on the following:

- 1) CLUSTERING - already have THREE cannabis storefronts operating in District 11;
- 2) HIGH DENSITY OF CHILDREN NEARBY including a well-used facility for school-age children located at the San Francisco Christian Center;
- 3) LACK OF APPROPRIATE OUTREACH TO MONOLINGUAL RESIDENTS.

我們基於以下理由對 5801 Mission Street 大麻店的批准提出質疑:

- 1) 集群 - 已經在第 11 區經營三個大麻店面;
- 2) 附近有高密度的兒童,包括位於舊金山基督教中心的學齡兒童設施;
- 3) 缺乏對單一語言居民的適當宣傳。

Desafiamos la aprobación de 5801 Mission Street con base en lo siguiente:

- 1) AGRUPACIÓN - ya tenemos TRES escaparates de marijuana operando en el Distrito 11;
- 2) ALTA DENSIDAD DE NIÑOS CERCANOS, que incluye una instalación bien utilizada para niños en edad escolar ubicada en el San Francisco Christian Center;
- 3) FALTA DE ALCANCE APROPIADO A LOS RESIDENTES MONOLINGÜES.

Runtan Cai
anniecai912@yahoo.com
152 Whittier street
San Francisco , California 94112

From: [Luoji Liu](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Communities & Neighbors Oppose 5801 Mission Cannabis Retail
Date: Thursday, July 22, 2021 6:59:30 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Ms. Clerk of The Board,

We challenge the approval of 5801 Mission Street based on the following:

- 1) CLUSTERING - already have THREE cannabis storefronts operating in District 11;
- 2) HIGH DENSITY OF CHILDREN NEARBY including a well-used facility for school-age children located at the San Francisco Christian Center;
- 3) LACK OF APPROPRIATE OUTREACH TO MONOLINGUAL RESIDENTS.

我們基於以下理由對 5801 Mission Street 大麻店的批准提出質疑:

- 1) 集群 - 已經在第 11 區經營三個大麻店面;
- 2) 附近有高密度的兒童,包括位於舊金山基督教中心的學齡兒童設施;
- 3) 缺乏對單一語言居民的適當宣傳。

Desafiamos la aprobación de 5801 Mission Street con base en lo siguiente:

- 1) AGRUPACIÓN - ya tenemos TRES escaparates de marijuana operando en el Distrito 11;
- 2) ALTA DENSIDAD DE NIÑOS CERCANOS, que incluye una instalación bien utilizada para niños en edad escolar ubicada en el San Francisco Christian Center;
- 3) FALTA DE ALCANCE APROPIADO A LOS RESIDENTES MONOLINGÜES.

Luoji Liu
jeremyliu2121@gmail.com
152 whittier street
San Francisco, California 94112

From: [caizhong wu](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Communities & Neighbors Oppose 5801 Mission Cannabis Retail
Date: Thursday, July 22, 2021 6:21:13 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Ms. Clerk of The Board,

We challenge the approval of 5801 Mission Street based on the following:

- 1) CLUSTERING - already have THREE cannabis storefronts operating in District 11;
- 2) HIGH DENSITY OF CHILDREN NEARBY including a well-used facility for school-age children located at the San Francisco Christian Center;
- 3) LACK OF APPROPRIATE OUTREACH TO MONOLINGUAL RESIDENTS.

我們基於以下理由對 5801 Mission Street 大麻店的批准提出質疑:

- 1) 集群 - 已經在第 11 區經營三個大麻店面;
- 2) 附近有高密度的兒童,包括位於舊金山基督教中心的學齡兒童設施;
- 3) 缺乏對單一語言居民的適當宣傳。

Desafiamos la aprobación de 5801 Mission Street con base en lo siguiente:

- 1) AGRUPACIÓN - ya tenemos TRES escaparates de marijuana operando en el Distrito 11;
- 2) ALTA DENSIDAD DE NIÑOS CERCANOS, que incluye una instalación bien utilizada para niños en edad escolar ubicada en el San Francisco Christian Center;
- 3) FALTA DE ALCANCE APROPIADO A LOS RESIDENTES MONOLINGÜES.

caizhong wu
wujoey321@gmail.com
89 meda ave
san Francisco, California 94112

From: [Hong Liu](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Communities & Neighbors Oppose 5801 Mission Cannabis Retail
Date: Thursday, July 22, 2021 5:43:01 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Ms. Clerk of The Board,

We challenge the approval of 5801 Mission Street based on the following:

- 1) CLUSTERING - already have THREE cannabis storefronts operating in District 11;
- 2) HIGH DENSITY OF CHILDREN NEARBY including a well-used facility for school-age children located at the San Francisco Christian Center;
- 3) LACK OF APPROPRIATE OUTREACH TO MONOLINGUAL RESIDENTS.

我們基於以下理由對 5801 Mission Street 大麻店的批准提出質疑:

- 1) 集群 - 已經在第 11 區經營三個大麻店面;
- 2) 附近有高密度的兒童,包括位於舊金山基督教中心的學齡兒童設施;
- 3) 缺乏對單一語言居民的適當宣傳。

Desafiamos la aprobación de 5801 Mission Street con base en lo siguiente:

- 1) AGRUPACIÓN - ya tenemos TRES escaparates de marijuana operando en el Distrito 11;
- 2) ALTA DENSIDAD DE NIÑOS CERCANOS, que incluye una instalación bien utilizada para niños en edad escolar ubicada en el San Francisco Christian Center;
- 3) FALTA DE ALCANCE APROPIADO A LOS RESIDENTES MONOLINGÜES.

Hong Liu

vosogi4385@dmsdmg.com

Frankfurt ST

Daly City, California 94014

From: [Zhaoran Liu](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Communities & Neighbors Oppose 5801 Mission Cannabis Retail
Date: Thursday, July 22, 2021 5:41:40 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Ms. Clerk of The Board,

We challenge the approval of 5801 Mission Street based on the following:

- 1) CLUSTERING - already have THREE cannabis storefronts operating in District 11;
- 2) HIGH DENSITY OF CHILDREN NEARBY including a well-used facility for school-age children located at the San Francisco Christian Center;
- 3) LACK OF APPROPRIATE OUTREACH TO MONOLINGUAL RESIDENTS.

我們基於以下理由對 5801 Mission Street 大麻店的批准提出質疑:

- 1) 集群 - 已經在第 11 區經營三個大麻店面;
- 2) 附近有高密度的兒童,包括位於舊金山基督教中心的學齡兒童設施;
- 3) 缺乏對單一語言居民的適當宣傳。

Desafiamos la aprobación de 5801 Mission Street con base en lo siguiente:

- 1) AGRUPACIÓN - ya tenemos TRES escaparates de marijuana operando en el Distrito 11;
- 2) ALTA DENSIDAD DE NIÑOS CERCANOS, que incluye una instalación bien utilizada para niños en edad escolar ubicada en el San Francisco Christian Center;
- 3) FALTA DE ALCANCE APROPIADO A LOS RESIDENTES MONOLINGÜES.

Zhaoran Liu
zhaoran10086@gmail.com
175 Frankfort St
Daly city , California 94014

From: [Hsiu Peng](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Communities & Neighbors Oppose 5801 Mission Cannabis Retail
Date: Friday, July 23, 2021 9:50:31 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Ms. Clerk of The Board,

We challenge the approval of 5801 Mission Street based on the following:

- 1) CLUSTERING - already have THREE cannabis storefronts operating in District 11;
- 2) HIGH DENSITY OF CHILDREN NEARBY including a well-used facility for school-age children located at the San Francisco Christian Center;
- 3) LACK OF APPROPRIATE OUTREACH TO MONOLINGUAL RESIDENTS.

我們基於以下理由對 5801 Mission Street 大麻店的批准提出質疑:

- 1) 集群 - 已經在第 11 區經營三個大麻店面;
- 2) 附近有高密度的兒童,包括位於舊金山基督教中心的學齡兒童設施;
- 3) 缺乏對單一語言居民的適當宣傳。

Desafiamos la aprobación de 5801 Mission Street con base en lo siguiente:

- 1) AGRUPACIÓN - ya tenemos TRES escaparates de marijuana operando en el Distrito 11;
- 2) ALTA DENSIDAD DE NIÑOS CERCANOS, que incluye una instalación bien utilizada para niños en edad escolar ubicada en el San Francisco Christian Center;
- 3) FALTA DE ALCANCE APROPIADO A LOS RESIDENTES MONOLINGÜES.

Hsiu Peng
hsiujpeng@yahoo.com
450AMHERST
San Francisco , California 94134

From: [Cody Jaffe](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Communities & Neighbors Oppose 5801 Mission Cannabis Retail
Date: Friday, July 23, 2021 9:02:08 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Ms. Clerk of The Board,

We challenge the approval of 5801 Mission Street based on the following:

- 1) CLUSTERING - already have THREE cannabis storefronts operating in District 11;
- 2) HIGH DENSITY OF CHILDREN NEARBY including a well-used facility for school-age children located at the San Francisco Christian Center;
- 3) LACK OF APPROPRIATE OUTREACH TO MONOLINGUAL RESIDENTS.

我們基於以下理由對 5801 Mission Street 大麻店的批准提出質疑:

- 1) 集群 - 已經在第 11 區經營三個大麻店面;
- 2) 附近有高密度的兒童,包括位於舊金山基督教中心的學齡兒童設施;
- 3) 缺乏對單一語言居民的適當宣傳。

Desafiamos la aprobación de 5801 Mission Street con base en lo siguiente:

- 1) AGRUPACIÓN - ya tenemos TRES escaparates de marijuana operando en el Distrito 11;
- 2) ALTA DENSIDAD DE NIÑOS CERCANOS, que incluye una instalación bien utilizada para niños en edad escolar ubicada en el San Francisco Christian Center;
- 3) FALTA DE ALCANCE APROPIADO A LOS RESIDENTES MONOLINGÜES.

Cody Jaffe
cody@codyjaffelaw.com
21 Junior Terrance
SF, California 94112

From: [Qifang Huang](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Communities & Neighbors Oppose 5801 Mission Cannabis Retail
Date: Friday, July 23, 2021 8:25:21 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Ms. Clerk of The Board,

We challenge the approval of 5801 Mission Street based on the following:

- 1) CLUSTERING - already have THREE cannabis storefronts operating in District 11;
- 2) HIGH DENSITY OF CHILDREN NEARBY including a well-used facility for school-age children located at the San Francisco Christian Center;
- 3) LACK OF APPROPRIATE OUTREACH TO MONOLINGUAL RESIDENTS.

我們基於以下理由對 5801 Mission Street 大麻店的批准提出質疑:

- 1) 集群 - 已經在第 11 區經營三個大麻店面;
- 2) 附近有高密度的兒童,包括位於舊金山基督教中心的學齡兒童設施;
- 3) 缺乏對單一語言居民的適當宣傳。

Desafiamos la aprobación de 5801 Mission Street con base en lo siguiente:

- 1) AGRUPACIÓN - ya tenemos TRES escaparates de marijuana operando en el Distrito 11;
- 2) ALTA DENSIDAD DE NIÑOS CERCANOS, que incluye una instalación bien utilizada para niños en edad escolar ubicada en el San Francisco Christian Center;
- 3) FALTA DE ALCANCE APROPIADO A LOS RESIDENTES MONOLINGÜES.

Qifang Huang
qifang0028@gmail.com
136 Rae Ave
San Francisco , California 94112

From: [Wing Pak Tam](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Communities & Neighbors Oppose 5801 Mission Cannabis Retail
Date: Friday, July 23, 2021 8:04:06 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Ms. Clerk of The Board,

We challenge the approval of 5801 Mission Street based on the following:

- 1) CLUSTERING - already have THREE cannabis storefronts operating in District 11;
- 2) HIGH DENSITY OF CHILDREN NEARBY including a well-used facility for school-age children located at the San Francisco Christian Center;
- 3) LACK OF APPROPRIATE OUTREACH TO MONOLINGUAL RESIDENTS.

我們基於以下理由對 5801 Mission Street 大麻店的批准提出質疑:

- 1) 集群 - 已經在第 11 區經營三個大麻店面;
- 2) 附近有高密度的兒童,包括位於舊金山基督教中心的學齡兒童設施;
- 3) 缺乏對單一語言居民的適當宣傳。

Desafiamos la aprobación de 5801 Mission Street con base en lo siguiente:

- 1) AGRUPACIÓN - ya tenemos TRES escaparates de marijuana operando en el Distrito 11;
- 2) ALTA DENSIDAD DE NIÑOS CERCANOS, que incluye una instalación bien utilizada para niños en edad escolar ubicada en el San Francisco Christian Center;
- 3) FALTA DE ALCANCE APROPIADO A LOS RESIDENTES MONOLINGÜES.

Wing Pak Tam
wingpaktam179@yahoo.com
179 Madrid St
San Francisco , California 94112

From: [Wai Wah Yu](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Communities & Neighbors Oppose 5801 Mission Cannabis Retail
Date: Friday, July 23, 2021 8:03:39 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Ms. Clerk of The Board,

We challenge the approval of 5801 Mission Street based on the following:

- 1) CLUSTERING - already have THREE cannabis storefronts operating in District 11;
- 2) HIGH DENSITY OF CHILDREN NEARBY including a well-used facility for school-age children located at the San Francisco Christian Center;
- 3) LACK OF APPROPRIATE OUTREACH TO MONOLINGUAL RESIDENTS.

我們基於以下理由對 5801 Mission Street 大麻店的批准提出質疑:

- 1) 集群 - 已經在第 11 區經營三個大麻店面;
- 2) 附近有高密度的兒童,包括位於舊金山基督教中心的學齡兒童設施;
- 3) 缺乏對單一語言居民的適當宣傳。

Desafiamos la aprobación de 5801 Mission Street con base en lo siguiente:

- 1) AGRUPACIÓN - ya tenemos TRES escaparates de marijuana operando en el Distrito 11;
- 2) ALTA DENSIDAD DE NIÑOS CERCANOS, que incluye una instalación bien utilizada para niños en edad escolar ubicada en el San Francisco Christian Center;
- 3) FALTA DE ALCANCE APROPIADO A LOS RESIDENTES MONOLINGÜES.

Wai Wah Yu
waiwahyu@yahoo.com
469 Vienna St
San Francisco , California 94112

From: [Kelly Hu](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Communities & Neighbors Oppose 5801 Mission Cannabis Retail
Date: Thursday, July 22, 2021 11:15:39 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Ms. Clerk of The Board,

We challenge the approval of 5801 Mission Street based on the following:

- 1) CLUSTERING - already have THREE cannabis storefronts operating in District 11;
- 2) HIGH DENSITY OF CHILDREN NEARBY including a well-used facility for school-age children located at the San Francisco Christian Center;
- 3) LACK OF APPROPRIATE OUTREACH TO MONOLINGUAL RESIDENTS.

我們基於以下理由對 5801 Mission Street 大麻店的批准提出質疑:

- 1) 集群 - 已經在第 11 區經營三個大麻店面;
- 2) 附近有高密度的兒童,包括位於舊金山基督教中心的學齡兒童設施;
- 3) 缺乏對單一語言居民的適當宣傳。

Desafiamos la aprobación de 5801 Mission Street con base en lo siguiente:

- 1) AGRUPACIÓN - ya tenemos TRES escaparates de marijuana operando en el Distrito 11;
- 2) ALTA DENSIDAD DE NIÑOS CERCANOS, que incluye una instalación bien utilizada para niños en edad escolar ubicada en el San Francisco Christian Center;
- 3) FALTA DE ALCANCE APROPIADO A LOS RESIDENTES MONOLINGÜES.

Kelly Hu
kelleywoo@gmail.com
474 Rolph st
San Francisco , California 94112

From: [Lydia Fong](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Communities & Neighbors Oppose 5801 Mission Cannabis Retail
Date: Thursday, July 22, 2021 11:00:14 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Ms. Clerk of The Board,

We challenge the approval of 5801 Mission Street based on the following:

- 1) CLUSTERING - already have THREE cannabis storefronts operating in District 11;
- 2) HIGH DENSITY OF CHILDREN NEARBY including a well-used facility for school-age children located at the San Francisco Christian Center;
- 3) LACK OF APPROPRIATE OUTREACH TO MONOLINGUAL RESIDENTS.

我們基於以下理由對 5801 Mission Street 大麻店的批准提出質疑:

- 1) 集群 - 已經在第 11 區經營三個大麻店面;
- 2) 附近有高密度的兒童,包括位於舊金山基督教中心的學齡兒童設施;
- 3) 缺乏對單一語言居民的適當宣傳。

Desafiamos la aprobación de 5801 Mission Street con base en lo siguiente:

- 1) AGRUPACIÓN - ya tenemos TRES escaparates de marijuana operando en el Distrito 11;
- 2) ALTA DENSIDAD DE NIÑOS CERCANOS, que incluye una instalación bien utilizada para niños en edad escolar ubicada en el San Francisco Christian Center;
- 3) FALTA DE ALCANCE APROPIADO A LOS RESIDENTES MONOLINGÜES.

Lydia Fong
lydiawfong@gmail.com
1109 Tennessee street
San Francisco , California 94107

From: [Joanne Fong](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Communities & Neighbors Oppose 5801 Mission Cannabis Retail
Date: Thursday, July 22, 2021 10:46:12 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Ms. Clerk of The Board,

We challenge the approval of 5801 Mission Street based on the following:

- 1) CLUSTERING - already have THREE cannabis storefronts operating in District 11;
- 2) HIGH DENSITY OF CHILDREN NEARBY including a well-used facility for school-age children located at the San Francisco Christian Center;
- 3) LACK OF APPROPRIATE OUTREACH TO MONOLINGUAL RESIDENTS.

我們基於以下理由對 5801 Mission Street 大麻店的批准提出質疑:

- 1) 集群 - 已經在第 11 區經營三個大麻店面;
- 2) 附近有高密度的兒童,包括位於舊金山基督教中心的學齡兒童設施;
- 3) 缺乏對單一語言居民的適當宣傳。

Desafiamos la aprobación de 5801 Mission Street con base en lo siguiente:

- 1) AGRUPACIÓN - ya tenemos TRES escaparates de marijuana operando en el Distrito 11;
- 2) ALTA DENSIDAD DE NIÑOS CERCANOS, que incluye una instalación bien utilizada para niños en edad escolar ubicada en el San Francisco Christian Center;
- 3) FALTA DE ALCANCE APROPIADO A LOS RESIDENTES MONOLINGÜES.

Joanne Fong
joja1217@gmail.com
1109 Tennessee street
San Francisco , California 94107

From: [Catherine Leung](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Communities & Neighbors Oppose 5801 Mission Cannabis Retail
Date: Thursday, July 22, 2021 10:37:34 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Ms. Clerk of The Board,

We challenge the approval of 5801 Mission Street based on the following:

- 1) CLUSTERING - already have THREE cannabis storefronts operating in District 11;
- 2) HIGH DENSITY OF CHILDREN NEARBY including a well-used facility for school-age children located at the San Francisco Christian Center;
- 3) LACK OF APPROPRIATE OUTREACH TO MONOLINGUAL RESIDENTS.

我們基於以下理由對 5801 Mission Street 大麻店的批准提出質疑:

- 1) 集群 - 已經在第 11 區經營三個大麻店面;
- 2) 附近有高密度的兒童,包括位於舊金山基督教中心的學齡兒童設施;
- 3) 缺乏對單一語言居民的適當宣傳。

Desafiamos la aprobación de 5801 Mission Street con base en lo siguiente:

- 1) AGRUPACIÓN - ya tenemos TRES escaparates de marijuana operando en el Distrito 11;
- 2) ALTA DENSIDAD DE NIÑOS CERCANOS, que incluye una instalación bien utilizada para niños en edad escolar ubicada en el San Francisco Christian Center;
- 3) FALTA DE ALCANCE APROPIADO A LOS RESIDENTES MONOLINGÜES.

Catherine Leung
cyleung6@gmail.com
5 Oliver street
San Francisco , California 94112

From: [jjajian liu](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Communities & Neighbors Oppose 5801 Mission Cannabis Retail
Date: Thursday, July 22, 2021 10:15:01 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Ms. Clerk of The Board,

We challenge the approval of 5801 Mission Street based on the following:

- 1) CLUSTERING - already have THREE cannabis storefronts operating in District 11;
- 2) HIGH DENSITY OF CHILDREN NEARBY including a well-used facility for school-age children located at the San Francisco Christian Center;
- 3) LACK OF APPROPRIATE OUTREACH TO MONOLINGUAL RESIDENTS.

我們基於以下理由對 5801 Mission Street 大麻店的批准提出質疑:

- 1) 集群 - 已經在第 11 區經營三個大麻店面;
- 2) 附近有高密度的兒童,包括位於舊金山基督教中心的學齡兒童設施;
- 3) 缺乏對單一語言居民的適當宣傳。

Desafiamos la aprobación de 5801 Mission Street con base en lo siguiente:

- 1) AGRUPACIÓN - ya tenemos TRES escaparates de marijuana operando en el Distrito 11;
- 2) ALTA DENSIDAD DE NIÑOS CERCANOS, que incluye una instalación bien utilizada para niños en edad escolar ubicada en el San Francisco Christian Center;
- 3) FALTA DE ALCANCE APROPIADO A LOS RESIDENTES MONOLINGÜES.

jjajian liu
jjajianliu94112@gmail.com
36 curtis street
san francisco, California 94112

From: [Jason Fong](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Communities & Neighbors Oppose 5801 Mission Cannabis Retail
Date: Thursday, July 22, 2021 10:11:43 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Ms. Clerk of The Board,

We challenge the approval of 5801 Mission Street based on the following:

- 1) CLUSTERING - already have THREE cannabis storefronts operating in District 11;
- 2) HIGH DENSITY OF CHILDREN NEARBY including a well-used facility for school-age children located at the San Francisco Christian Center;
- 3) LACK OF APPROPRIATE OUTREACH TO MONOLINGUAL RESIDENTS.

我們基於以下理由對 5801 Mission Street 大麻店的批准提出質疑:

- 1) 集群 - 已經在第 11 區經營三個大麻店面;
- 2) 附近有高密度的兒童,包括位於舊金山基督教中心的學齡兒童設施;
- 3) 缺乏對單一語言居民的適當宣傳。

Desafiamos la aprobación de 5801 Mission Street con base en lo siguiente:

- 1) AGRUPACIÓN - ya tenemos TRES escaparates de marijuana operando en el Distrito 11;
- 2) ALTA DENSIDAD DE NIÑOS CERCANOS, que incluye una instalación bien utilizada para niños en edad escolar ubicada en el San Francisco Christian Center;
- 3) FALTA DE ALCANCE APROPIADO A LOS RESIDENTES MONOLINGÜES.

Jason Fong
jasonfongjc@gmail.com
5 Oliver street
San Francisco , California 94112

From: [Serena Tu](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Communities & Neighbors Oppose 5801 Mission Cannabis Retail
Date: Thursday, July 22, 2021 9:57:28 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Ms. Clerk of The Board,

We challenge the approval of 5801 Mission Street based on the following:

- 1) CLUSTERING - already have THREE cannabis storefronts operating in District 11;
- 2) HIGH DENSITY OF CHILDREN NEARBY including a well-used facility for school-age children located at the San Francisco Christian Center;
- 3) LACK OF APPROPRIATE OUTREACH TO MONOLINGUAL RESIDENTS.

我們基於以下理由對 5801 Mission Street 大麻店的批准提出質疑:

- 1) 集群 - 已經在第 11 區經營三個大麻店面;
- 2) 附近有高密度的兒童,包括位於舊金山基督教中心的學齡兒童設施;
- 3) 缺乏對單一語言居民的適當宣傳。

Desafiamos la aprobación de 5801 Mission Street con base en lo siguiente:

- 1) AGRUPACIÓN - ya tenemos TRES escaparates de marijuana operando en el Distrito 11;
- 2) ALTA DENSIDAD DE NIÑOS CERCANOS, que incluye una instalación bien utilizada para niños en edad escolar ubicada en el San Francisco Christian Center;
- 3) FALTA DE ALCANCE APROPIADO A LOS RESIDENTES MONOLINGÜES.

Serena Tu

lindactang@gmail.com

5825 - 45 Mission Street

San Francisco , California 94112

From: [Jianxin Situ](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Communities & Neighbors Oppose 5801 Mission Cannabis Retail
Date: Thursday, July 22, 2021 9:56:30 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Ms. Clerk of The Board,

We challenge the approval of 5801 Mission Street based on the following:

- 1) CLUSTERING - already have THREE cannabis storefronts operating in District 11;
- 2) HIGH DENSITY OF CHILDREN NEARBY including a well-used facility for school-age children located at the San Francisco Christian Center;
- 3) LACK OF APPROPRIATE OUTREACH TO MONOLINGUAL RESIDENTS.

我們基於以下理由對 5801 Mission Street 大麻店的批准提出質疑:

- 1) 集群 - 已經在第 11 區經營三個大麻店面;
- 2) 附近有高密度的兒童,包括位於舊金山基督教中心的學齡兒童設施;
- 3) 缺乏對單一語言居民的適當宣傳。

Desafiamos la aprobación de 5801 Mission Street con base en lo siguiente:

- 1) AGRUPACIÓN - ya tenemos TRES escaparates de marijuana operando en el Distrito 11;
- 2) ALTA DENSIDAD DE NIÑOS CERCANOS, que incluye una instalación bien utilizada para niños en edad escolar ubicada en el San Francisco Christian Center;
- 3) FALTA DE ALCANCE APROPIADO A LOS RESIDENTES MONOLINGÜES.

Jianxin Situ
helings8899@gmail.com
8200 Oceanview ter.Apt217
San Francisco , Ca94132

From: [Wankun Luo](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Communities & Neighbors Oppose 5801 Mission Cannabis Retail
Date: Thursday, July 22, 2021 9:54:17 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Ms. Clerk of The Board,

We challenge the approval of 5801 Mission Street based on the following:

- 1) CLUSTERING - already have THREE cannabis storefronts operating in District 11;
- 2) HIGH DENSITY OF CHILDREN NEARBY including a well-used facility for school-age children located at the San Francisco Christian Center;
- 3) LACK OF APPROPRIATE OUTREACH TO MONOLINGUAL RESIDENTS.

我們基於以下理由對 5801 Mission Street 大麻店的批准提出質疑:

- 1) 集群 - 已經在第 11 區經營三個大麻店面;
- 2) 附近有高密度的兒童,包括位於舊金山基督教中心的學齡兒童設施;
- 3) 缺乏對單一語言居民的適當宣傳。

Desafiamos la aprobación de 5801 Mission Street con base en lo siguiente:

- 1) AGRUPACIÓN - ya tenemos TRES escaparates de marijuana operando en el Distrito 11;
- 2) ALTA DENSIDAD DE NIÑOS CERCANOS, que incluye una instalación bien utilizada para niños en edad escolar ubicada en el San Francisco Christian Center;
- 3) FALTA DE ALCANCE APROPIADO A LOS RESIDENTES MONOLINGÜES.

Wankun Luo
Amy21280@yahoo.com
4800 3rd street
San Francisco , California 94124

From: [Ying Wang](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Communities & Neighbors Oppose 5801 Mission Cannabis Retail
Date: Thursday, July 22, 2021 9:51:16 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Ms. Clerk of The Board,

We challenge the approval of 5801 Mission Street based on the following:

- 1) CLUSTERING - already have THREE cannabis storefronts operating in District 11;
- 2) HIGH DENSITY OF CHILDREN NEARBY including a well-used facility for school-age children located at the San Francisco Christian Center;
- 3) LACK OF APPROPRIATE OUTREACH TO MONOLINGUAL RESIDENTS.

我們基於以下理由對 5801 Mission Street 大麻店的批准提出質疑:

- 1) 集群 - 已經在第 11 區經營三個大麻店面;
- 2) 附近有高密度的兒童,包括位於舊金山基督教中心的學齡兒童設施;
- 3) 缺乏對單一語言居民的適當宣傳。

Desafiamos la aprobación de 5801 Mission Street con base en lo siguiente:

- 1) AGRUPACIÓN - ya tenemos TRES escaparates de marijuana operando en el Distrito 11;
- 2) ALTA DENSIDAD DE NIÑOS CERCANOS, que incluye una instalación bien utilizada para niños en edad escolar ubicada en el San Francisco Christian Center;
- 3) FALTA DE ALCANCE APROPIADO A LOS RESIDENTES MONOLINGÜES.

Ying Wang
mh358@hotmail.com
5825-45 Mission St
CA, California 94112

From: [Aihong Zeng](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Communities & Neighbors Oppose 5801 Mission Cannabis Retail
Date: Thursday, July 22, 2021 9:50:13 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Ms. Clerk of The Board,

We challenge the approval of 5801 Mission Street based on the following:

- 1) CLUSTERING - already have THREE cannabis storefronts operating in District 11;
- 2) HIGH DENSITY OF CHILDREN NEARBY including a well-used facility for school-age children located at the San Francisco Christian Center;
- 3) LACK OF APPROPRIATE OUTREACH TO MONOLINGUAL RESIDENTS.

我們基於以下理由對 5801 Mission Street 大麻店的批准提出質疑:

- 1) 集群 - 已經在第 11 區經營三個大麻店面;
- 2) 附近有高密度的兒童,包括位於舊金山基督教中心的學齡兒童設施;
- 3) 缺乏對單一語言居民的適當宣傳。

Desafiamos la aprobación de 5801 Mission Street con base en lo siguiente:

- 1) AGRUPACIÓN - ya tenemos TRES escaparates de marijuana operando en el Distrito 11;
- 2) ALTA DENSIDAD DE NIÑOS CERCANOS, que incluye una instalación bien utilizada para niños en edad escolar ubicada en el San Francisco Christian Center;
- 3) FALTA DE ALCANCE APROPIADO A LOS RESIDENTES MONOLINGÜES.

Aihong Zeng
alicecjzeng@hotmail.com
244 Thrift st
San Francisco , California 94112

From: [Susan Xu](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Communities & Neighbors Oppose 5801 Mission Cannabis Retail
Date: Thursday, July 22, 2021 9:47:55 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Ms. Clerk of The Board,

We challenge the approval of 5801 Mission Street based on the following:

- 1) CLUSTERING - already have THREE cannabis storefronts operating in District 11;
- 2) HIGH DENSITY OF CHILDREN NEARBY including a well-used facility for school-age children located at the San Francisco Christian Center;
- 3) LACK OF APPROPRIATE OUTREACH TO MONOLINGUAL RESIDENTS.

我們基於以下理由對 5801 Mission Street 大麻店的批准提出質疑:

- 1) 集群 - 已經在第 11 區經營三個大麻店面;
- 2) 附近有高密度的兒童,包括位於舊金山基督教中心的學齡兒童設施;
- 3) 缺乏對單一語言居民的適當宣傳。

Desafiamos la aprobación de 5801 Mission Street con base en lo siguiente:

- 1) AGRUPACIÓN - ya tenemos TRES escaparates de marijuana operando en el Distrito 11;
- 2) ALTA DENSIDAD DE NIÑOS CERCANOS, que incluye una instalación bien utilizada para niños en edad escolar ubicada en el San Francisco Christian Center;
- 3) FALTA DE ALCANCE APROPIADO A LOS RESIDENTES MONOLINGÜES.

Susan Xu

hui.meng3588@gmail.com

5825-45 Mission St

CA, California 94112

From: [Michael Zhai](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Communities & Neighbors Oppose 5801 Mission Cannabis Retail
Date: Thursday, July 22, 2021 9:44:10 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Ms. Clerk of The Board,

We challenge the approval of 5801 Mission Street based on the following:

- 1) CLUSTERING - already have THREE cannabis storefronts operating in District 11;
- 2) HIGH DENSITY OF CHILDREN NEARBY including a well-used facility for school-age children located at the San Francisco Christian Center;
- 3) LACK OF APPROPRIATE OUTREACH TO MONOLINGUAL RESIDENTS.

我們基於以下理由對 5801 Mission Street 大麻店的批准提出質疑:

- 1) 集群 - 已經在第 11 區經營三個大麻店面;
- 2) 附近有高密度的兒童,包括位於舊金山基督教中心的學齡兒童設施;
- 3) 缺乏對單一語言居民的適當宣傳。

Desafiamos la aprobación de 5801 Mission Street con base en lo siguiente:

- 1) AGRUPACIÓN - ya tenemos TRES escaparates de marijuana operando en el Distrito 11;
- 2) ALTA DENSIDAD DE NIÑOS CERCANOS, que incluye una instalación bien utilizada para niños en edad escolar ubicada en el San Francisco Christian Center;
- 3) FALTA DE ALCANCE APROPIADO A LOS RESIDENTES MONOLINGÜES.

Michael Zhai
mh358@hotmail.com
5825 45 Mission
CA, California 94112

From: [Benjamin Zhai](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Communities & Neighbors Oppose 5801 Mission Cannabis Retail
Date: Thursday, July 22, 2021 9:39:04 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Ms. Clerk of The Board,

We challenge the approval of 5801 Mission Street based on the following:

- 1) CLUSTERING - already have THREE cannabis storefronts operating in District 11;
- 2) HIGH DENSITY OF CHILDREN NEARBY including a well-used facility for school-age children located at the San Francisco Christian Center;
- 3) LACK OF APPROPRIATE OUTREACH TO MONOLINGUAL RESIDENTS.

我們基於以下理由對 5801 Mission Street 大麻店的批准提出質疑:

- 1) 集群 - 已經在第 11 區經營三個大麻店面;
- 2) 附近有高密度的兒童,包括位於舊金山基督教中心的學齡兒童設施;
- 3) 缺乏對單一語言居民的適當宣傳。

Desafiamos la aprobación de 5801 Mission Street con base en lo siguiente:

- 1) AGRUPACIÓN - ya tenemos TRES escaparates de marijuana operando en el Distrito 11;
- 2) ALTA DENSIDAD DE NIÑOS CERCANOS, que incluye una instalación bien utilizada para niños en edad escolar ubicada en el San Francisco Christian Center;
- 3) FALTA DE ALCANCE APROPIADO A LOS RESIDENTES MONOLINGÜES.

Benjamin Zhai
mh358@hotmail.com
5825 45 Mission St
CA, California 94112

From: [Zhifeng Zhai](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Communities & Neighbors Oppose 5801 Mission Cannabis Retail
Date: Thursday, July 22, 2021 9:30:18 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Ms. Clerk of The Board,

We challenge the approval of 5801 Mission Street based on the following:

- 1) CLUSTERING - already have THREE cannabis storefronts operating in District 11;
- 2) HIGH DENSITY OF CHILDREN NEARBY including a well-used facility for school-age children located at the San Francisco Christian Center;
- 3) LACK OF APPROPRIATE OUTREACH TO MONOLINGUAL RESIDENTS.

我們基於以下理由對 5801 Mission Street 大麻店的批准提出質疑:

- 1) 集群 - 已經在第 11 區經營三個大麻店面;
- 2) 附近有高密度的兒童,包括位於舊金山基督教中心的學齡兒童設施;
- 3) 缺乏對單一語言居民的適當宣傳。

Desafiamos la aprobación de 5801 Mission Street con base en lo siguiente:

- 1) AGRUPACIÓN - ya tenemos TRES escaparates de marijuana operando en el Distrito 11;
- 2) ALTA DENSIDAD DE NIÑOS CERCANOS, que incluye una instalación bien utilizada para niños en edad escolar ubicada en el San Francisco Christian Center;
- 3) FALTA DE ALCANCE APROPIADO A LOS RESIDENTES MONOLINGÜES.

Zhifeng Zhai
mh358@hotmail.com
5825 45 Mission St
CA, California 94112

From: [Hui Meng](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Communities & Neighbors Oppose 5801 Mission Cannabis Retail
Date: Thursday, July 22, 2021 9:18:22 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Ms. Clerk of The Board,

We challenge the approval of 5801 Mission Street based on the following:

- 1) CLUSTERING - already have THREE cannabis storefronts operating in District 11;
- 2) HIGH DENSITY OF CHILDREN NEARBY including a well-used facility for school-age children located at the San Francisco Christian Center;
- 3) LACK OF APPROPRIATE OUTREACH TO MONOLINGUAL RESIDENTS.

我們基於以下理由對 5801 Mission Street 大麻店的批准提出質疑:

- 1) 集群 - 已經在第 11 區經營三個大麻店面;
- 2) 附近有高密度的兒童,包括位於舊金山基督教中心的學齡兒童設施;
- 3) 缺乏對單一語言居民的適當宣傳。

Desafiamos la aprobación de 5801 Mission Street con base en lo siguiente:

- 1) AGRUPACIÓN - ya tenemos TRES escaparates de marijuana operando en el Distrito 11;
- 2) ALTA DENSIDAD DE NIÑOS CERCANOS, que incluye una instalación bien utilizada para niños en edad escolar ubicada en el San Francisco Christian Center;
- 3) FALTA DE ALCANCE APROPIADO A LOS RESIDENTES MONOLINGÜES.

Hui Meng
hui.meng3588@gmail.com
5825-45 Mission St
CA, Texas 94113

From: [Chia Tang](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Communities & Neighbors Oppose 5801 Mission Cannabis Retail
Date: Thursday, July 22, 2021 9:11:39 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Ms. Clerk of The Board,

We challenge the approval of 5801 Mission Street based on the following:

- 1) CLUSTERING - already have THREE cannabis storefronts operating in District 11;
- 2) HIGH DENSITY OF CHILDREN NEARBY including a well-used facility for school-age children located at the San Francisco Christian Center;
- 3) LACK OF APPROPRIATE OUTREACH TO MONOLINGUAL RESIDENTS.

我們基於以下理由對 5801 Mission Street 大麻店的批准提出質疑:

- 1) 集群 - 已經在第 11 區經營三個大麻店面;
- 2) 附近有高密度的兒童,包括位於舊金山基督教中心的學齡兒童設施;
- 3) 缺乏對單一語言居民的適當宣傳。

Desafiamos la aprobación de 5801 Mission Street con base en lo siguiente:

- 1) AGRUPACIÓN - ya tenemos TRES escaparates de marijuana operando en el Distrito 11;
- 2) ALTA DENSIDAD DE NIÑOS CERCANOS, que incluye una instalación bien utilizada para niños en edad escolar ubicada en el San Francisco Christian Center;
- 3) FALTA DE ALCANCE APROPIADO A LOS RESIDENTES MONOLINGÜES.

Chia Tang

lindactang@gmail.com

5825 - 45 Mission Street

San Francisco , California 94112

From: [Emily Liu](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Communities & Neighbors Oppose 5801 Mission Cannabis Retail
Date: Friday, July 23, 2021 7:12:25 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Ms. Clerk of The Board,

We challenge the approval of 5801 Mission Street based on the following:

- 1) CLUSTERING - already have THREE cannabis storefronts operating in District 11;
- 2) HIGH DENSITY OF CHILDREN NEARBY including a well-used facility for school-age children located at the San Francisco Christian Center;
- 3) LACK OF APPROPRIATE OUTREACH TO MONOLINGUAL RESIDENTS.

我們基於以下理由對 5801 Mission Street 大麻店的批准提出質疑:

- 1) 集群 - 已經在第 11 區經營三個大麻店面;
- 2) 附近有高密度的兒童,包括位於舊金山基督教中心的學齡兒童設施;
- 3) 缺乏對單一語言居民的適當宣傳。

Desafiamos la aprobación de 5801 Mission Street con base en lo siguiente:

- 1) AGRUPACIÓN - ya tenemos TRES escaparates de marijuana operando en el Distrito 11;
- 2) ALTA DENSIDAD DE NIÑOS CERCANOS, que incluye una instalación bien utilizada para niños en edad escolar ubicada en el San Francisco Christian Center;
- 3) FALTA DE ALCANCE APROPIADO A LOS RESIDENTES MONOLINGÜES.

Emily Liu
hong06@live.cn
600 Broadway street
San Francisco , California 94133

From: [Chunyi He](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Communities & Neighbors Oppose 5801 Mission Cannabis Retail
Date: Friday, July 23, 2021 7:10:10 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Ms. Clerk of The Board,

We challenge the approval of 5801 Mission Street based on the following:

- 1) CLUSTERING - already have THREE cannabis storefronts operating in District 11;
- 2) HIGH DENSITY OF CHILDREN NEARBY including a well-used facility for school-age children located at the San Francisco Christian Center;
- 3) LACK OF APPROPRIATE OUTREACH TO MONOLINGUAL RESIDENTS.

我們基於以下理由對 5801 Mission Street 大麻店的批准提出質疑:

- 1) 集群 - 已經在第 11 區經營三個大麻店面;
- 2) 附近有高密度的兒童,包括位於舊金山基督教中心的學齡兒童設施;
- 3) 缺乏對單一語言居民的適當宣傳。

Desafiamos la aprobación de 5801 Mission Street con base en lo siguiente:

- 1) AGRUPACIÓN - ya tenemos TRES escaparates de marijuana operando en el Distrito 11;
- 2) ALTA DENSIDAD DE NIÑOS CERCANOS, que incluye una instalación bien utilizada para niños en edad escolar ubicada en el San Francisco Christian Center;
- 3) FALTA DE ALCANCE APROPIADO A LOS RESIDENTES MONOLINGÜES.

Chunyi He
chunyihe1975@yahoo.com
2350 Alemany Blvd
San Francisco , Ca 94112

From: [Run Lu](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Communities & Neighbors Oppose 5801 Mission Cannabis Retail
Date: Friday, July 23, 2021 7:02:25 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Ms. Clerk of The Board,

We challenge the approval of 5801 Mission Street based on the following:

- 1) CLUSTERING - already have THREE cannabis storefronts operating in District 11;
- 2) HIGH DENSITY OF CHILDREN NEARBY including a well-used facility for school-age children located at the San Francisco Christian Center;
- 3) LACK OF APPROPRIATE OUTREACH TO MONOLINGUAL RESIDENTS.

我們基於以下理由對 5801 Mission Street 大麻店的批准提出質疑:

- 1) 集群 - 已經在第 11 區經營三個大麻店面;
- 2) 附近有高密度的兒童,包括位於舊金山基督教中心的學齡兒童設施;
- 3) 缺乏對單一語言居民的適當宣傳。

Desafiamos la aprobación de 5801 Mission Street con base en lo siguiente:

- 1) AGRUPACIÓN - ya tenemos TRES escaparates de marijuana operando en el Distrito 11;
- 2) ALTA DENSIDAD DE NIÑOS CERCANOS, que incluye una instalación bien utilizada para niños en edad escolar ubicada en el San Francisco Christian Center;
- 3) FALTA DE ALCANCE APROPIADO A LOS RESIDENTES MONOLINGÜES.

Run Lu
grace4126@gmail.com
35 Wanda Street
San Francisco , California 94112

From: [ZhenWei Liao](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Communities & Neighbors Oppose 5801 Mission Cannabis Retail
Date: Friday, July 23, 2021 6:55:54 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Ms. Clerk of The Board,

We challenge the approval of 5801 Mission Street based on the following:

- 1) CLUSTERING - already have THREE cannabis storefronts operating in District 11;
- 2) HIGH DENSITY OF CHILDREN NEARBY including a well-used facility for school-age children located at the San Francisco Christian Center;
- 3) LACK OF APPROPRIATE OUTREACH TO MONOLINGUAL RESIDENTS.

我們基於以下理由對 5801 Mission Street 大麻店的批准提出質疑:

- 1) 集群 - 已經在第 11 區經營三個大麻店面;
- 2) 附近有高密度的兒童,包括位於舊金山基督教中心的學齡兒童設施;
- 3) 缺乏對單一語言居民的適當宣傳。

Desafiamos la aprobación de 5801 Mission Street con base en lo siguiente:

- 1) AGRUPACIÓN - ya tenemos TRES escaparates de marijuana operando en el Distrito 11;
- 2) ALTA DENSIDAD DE NIÑOS CERCANOS, que incluye una instalación bien utilizada para niños en edad escolar ubicada en el San Francisco Christian Center;
- 3) FALTA DE ALCANCE APROPIADO A LOS RESIDENTES MONOLINGÜES.

ZhenWei Liao
weiliao8579@gmail.com
1220 La Playa St
San Francisco, California 94122

From: [CuiYing Huang](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Communities & Neighbors Oppose 5801 Mission Cannabis Retail
Date: Friday, July 23, 2021 6:53:22 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Ms. Clerk of The Board,

We challenge the approval of 5801 Mission Street based on the following:

- 1) CLUSTERING - already have THREE cannabis storefronts operating in District 11;
- 2) HIGH DENSITY OF CHILDREN NEARBY including a well-used facility for school-age children located at the San Francisco Christian Center;
- 3) LACK OF APPROPRIATE OUTREACH TO MONOLINGUAL RESIDENTS.

我們基於以下理由對 5801 Mission Street 大麻店的批准提出質疑:

- 1) 集群 - 已經在第 11 區經營三個大麻店面;
- 2) 附近有高密度的兒童,包括位於舊金山基督教中心的學齡兒童設施;
- 3) 缺乏對單一語言居民的適當宣傳。

Desafiamos la aprobación de 5801 Mission Street con base en lo siguiente:

- 1) AGRUPACIÓN - ya tenemos TRES escaparates de marijuana operando en el Distrito 11;
- 2) ALTA DENSIDAD DE NIÑOS CERCANOS, que incluye una instalación bien utilizada para niños en edad escolar ubicada en el San Francisco Christian Center;
- 3) FALTA DE ALCANCE APROPIADO A LOS RESIDENTES MONOLINGÜES.

CuiYing Huang
melon021011@yahoo.com
4 Farallones St
San Francisco , California 94112

From: [Angela Wu](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Communities & Neighbors Oppose 5801 Mission Cannabis Retail
Date: Friday, July 23, 2021 5:18:52 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Ms. Clerk of The Board,

We challenge the approval of 5801 Mission Street based on the following:

- 1) CLUSTERING - already have THREE cannabis storefronts operating in District 11;
- 2) HIGH DENSITY OF CHILDREN NEARBY including a well-used facility for school-age children located at the San Francisco Christian Center;
- 3) LACK OF APPROPRIATE OUTREACH TO MONOLINGUAL RESIDENTS.

我們基於以下理由對 5801 Mission Street 大麻店的批准提出質疑:

- 1) 集群 - 已經在第 11 區經營三個大麻店面;
- 2) 附近有高密度的兒童,包括位於舊金山基督教中心的學齡兒童設施;
- 3) 缺乏對單一語言居民的適當宣傳。

Desafiamos la aprobación de 5801 Mission Street con base en lo siguiente:

- 1) AGRUPACIÓN - ya tenemos TRES escaparates de marijuana operando en el Distrito 11;
- 2) ALTA DENSIDAD DE NIÑOS CERCANOS, que incluye una instalación bien utilizada para niños en edad escolar ubicada en el San Francisco Christian Center;
- 3) FALTA DE ALCANCE APROPIADO A LOS RESIDENTES MONOLINGÜES.

Angela Wu
angelawu360@gmail.com
Mission Street
San Francisco , California 94112

From: [Tina Hsieh](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Communities & Neighbors Oppose 5801 Mission Cannabis Retail
Date: Friday, July 23, 2021 4:52:57 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Ms. Clerk of The Board,

We challenge the approval of 5801 Mission Street based on the following:

- 1) CLUSTERING - already have THREE cannabis storefronts operating in District 11;
- 2) HIGH DENSITY OF CHILDREN NEARBY including a well-used facility for school-age children located at the San Francisco Christian Center;
- 3) LACK OF APPROPRIATE OUTREACH TO MONOLINGUAL RESIDENTS.

我們基於以下理由對 5801 Mission Street 大麻店的批准提出質疑:

- 1) 集群 - 已經在第 11 區經營三個大麻店面;
- 2) 附近有高密度的兒童,包括位於舊金山基督教中心的學齡兒童設施;
- 3) 缺乏對單一語言居民的適當宣傳。

Desafiamos la aprobación de 5801 Mission Street con base en lo siguiente:

- 1) AGRUPACIÓN - ya tenemos TRES escaparates de marijuana operando en el Distrito 11;
- 2) ALTA DENSIDAD DE NIÑOS CERCANOS, que incluye una instalación bien utilizada para niños en edad escolar ubicada en el San Francisco Christian Center;
- 3) FALTA DE ALCANCE APROPIADO A LOS RESIDENTES MONOLINGÜES.

Tina Hsieh
thsieh1131@gmail.com
5825-45 Mission St.
SF, California 94112

From: [Wanxiao Chen](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Communities & Neighbors Oppose 5801 Mission Cannabis Retail
Date: Friday, July 23, 2021 4:45:39 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Ms. Clerk of The Board,

We challenge the approval of 5801 Mission Street based on the following:

- 1) CLUSTERING - already have THREE cannabis storefronts operating in District 11;
- 2) HIGH DENSITY OF CHILDREN NEARBY including a well-used facility for school-age children located at the San Francisco Christian Center;
- 3) LACK OF APPROPRIATE OUTREACH TO MONOLINGUAL RESIDENTS.

我們基於以下理由對 5801 Mission Street 大麻店的批准提出質疑:

- 1) 集群 - 已經在第 11 區經營三個大麻店面;
- 2) 附近有高密度的兒童,包括位於舊金山基督教中心的學齡兒童設施;
- 3) 缺乏對單一語言居民的適當宣傳。

Desafiamos la aprobación de 5801 Mission Street con base en lo siguiente:

- 1) AGRUPACIÓN - ya tenemos TRES escaparates de marijuana operando en el Distrito 11;
- 2) ALTA DENSIDAD DE NIÑOS CERCANOS, que incluye una instalación bien utilizada para niños en edad escolar ubicada en el San Francisco Christian Center;
- 3) FALTA DE ALCANCE APROPIADO A LOS RESIDENTES MONOLINGÜES.

Wanxiao Chen
wanxiaochen123@hotmail.com
731Clay street
San Francisco , California 94108

From: [Kelly Chen](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Communities & Neighbors Oppose 5801 Mission Cannabis Retail
Date: Friday, July 23, 2021 4:09:11 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Ms. Clerk of The Board,

We challenge the approval of 5801 Mission Street based on the following:

- 1) CLUSTERING - already have THREE cannabis storefronts operating in District 11;
- 2) HIGH DENSITY OF CHILDREN NEARBY including a well-used facility for school-age children located at the San Francisco Christian Center;
- 3) LACK OF APPROPRIATE OUTREACH TO MONOLINGUAL RESIDENTS.

我們基於以下理由對 5801 Mission Street 大麻店的批准提出質疑:

- 1) 集群 - 已經在第 11 區經營三個大麻店面;
- 2) 附近有高密度的兒童,包括位於舊金山基督教中心的學齡兒童設施;
- 3) 缺乏對單一語言居民的適當宣傳。

Desafiamos la aprobación de 5801 Mission Street con base en lo siguiente:

- 1) AGRUPACIÓN - ya tenemos TRES escaparates de marijuana operando en el Distrito 11;
- 2) ALTA DENSIDAD DE NIÑOS CERCANOS, que incluye una instalación bien utilizada para niños en edad escolar ubicada en el San Francisco Christian Center;
- 3) FALTA DE ALCANCE APROPIADO A LOS RESIDENTES MONOLINGÜES.

Kelly Chen

cyfwjh@yahoo.com

4451 Mission st

San Francisco , California 94112

From: [Karen Jiang](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Communities & Neighbors Oppose 5801 Mission Cannabis Retail
Date: Friday, July 23, 2021 3:58:09 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Ms. Clerk of The Board,

We challenge the approval of 5801 Mission Street based on the following:

- 1) CLUSTERING - already have THREE cannabis storefronts operating in District 11;
- 2) HIGH DENSITY OF CHILDREN NEARBY including a well-used facility for school-age children located at the San Francisco Christian Center;
- 3) LACK OF APPROPRIATE OUTREACH TO MONOLINGUAL RESIDENTS.

我們基於以下理由對 5801 Mission Street 大麻店的批准提出質疑:

- 1) 集群 - 已經在第 11 區經營三個大麻店面;
- 2) 附近有高密度的兒童,包括位於舊金山基督教中心的學齡兒童設施;
- 3) 缺乏對單一語言居民的適當宣傳。

Desafiamos la aprobación de 5801 Mission Street con base en lo siguiente:

- 1) AGRUPACIÓN - ya tenemos TRES escaparates de marijuana operando en el Distrito 11;
- 2) ALTA DENSIDAD DE NIÑOS CERCANOS, que incluye una instalación bien utilizada para niños en edad escolar ubicada en el San Francisco Christian Center;
- 3) FALTA DE ALCANCE APROPIADO A LOS RESIDENTES MONOLINGÜES.

Karen Jiang
wenjiang0918@msn.com
125 Talbert St
San Francisco, California 94134

From: [Phoebe Kuong](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Communities & Neighbors Oppose 5801 Mission Cannabis Retail
Date: Friday, July 23, 2021 3:50:53 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Ms. Clerk of The Board,

We challenge the approval of 5801 Mission Street based on the following:

- 1) CLUSTERING - already have THREE cannabis storefronts operating in District 11;
- 2) HIGH DENSITY OF CHILDREN NEARBY including a well-used facility for school-age children located at the San Francisco Christian Center;
- 3) LACK OF APPROPRIATE OUTREACH TO MONOLINGUAL RESIDENTS.

我們基於以下理由對 5801 Mission Street 大麻店的批准提出質疑:

- 1) 集群 - 已經在第 11 區經營三個大麻店面;
- 2) 附近有高密度的兒童,包括位於舊金山基督教中心的學齡兒童設施;
- 3) 缺乏對單一語言居民的適當宣傳。

Desafiamos la aprobación de 5801 Mission Street con base en lo siguiente:

- 1) AGRUPACIÓN - ya tenemos TRES escaparates de marijuana operando en el Distrito 11;
- 2) ALTA DENSIDAD DE NIÑOS CERCANOS, que incluye una instalación bien utilizada para niños en edad escolar ubicada en el San Francisco Christian Center;
- 3) FALTA DE ALCANCE APROPIADO A LOS RESIDENTES MONOLINGÜES.

Phoebe Kuong
kuong1628@gmail.com
1592 28th Ave
San Francisco , California 94122

From: [Lihua Deng](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Communities & Neighbors Oppose 5801 Mission Cannabis Retail
Date: Friday, July 23, 2021 3:39:39 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Ms. Clerk of The Board,

We challenge the approval of 5801 Mission Street based on the following:

- 1) CLUSTERING - already have THREE cannabis storefronts operating in District 11;
- 2) HIGH DENSITY OF CHILDREN NEARBY including a well-used facility for school-age children located at the San Francisco Christian Center;
- 3) LACK OF APPROPRIATE OUTREACH TO MONOLINGUAL RESIDENTS.

我們基於以下理由對 5801 Mission Street 大麻店的批准提出質疑:

- 1) 集群 - 已經在第 11 區經營三個大麻店面;
- 2) 附近有高密度的兒童,包括位於舊金山基督教中心的學齡兒童設施;
- 3) 缺乏對單一語言居民的適當宣傳。

Desafiamos la aprobación de 5801 Mission Street con base en lo siguiente:

- 1) AGRUPACIÓN - ya tenemos TRES escaparates de marijuana operando en el Distrito 11;
- 2) ALTA DENSIDAD DE NIÑOS CERCANOS, que incluye una instalación bien utilizada para niños en edad escolar ubicada en el San Francisco Christian Center;
- 3) FALTA DE ALCANCE APROPIADO A LOS RESIDENTES MONOLINGÜES.

Lihua Deng
samhd12hd@gmail.com
3551 E 100 th Ct
Thornton , Colorado 80229

From: [Linling He](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Communities & Neighbors Oppose 5801 Mission Cannabis Retail
Date: Friday, July 23, 2021 2:20:20 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Ms. Clerk of The Board,

We challenge the approval of 5801 Mission Street based on the following:

- 1) CLUSTERING - already have THREE cannabis storefronts operating in District 11;
- 2) HIGH DENSITY OF CHILDREN NEARBY including a well-used facility for school-age children located at the San Francisco Christian Center;
- 3) LACK OF APPROPRIATE OUTREACH TO MONOLINGUAL RESIDENTS.

我們基於以下理由對 5801 Mission Street 大麻店的批准提出質疑:

- 1) 集群 - 已經在第 11 區經營三個大麻店面;
- 2) 附近有高密度的兒童,包括位於舊金山基督教中心的學齡兒童設施;
- 3) 缺乏對單一語言居民的適當宣傳。

Desafiamos la aprobación de 5801 Mission Street con base en lo siguiente:

- 1) AGRUPACIÓN - ya tenemos TRES escaparates de marijuana operando en el Distrito 11;
- 2) ALTA DENSIDAD DE NIÑOS CERCANOS, que incluye una instalación bien utilizada para niños en edad escolar ubicada en el San Francisco Christian Center;
- 3) FALTA DE ALCANCE APROPIADO A LOS RESIDENTES MONOLINGÜES.

Linling He

ling@tomsmrtalinc.com

6825-45 Mission Street

San Francisco, California 94112

From: [Frank Chang](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Communities & Neighbors Oppose 5801 Mission Cannabis Retail
Date: Friday, July 23, 2021 2:15:27 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Ms. Clerk of The Board,

We challenge the approval of 5801 Mission Street based on the following:

- 1) CLUSTERING - already have THREE cannabis storefronts operating in District 11;
- 2) HIGH DENSITY OF CHILDREN NEARBY including a well-used facility for school-age children located at the San Francisco Christian Center;
- 3) LACK OF APPROPRIATE OUTREACH TO MONOLINGUAL RESIDENTS.

我們基於以下理由對 5801 Mission Street 大麻店的批准提出質疑:

- 1) 集群 - 已經在第 11 區經營三個大麻店面;
- 2) 附近有高密度的兒童,包括位於舊金山基督教中心的學齡兒童設施;
- 3) 缺乏對單一語言居民的適當宣傳。

Desafiamos la aprobación de 5801 Mission Street con base en lo siguiente:

- 1) AGRUPACIÓN - ya tenemos TRES escaparates de marijuana operando en el Distrito 11;
- 2) ALTA DENSIDAD DE NIÑOS CERCANOS, que incluye una instalación bien utilizada para niños en edad escolar ubicada en el San Francisco Christian Center;
- 3) FALTA DE ALCANCE APROPIADO A LOS RESIDENTES MONOLINGÜES.

Frank Chang
frank3c@gmail.com
53 Concord St
San Francisco, California 94112

From: [Tom Chang](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Communities & Neighbors Oppose 5801 Mission Cannabis Retail
Date: Friday, July 23, 2021 2:12:29 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Ms. Clerk of The Board,

We challenge the approval of 5801 Mission Street based on the following:

- 1) CLUSTERING - already have THREE cannabis storefronts operating in District 11;
- 2) HIGH DENSITY OF CHILDREN NEARBY including a well-used facility for school-age children located at the San Francisco Christian Center;
- 3) LACK OF APPROPRIATE OUTREACH TO MONOLINGUAL RESIDENTS.

我們基於以下理由對 5801 Mission Street 大麻店的批准提出質疑:

- 1) 集群 - 已經在第 11 區經營三個大麻店面;
- 2) 附近有高密度的兒童,包括位於舊金山基督教中心的學齡兒童設施;
- 3) 缺乏對單一語言居民的適當宣傳。

Desafiamos la aprobación de 5801 Mission Street con base en lo siguiente:

- 1) AGRUPACIÓN - ya tenemos TRES escaparates de marijuana operando en el Distrito 11;
- 2) ALTA DENSIDAD DE NIÑOS CERCANOS, que incluye una instalación bien utilizada para niños en edad escolar ubicada en el San Francisco Christian Center;
- 3) FALTA DE ALCANCE APROPIADO A LOS RESIDENTES MONOLINGÜES.

Tom Chang
csy20142304@gmail.com
53 Concord St.
San Francisco, California 94112

From: [Teresa Chang](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Communities & Neighbors Oppose 5801 Mission Cannabis Retail
Date: Friday, July 23, 2021 2:05:11 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Ms. Clerk of The Board,

We challenge the approval of 5801 Mission Street based on the following:

- 1) CLUSTERING - already have THREE cannabis storefronts operating in District 11;
- 2) HIGH DENSITY OF CHILDREN NEARBY including a well-used facility for school-age children located at the San Francisco Christian Center;
- 3) LACK OF APPROPRIATE OUTREACH TO MONOLINGUAL RESIDENTS.

我們基於以下理由對 5801 Mission Street 大麻店的批准提出質疑:

- 1) 集群 - 已經在第 11 區經營三個大麻店面;
- 2) 附近有高密度的兒童,包括位於舊金山基督教中心的學齡兒童設施;
- 3) 缺乏對單一語言居民的適當宣傳。

Desafiamos la aprobación de 5801 Mission Street con base en lo siguiente:

- 1) AGRUPACIÓN - ya tenemos TRES escaparates de marijuana operando en el Distrito 11;
- 2) ALTA DENSIDAD DE NIÑOS CERCANOS, que incluye una instalación bien utilizada para niños en edad escolar ubicada en el San Francisco Christian Center;
- 3) FALTA DE ALCANCE APROPIADO A LOS RESIDENTES MONOLINGÜES.

Teresa Chang
tcjob2006@yahoo.com
53 Concord Streey
San Francisco, California 94112

From: [Thida Aung](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Communities & Neighbors Oppose 5801 Mission Cannabis Retail
Date: Friday, July 23, 2021 1:30:23 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Ms. Clerk of The Board,

We challenge the approval of 5801 Mission Street based on the following:

- 1) CLUSTERING - already have THREE cannabis storefronts operating in District 11;
- 2) HIGH DENSITY OF CHILDREN NEARBY including a well-used facility for school-age children located at the San Francisco Christian Center;
- 3) LACK OF APPROPRIATE OUTREACH TO MONOLINGUAL RESIDENTS.

我們基於以下理由對 5801 Mission Street 大麻店的批准提出質疑:

- 1) 集群 - 已經在第 11 區經營三個大麻店面;
- 2) 附近有高密度的兒童,包括位於舊金山基督教中心的學齡兒童設施;
- 3) 缺乏對單一語言居民的適當宣傳。

Desafiamos la aprobación de 5801 Mission Street con base en lo siguiente:

- 1) AGRUPACIÓN - ya tenemos TRES escaparates de marijuana operando en el Distrito 11;
- 2) ALTA DENSIDAD DE NIÑOS CERCANOS, que incluye una instalación bien utilizada para niños en edad escolar ubicada en el San Francisco Christian Center;
- 3) FALTA DE ALCANCE APROPIADO A LOS RESIDENTES MONOLINGÜES.

Thida Aung
aung_thida@yahoo.com
1461 California street
Ssn francisco , California 94103

From: [Bo Yang](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Communities & Neighbors Oppose 5801 Mission Cannabis Retail
Date: Friday, July 23, 2021 12:59:23 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Ms. Clerk of The Board,

We challenge the approval of 5801 Mission Street based on the following:

- 1) CLUSTERING - already have THREE cannabis storefronts operating in District 11;
- 2) HIGH DENSITY OF CHILDREN NEARBY including a well-used facility for school-age children located at the San Francisco Christian Center;
- 3) LACK OF APPROPRIATE OUTREACH TO MONOLINGUAL RESIDENTS.

我們基於以下理由對 5801 Mission Street 大麻店的批准提出質疑:

- 1) 集群 - 已經在第 11 區經營三個大麻店面;
- 2) 附近有高密度的兒童,包括位於舊金山基督教中心的學齡兒童設施;
- 3) 缺乏對單一語言居民的適當宣傳。

Desafiamos la aprobación de 5801 Mission Street con base en lo siguiente:

- 1) AGRUPACIÓN - ya tenemos TRES escaparates de marijuana operando en el Distrito 11;
- 2) ALTA DENSIDAD DE NIÑOS CERCANOS, que incluye una instalación bien utilizada para niños en edad escolar ubicada en el San Francisco Christian Center;
- 3) FALTA DE ALCANCE APROPIADO A LOS RESIDENTES MONOLINGÜES.

Bo Yang

uyangb01@yahoo.com

5323 mission street, apt 3

San Francisco , California 94112

From: [Elaine Tee](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Communities & Neighbors Oppose 5801 Mission Cannabis Retail
Date: Friday, July 23, 2021 12:58:18 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Ms. Clerk of The Board,

We challenge the approval of 5801 Mission Street based on the following:

- 1) CLUSTERING - already have THREE cannabis storefronts operating in District 11;
- 2) HIGH DENSITY OF CHILDREN NEARBY including a well-used facility for school-age children located at the San Francisco Christian Center;
- 3) LACK OF APPROPRIATE OUTREACH TO MONOLINGUAL RESIDENTS.

我們基於以下理由對 5801 Mission Street 大麻店的批准提出質疑:

- 1) 集群 - 已經在第 11 區經營三個大麻店面;
- 2) 附近有高密度的兒童,包括位於舊金山基督教中心的學齡兒童設施;
- 3) 缺乏對單一語言居民的適當宣傳。

Desafiamos la aprobación de 5801 Mission Street con base en lo siguiente:

- 1) AGRUPACIÓN - ya tenemos TRES escaparates de marijuana operando en el Distrito 11;
- 2) ALTA DENSIDAD DE NIÑOS CERCANOS, que incluye una instalación bien utilizada para niños en edad escolar ubicada en el San Francisco Christian Center;
- 3) FALTA DE ALCANCE APROPIADO A LOS RESIDENTES MONOLINGÜES.

Elaine Tee
ehmimi@yahoo.com
5323 mission street, apt 3
San Francisco , California 94112

From: [Cindy Liu](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Communities & Neighbors Oppose 5801 Mission Cannabis Retail
Date: Friday, July 23, 2021 11:53:55 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Ms. Clerk of The Board,

We challenge the approval of 5801 Mission Street based on the following:

- 1) CLUSTERING - already have THREE cannabis storefronts operating in District 11;
- 2) HIGH DENSITY OF CHILDREN NEARBY including a well-used facility for school-age children located at the San Francisco Christian Center;
- 3) LACK OF APPROPRIATE OUTREACH TO MONOLINGUAL RESIDENTS.

我們基於以下理由對 5801 Mission Street 大麻店的批准提出質疑:

- 1) 集群 - 已經在第 11 區經營三個大麻店面;
- 2) 附近有高密度的兒童,包括位於舊金山基督教中心的學齡兒童設施;
- 3) 缺乏對單一語言居民的適當宣傳。

Desafiamos la aprobación de 5801 Mission Street con base en lo siguiente:

- 1) AGRUPACIÓN - ya tenemos TRES escaparates de marijuana operando en el Distrito 11;
- 2) ALTA DENSIDAD DE NIÑOS CERCANOS, que incluye una instalación bien utilizada para niños en edad escolar ubicada en el San Francisco Christian Center;
- 3) FALTA DE ALCANCE APROPIADO A LOS RESIDENTES MONOLINGÜES.

Cindy Liu
cindyliu94112@yshoo.com
145 Allison St
San Francisco Ca, California 94112

From: [Amy Shih](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Communities & Neighbors Oppose 5801 Mission Cannabis Retail
Date: Friday, July 23, 2021 11:02:46 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Ms. Clerk of The Board,

We challenge the approval of 5801 Mission Street based on the following:

- 1) CLUSTERING - already have THREE cannabis storefronts operating in District 11;
- 2) HIGH DENSITY OF CHILDREN NEARBY including a well-used facility for school-age children located at the San Francisco Christian Center;
- 3) LACK OF APPROPRIATE OUTREACH TO MONOLINGUAL RESIDENTS.

我們基於以下理由對 5801 Mission Street 大麻店的批准提出質疑:

- 1) 集群 - 已經在第 11 區經營三個大麻店面;
- 2) 附近有高密度的兒童,包括位於舊金山基督教中心的學齡兒童設施;
- 3) 缺乏對單一語言居民的適當宣傳。

Desafiamos la aprobación de 5801 Mission Street con base en lo siguiente:

- 1) AGRUPACIÓN - ya tenemos TRES escaparates de marijuana operando en el Distrito 11;
- 2) ALTA DENSIDAD DE NIÑOS CERCANOS, que incluye una instalación bien utilizada para niños en edad escolar ubicada en el San Francisco Christian Center;
- 3) FALTA DE ALCANCE APROPIADO A LOS RESIDENTES MONOLINGÜES.

Amy Shih
gvashih@hotmail.com
27 N Deep Lake Rd
St Paul, Minnesota 55127

From: [Lena Huang](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Communities & Neighbors Oppose 5801 Mission Cannabis Retail
Date: Friday, July 23, 2021 10:08:09 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Ms. Clerk of The Board,

We challenge the approval of 5801 Mission Street based on the following:

- 1) CLUSTERING - already have THREE cannabis storefronts operating in District 11;
- 2) HIGH DENSITY OF CHILDREN NEARBY including a well-used facility for school-age children located at the San Francisco Christian Center;
- 3) LACK OF APPROPRIATE OUTREACH TO MONOLINGUAL RESIDENTS.

我們基於以下理由對 5801 Mission Street 大麻店的批准提出質疑:

- 1) 集群 - 已經在第 11 區經營三個大麻店面;
- 2) 附近有高密度的兒童,包括位於舊金山基督教中心的學齡兒童設施;
- 3) 缺乏對單一語言居民的適當宣傳。

Desafiamos la aprobación de 5801 Mission Street con base en lo siguiente:

- 1) AGRUPACIÓN - ya tenemos TRES escaparates de marijuana operando en el Distrito 11;
- 2) ALTA DENSIDAD DE NIÑOS CERCANOS, que incluye una instalación bien utilizada para niños en edad escolar ubicada en el San Francisco Christian Center;
- 3) FALTA DE ALCANCE APROPIADO A LOS RESIDENTES MONOLINGÜES.

Lena Huang
lenatieu@yahoo.com
16 Navajo Avenue
San Francisco , California 94112

From: [Zhuo Li](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Communities & Neighbors Oppose 5801 Mission Cannabis Retail
Date: Sunday, July 25, 2021 5:14:05 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Ms. Clerk of The Board,

We challenge the approval of 5801 Mission Street based on the following:

- 1) CLUSTERING - already have THREE cannabis storefronts operating in District 11;
- 2) HIGH DENSITY OF CHILDREN NEARBY including a well-used facility for school-age children located at the San Francisco Christian Center;
- 3) LACK OF APPROPRIATE OUTREACH TO MONOLINGUAL RESIDENTS.

我們基於以下理由對 5801 Mission Street 大麻店的批准提出質疑:

- 1) 集群 - 已經在第 11 區經營三個大麻店面;
- 2) 附近有高密度的兒童,包括位於舊金山基督教中心的學齡兒童設施;
- 3) 缺乏對單一語言居民的適當宣傳。

Desafiamos la aprobación de 5801 Mission Street con base en lo siguiente:

- 1) AGRUPACIÓN - ya tenemos TRES escaparates de marijuana operando en el Distrito 11;
- 2) ALTA DENSIDAD DE NIÑOS CERCANOS, que incluye una instalación bien utilizada para niños en edad escolar ubicada en el San Francisco Christian Center;
- 3) FALTA DE ALCANCE APROPIADO A LOS RESIDENTES MONOLINGÜES.

Zhuo Li
zhuor317@gmail.com
80 Oliver st
San Francisco , California 94112

From: [Qie Cai](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Communities & Neighbors Oppose 5801 Mission Cannabis Retail
Date: Sunday, July 25, 2021 5:12:37 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Ms. Clerk of The Board,

We challenge the approval of 5801 Mission Street based on the following:

- 1) CLUSTERING - already have THREE cannabis storefronts operating in District 11;
- 2) HIGH DENSITY OF CHILDREN NEARBY including a well-used facility for school-age children located at the San Francisco Christian Center;
- 3) LACK OF APPROPRIATE OUTREACH TO MONOLINGUAL RESIDENTS.

我們基於以下理由對 5801 Mission Street 大麻店的批准提出質疑:

- 1) 集群 - 已經在第 11 區經營三個大麻店面;
- 2) 附近有高密度的兒童,包括位於舊金山基督教中心的學齡兒童設施;
- 3) 缺乏對單一語言居民的適當宣傳。

Desafiamos la aprobación de 5801 Mission Street con base en lo siguiente:

- 1) AGRUPACIÓN - ya tenemos TRES escaparates de marijuana operando en el Distrito 11;
- 2) ALTA DENSIDAD DE NIÑOS CERCANOS, que incluye una instalación bien utilizada para niños en edad escolar ubicada en el San Francisco Christian Center;
- 3) FALTA DE ALCANCE APROPIADO A LOS RESIDENTES MONOLINGÜES.

Qie Cai
caiqie1954@gmail.com
80 Oliver st
San Francisco , California 94112

From: [Steven Li](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Communities & Neighbors Oppose 5801 Mission Cannabis Retail
Date: Sunday, July 25, 2021 5:11:18 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Ms. Clerk of The Board,

We challenge the approval of 5801 Mission Street based on the following:

- 1) CLUSTERING - already have THREE cannabis storefronts operating in District 11;
- 2) HIGH DENSITY OF CHILDREN NEARBY including a well-used facility for school-age children located at the San Francisco Christian Center;
- 3) LACK OF APPROPRIATE OUTREACH TO MONOLINGUAL RESIDENTS.

我們基於以下理由對 5801 Mission Street 大麻店的批准提出質疑:

- 1) 集群 - 已經在第 11 區經營三個大麻店面;
- 2) 附近有高密度的兒童,包括位於舊金山基督教中心的學齡兒童設施;
- 3) 缺乏對單一語言居民的適當宣傳。

Desafiamos la aprobación de 5801 Mission Street con base en lo siguiente:

- 1) AGRUPACIÓN - ya tenemos TRES escaparates de marijuana operando en el Distrito 11;
- 2) ALTA DENSIDAD DE NIÑOS CERCANOS, que incluye una instalación bien utilizada para niños en edad escolar ubicada en el San Francisco Christian Center;
- 3) FALTA DE ALCANCE APROPIADO A LOS RESIDENTES MONOLINGÜES.

Steven Li
stevenli215@gmail.com
80 Oliver st
San Francisco , California 94112

From: [Wei Quon](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Communities & Neighbors Oppose 5801 Mission Cannabis Retail
Date: Sunday, July 25, 2021 3:00:51 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Ms. Clerk of The Board,

We challenge the approval of 5801 Mission Street based on the following:

- 1) CLUSTERING - already have THREE cannabis storefronts operating in District 11;
- 2) HIGH DENSITY OF CHILDREN NEARBY including a well-used facility for school-age children located at the San Francisco Christian Center;
- 3) LACK OF APPROPRIATE OUTREACH TO MONOLINGUAL RESIDENTS.

我們基於以下理由對 5801 Mission Street 大麻店的批准提出質疑:

- 1) 集群 - 已經在第 11 區經營三個大麻店面;
- 2) 附近有高密度的兒童,包括位於舊金山基督教中心的學齡兒童設施;
- 3) 缺乏對單一語言居民的適當宣傳。

Desafiamos la aprobación de 5801 Mission Street con base en lo siguiente:

- 1) AGRUPACIÓN - ya tenemos TRES escaparates de marijuana operando en el Distrito 11;
- 2) ALTA DENSIDAD DE NIÑOS CERCANOS, que incluye una instalación bien utilizada para niños en edad escolar ubicada en el San Francisco Christian Center;
- 3) FALTA DE ALCANCE APROPIADO A LOS RESIDENTES MONOLINGÜES.

Wei Quon

mabelquon1@gmail.com

68 Curtis st.

San Francisco, California 94112

From: [Linda Tu](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Communities & Neighbors Oppose 5801 Mission Cannabis Retail
Date: Sunday, July 25, 2021 12:54:40 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Ms. Clerk of The Board,

We challenge the approval of 5801 Mission Street based on the following:

- 1) CLUSTERING - already have THREE cannabis storefronts operating in District 11;
- 2) HIGH DENSITY OF CHILDREN NEARBY including a well-used facility for school-age children located at the San Francisco Christian Center;
- 3) LACK OF APPROPRIATE OUTREACH TO MONOLINGUAL RESIDENTS.

我們基於以下理由對 5801 Mission Street 大麻店的批准提出質疑:

- 1) 集群 - 已經在第 11 區經營三個大麻店面;
- 2) 附近有高密度的兒童,包括位於舊金山基督教中心的學齡兒童設施;
- 3) 缺乏對單一語言居民的適當宣傳。

Desafiamos la aprobación de 5801 Mission Street con base en lo siguiente:

- 1) AGRUPACIÓN - ya tenemos TRES escaparates de marijuana operando en el Distrito 11;
- 2) ALTA DENSIDAD DE NIÑOS CERCANOS, que incluye una instalación bien utilizada para niños en edad escolar ubicada en el San Francisco Christian Center;
- 3) FALTA DE ALCANCE APROPIADO A LOS RESIDENTES MONOLINGÜES.

Linda Tu
lindactang@gmail.com
5825 - 45 Mission Street
San Francisco , California 94112

From: [Tim Tan](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Communities & Neighbors Oppose 5801 Mission Cannabis Retail
Date: Saturday, July 24, 2021 5:24:32 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Ms. Clerk of The Board,

We challenge the approval of 5801 Mission Street based on the following:

- 1) CLUSTERING - already have THREE cannabis storefronts operating in District 11;
- 2) HIGH DENSITY OF CHILDREN NEARBY including a well-used facility for school-age children located at the San Francisco Christian Center;
- 3) LACK OF APPROPRIATE OUTREACH TO MONOLINGUAL RESIDENTS.

我們基於以下理由對 5801 Mission Street 大麻店的批准提出質疑:

- 1) 集群 - 已經在第 11 區經營三個大麻店面;
- 2) 附近有高密度的兒童,包括位於舊金山基督教中心的學齡兒童設施;
- 3) 缺乏對單一語言居民的適當宣傳。

Desafiamos la aprobación de 5801 Mission Street con base en lo siguiente:

- 1) AGRUPACIÓN - ya tenemos TRES escaparates de marijuana operando en el Distrito 11;
- 2) ALTA DENSIDAD DE NIÑOS CERCANOS, que incluye una instalación bien utilizada para niños en edad escolar ubicada en el San Francisco Christian Center;
- 3) FALTA DE ALCANCE APROPIADO A LOS RESIDENTES MONOLINGÜES.

Tim Tan
anvtrading@yahoo.com
142 Bemis
Sanfrancisco , Ca 94131

From: [Lorna Wong](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Communities & Neighbors Oppose 5801 Mission Cannabis Retail
Date: Saturday, July 24, 2021 5:20:54 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Ms. Clerk of The Board,

We challenge the approval of 5801 Mission Street based on the following:

- 1) CLUSTERING - already have THREE cannabis storefronts operating in District 11;
- 2) HIGH DENSITY OF CHILDREN NEARBY including a well-used facility for school-age children located at the San Francisco Christian Center;
- 3) LACK OF APPROPRIATE OUTREACH TO MONOLINGUAL RESIDENTS.

我們基於以下理由對 5801 Mission Street 大麻店的批准提出質疑:

- 1) 集群 - 已經在第 11 區經營三個大麻店面;
- 2) 附近有高密度的兒童,包括位於舊金山基督教中心的學齡兒童設施;
- 3) 缺乏對單一語言居民的適當宣傳。

Desafiamos la aprobación de 5801 Mission Street con base en lo siguiente:

- 1) AGRUPACIÓN - ya tenemos TRES escaparates de marijuana operando en el Distrito 11;
- 2) ALTA DENSIDAD DE NIÑOS CERCANOS, que incluye una instalación bien utilizada para niños en edad escolar ubicada en el San Francisco Christian Center;
- 3) FALTA DE ALCANCE APROPIADO A LOS RESIDENTES MONOLINGÜES.

Lorna Wong
xinglorna@hotmail.com
176 addison
Sanfrancisco , California 94131

From: [Rusi Hu](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Communities & Neighbors Oppose 5801 Mission Cannabis Retail
Date: Saturday, July 24, 2021 3:58:22 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Ms. Clerk of The Board,

We challenge the approval of 5801 Mission Street based on the following:

- 1) CLUSTERING - already have THREE cannabis storefronts operating in District 11;
- 2) HIGH DENSITY OF CHILDREN NEARBY including a well-used facility for school-age children located at the San Francisco Christian Center;
- 3) LACK OF APPROPRIATE OUTREACH TO MONOLINGUAL RESIDENTS.

我們基於以下理由對 5801 Mission Street 大麻店的批准提出質疑:

- 1) 集群 - 已經在第 11 區經營三個大麻店面;
- 2) 附近有高密度的兒童,包括位於舊金山基督教中心的學齡兒童設施;
- 3) 缺乏對單一語言居民的適當宣傳。

Desafiamos la aprobación de 5801 Mission Street con base en lo siguiente:

- 1) AGRUPACIÓN - ya tenemos TRES escaparates de marijuana operando en el Distrito 11;
- 2) ALTA DENSIDAD DE NIÑOS CERCANOS, que incluye una instalación bien utilizada para niños en edad escolar ubicada en el San Francisco Christian Center;
- 3) FALTA DE ALCANCE APROPIADO A LOS RESIDENTES MONOLINGÜES.

Rusi Hu
rusihu@icloud.com
474 Rolph st
San Francisco , California 94112

From: [Jerry Liu](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Communities & Neighbors Oppose 5801 Mission Cannabis Retail
Date: Saturday, July 24, 2021 1:41:11 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Ms. Clerk of The Board,

We challenge the approval of 5801 Mission Street based on the following:

- 1) CLUSTERING - already have THREE cannabis storefronts operating in District 11;
- 2) HIGH DENSITY OF CHILDREN NEARBY including a well-used facility for school-age children located at the San Francisco Christian Center;
- 3) LACK OF APPROPRIATE OUTREACH TO MONOLINGUAL RESIDENTS.

我們基於以下理由對 5801 Mission Street 大麻店的批准提出質疑:

- 1) 集群 - 已經在第 11 區經營三個大麻店面;
- 2) 附近有高密度的兒童,包括位於舊金山基督教中心的學齡兒童設施;
- 3) 缺乏對單一語言居民的適當宣傳。

Desafiamos la aprobación de 5801 Mission Street con base en lo siguiente:

- 1) AGRUPACIÓN - ya tenemos TRES escaparates de marijuana operando en el Distrito 11;
- 2) ALTA DENSIDAD DE NIÑOS CERCANOS, que incluye una instalación bien utilizada para niños en edad escolar ubicada en el San Francisco Christian Center;
- 3) FALTA DE ALCANCE APROPIADO A LOS RESIDENTES MONOLINGÜES.

Jerry Liu
jliu@aol.com
746 Brunswick St
San Francisco , California 94112

From: [Chi Chow Wong](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Communities & Neighbors Oppose 5801 Mission Cannabis Retail
Date: Saturday, July 24, 2021 1:39:48 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Ms. Clerk of The Board,

We challenge the approval of 5801 Mission Street based on the following:

- 1) CLUSTERING - already have THREE cannabis storefronts operating in District 11;
- 2) HIGH DENSITY OF CHILDREN NEARBY including a well-used facility for school-age children located at the San Francisco Christian Center;
- 3) LACK OF APPROPRIATE OUTREACH TO MONOLINGUAL RESIDENTS.

我們基於以下理由對 5801 Mission Street 大麻店的批准提出質疑:

- 1) 集群 - 已經在第 11 區經營三個大麻店面;
- 2) 附近有高密度的兒童,包括位於舊金山基督教中心的學齡兒童設施;
- 3) 缺乏對單一語言居民的適當宣傳。

Desafiamos la aprobación de 5801 Mission Street con base en lo siguiente:

- 1) AGRUPACIÓN - ya tenemos TRES escaparates de marijuana operando en el Distrito 11;
- 2) ALTA DENSIDAD DE NIÑOS CERCANOS, que incluye una instalación bien utilizada para niños en edad escolar ubicada en el San Francisco Christian Center;
- 3) FALTA DE ALCANCE APROPIADO A LOS RESIDENTES MONOLINGÜES.

Chi Chow Wong
chichoww@hotmail.com
746 Brunswick St
San Francisco , California 94112

From: [Amy Wong](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Communities & Neighbors Oppose 5801 Mission Cannabis Retail
Date: Saturday, July 24, 2021 1:38:50 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Ms. Clerk of The Board,

We challenge the approval of 5801 Mission Street based on the following:

- 1) CLUSTERING - already have THREE cannabis storefronts operating in District 11;
- 2) HIGH DENSITY OF CHILDREN NEARBY including a well-used facility for school-age children located at the San Francisco Christian Center;
- 3) LACK OF APPROPRIATE OUTREACH TO MONOLINGUAL RESIDENTS.

我們基於以下理由對 5801 Mission Street 大麻店的批准提出質疑:

- 1) 集群 - 已經在第 11 區經營三個大麻店面;
- 2) 附近有高密度的兒童,包括位於舊金山基督教中心的學齡兒童設施;
- 3) 缺乏對單一語言居民的適當宣傳。

Desafiamos la aprobación de 5801 Mission Street con base en lo siguiente:

- 1) AGRUPACIÓN - ya tenemos TRES escaparates de marijuana operando en el Distrito 11;
- 2) ALTA DENSIDAD DE NIÑOS CERCANOS, que incluye una instalación bien utilizada para niños en edad escolar ubicada en el San Francisco Christian Center;
- 3) FALTA DE ALCANCE APROPIADO A LOS RESIDENTES MONOLINGÜES.

Amy Wong

amy_w1210@hotmail.com

746 Brunswick St

San Francisco , California 94112

From: [Oi Ying Lau](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Communities & Neighbors Oppose 5801 Mission Cannabis Retail
Date: Saturday, July 24, 2021 1:36:59 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Ms. Clerk of The Board,

We challenge the approval of 5801 Mission Street based on the following:

- 1) CLUSTERING - already have THREE cannabis storefronts operating in District 11;
- 2) HIGH DENSITY OF CHILDREN NEARBY including a well-used facility for school-age children located at the San Francisco Christian Center;
- 3) LACK OF APPROPRIATE OUTREACH TO MONOLINGUAL RESIDENTS.

我們基於以下理由對 5801 Mission Street 大麻店的批准提出質疑:

- 1) 集群 - 已經在第 11 區經營三個大麻店面;
- 2) 附近有高密度的兒童,包括位於舊金山基督教中心的學齡兒童設施;
- 3) 缺乏對單一語言居民的適當宣傳。

Desafiamos la aprobación de 5801 Mission Street con base en lo siguiente:

- 1) AGRUPACIÓN - ya tenemos TRES escaparates de marijuana operando en el Distrito 11;
- 2) ALTA DENSIDAD DE NIÑOS CERCANOS, que incluye una instalación bien utilizada para niños en edad escolar ubicada en el San Francisco Christian Center;
- 3) FALTA DE ALCANCE APROPIADO A LOS RESIDENTES MONOLINGÜES.

Oi Ying Lau
oioi@gmail.com
746 Brunswick St
San Francisco , California 94112

From: [Yonglan Guan](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Communities & Neighbors Oppose 5801 Mission Cannabis Retail
Date: Saturday, July 24, 2021 1:29:11 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Ms. Clerk of The Board,

We challenge the approval of 5801 Mission Street based on the following:

- 1) CLUSTERING - already have THREE cannabis storefronts operating in District 11;
- 2) HIGH DENSITY OF CHILDREN NEARBY including a well-used facility for school-age children located at the San Francisco Christian Center;
- 3) LACK OF APPROPRIATE OUTREACH TO MONOLINGUAL RESIDENTS.

我們基於以下理由對 5801 Mission Street 大麻店的批准提出質疑:

- 1) 集群 - 已經在第 11 區經營三個大麻店面;
- 2) 附近有高密度的兒童,包括位於舊金山基督教中心的學齡兒童設施;
- 3) 缺乏對單一語言居民的適當宣傳。

Desafiamos la aprobación de 5801 Mission Street con base en lo siguiente:

- 1) AGRUPACIÓN - ya tenemos TRES escaparates de marijuana operando en el Distrito 11;
- 2) ALTA DENSIDAD DE NIÑOS CERCANOS, que incluye una instalación bien utilizada para niños en edad escolar ubicada en el San Francisco Christian Center;
- 3) FALTA DE ALCANCE APROPIADO A LOS RESIDENTES MONOLINGÜES.

Yonglan Guan
Guanyonglan@gmail.com
40 Oliver St
San Francisco, California 94112

From: [Yaobin Xie](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Communities & Neighbors Oppose 5801 Mission Cannabis Retail
Date: Saturday, July 24, 2021 1:28:06 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Ms. Clerk of The Board,

We challenge the approval of 5801 Mission Street based on the following:

- 1) CLUSTERING - already have THREE cannabis storefronts operating in District 11;
- 2) HIGH DENSITY OF CHILDREN NEARBY including a well-used facility for school-age children located at the San Francisco Christian Center;
- 3) LACK OF APPROPRIATE OUTREACH TO MONOLINGUAL RESIDENTS.

我們基於以下理由對 5801 Mission Street 大麻店的批准提出質疑:

- 1) 集群 - 已經在第 11 區經營三個大麻店面;
- 2) 附近有高密度的兒童,包括位於舊金山基督教中心的學齡兒童設施;
- 3) 缺乏對單一語言居民的適當宣傳。

Desafiamos la aprobación de 5801 Mission Street con base en lo siguiente:

- 1) AGRUPACIÓN - ya tenemos TRES escaparates de marijuana operando en el Distrito 11;
- 2) ALTA DENSIDAD DE NIÑOS CERCANOS, que incluye una instalación bien utilizada para niños en edad escolar ubicada en el San Francisco Christian Center;
- 3) FALTA DE ALCANCE APROPIADO A LOS RESIDENTES MONOLINGÜES.

Yaobin Xie
windyswitch@gmail.com
40 Oliver St
San Francisco, California 94112

From: sfwing@yahoo.com
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Communities & Neighbors Oppose 5801 Mission Cannabis Retail
Date: Saturday, July 24, 2021 1:25:53 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Ms. Clerk of The Board,

We challenge the approval of 5801 Mission Street based on the following:

- 1) CLUSTERING - already have THREE cannabis storefronts operating in District 11;
- 2) HIGH DENSITY OF CHILDREN NEARBY including a well-used facility for school-age children located at the San Francisco Christian Center;
- 3) LACK OF APPROPRIATE OUTREACH TO MONOLINGUAL RESIDENTS.

我們基於以下理由對 5801 Mission Street 大麻店的批准提出質疑:

- 1) 集群 - 已經在第 11 區經營三個大麻店面;
- 2) 附近有高密度的兒童,包括位於舊金山基督教中心的學齡兒童設施;
- 3) 缺乏對單一語言居民的適當宣傳。

Desafiamos la aprobación de 5801 Mission Street con base en lo siguiente:

- 1) AGRUPACIÓN - ya tenemos TRES escaparates de marijuana operando en el Distrito 11;
- 2) ALTA DENSIDAD DE NIÑOS CERCANOS, que incluye una instalación bien utilizada para niños en edad escolar ubicada en el San Francisco Christian Center;
- 3) FALTA DE ALCANCE APROPIADO A LOS RESIDENTES MONOLINGÜES.

sfwing@yahoo.com
707 Brunswick St
San Francisco, California 94112

From: [Lishao Mai](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Communities & Neighbors Oppose 5801 Mission Cannabis Retail
Date: Saturday, July 24, 2021 11:47:08 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Ms. Clerk of The Board,

We challenge the approval of 5801 Mission Street based on the following:

- 1) CLUSTERING - already have THREE cannabis storefronts operating in District 11;
- 2) HIGH DENSITY OF CHILDREN NEARBY including a well-used facility for school-age children located at the San Francisco Christian Center;
- 3) LACK OF APPROPRIATE OUTREACH TO MONOLINGUAL RESIDENTS.

我們基於以下理由對 5801 Mission Street 大麻店的批准提出質疑:

- 1) 集群 - 已經在第 11 區經營三個大麻店面;
- 2) 附近有高密度的兒童,包括位於舊金山基督教中心的學齡兒童設施;
- 3) 缺乏對單一語言居民的適當宣傳。

Desafiamos la aprobación de 5801 Mission Street con base en lo siguiente:

- 1) AGRUPACIÓN - ya tenemos TRES escaparates de marijuana operando en el Distrito 11;
- 2) ALTA DENSIDAD DE NIÑOS CERCANOS, que incluye una instalación bien utilizada para niños en edad escolar ubicada en el San Francisco Christian Center;
- 3) FALTA DE ALCANCE APROPIADO A LOS RESIDENTES MONOLINGÜES.

Lishao Mai
sflishao@yahoo.com
707 Brunswick street
San Francisco , California 94112

From: [Ming Wang](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Communities & Neighbors Oppose 5801 Mission Cannabis Retail
Date: Saturday, July 24, 2021 11:05:24 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Ms. Clerk of The Board,

We challenge the approval of 5801 Mission Street based on the following:

- 1) CLUSTERING - already have THREE cannabis storefronts operating in District 11;
- 2) HIGH DENSITY OF CHILDREN NEARBY including a well-used facility for school-age children located at the San Francisco Christian Center;
- 3) LACK OF APPROPRIATE OUTREACH TO MONOLINGUAL RESIDENTS.

我們基於以下理由對 5801 Mission Street 大麻店的批准提出質疑:

- 1) 集群 - 已經在第 11 區經營三個大麻店面;
- 2) 附近有高密度的兒童,包括位於舊金山基督教中心的學齡兒童設施;
- 3) 缺乏對單一語言居民的適當宣傳。

Desafiamos la aprobación de 5801 Mission Street con base en lo siguiente:

- 1) AGRUPACIÓN - ya tenemos TRES escaparates de marijuana operando en el Distrito 11;
- 2) ALTA DENSIDAD DE NIÑOS CERCANOS, que incluye una instalación bien utilizada para niños en edad escolar ubicada en el San Francisco Christian Center;
- 3) FALTA DE ALCANCE APROPIADO A LOS RESIDENTES MONOLINGÜES.

Ming Wang
ppwong44@gmail.com
Ambiance drive
North Potomac , Maryland 20878

From: [Mindy Chiu](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Communities & Neighbors Oppose 5801 Mission Cannabis Retail
Date: Saturday, July 24, 2021 9:35:57 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Ms. Clerk of The Board,

We challenge the approval of 5801 Mission Street based on the following:

- 1) CLUSTERING - already have THREE cannabis storefronts operating in District 11;
- 2) HIGH DENSITY OF CHILDREN NEARBY including a well-used facility for school-age children located at the San Francisco Christian Center;
- 3) LACK OF APPROPRIATE OUTREACH TO MONOLINGUAL RESIDENTS.

我們基於以下理由對 5801 Mission Street 大麻店的批准提出質疑:

- 1) 集群 - 已經在第 11 區經營三個大麻店面;
- 2) 附近有高密度的兒童,包括位於舊金山基督教中心的學齡兒童設施;
- 3) 缺乏對單一語言居民的適當宣傳。

Desafiamos la aprobación de 5801 Mission Street con base en lo siguiente:

- 1) AGRUPACIÓN - ya tenemos TRES escaparates de marijuana operando en el Distrito 11;
- 2) ALTA DENSIDAD DE NIÑOS CERCANOS, que incluye una instalación bien utilizada para niños en edad escolar ubicada en el San Francisco Christian Center;
- 3) FALTA DE ALCANCE APROPIADO A LOS RESIDENTES MONOLINGÜES.

Mindy Chiu
mindychiu@hotmail.com
47 Seminole Ave
San Francisco, California 94112

From: [Paul Zhu](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Communities & Neighbors Oppose 5801 Mission Cannabis Retail
Date: Friday, July 23, 2021 10:33:01 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Ms. Clerk of The Board,

We challenge the approval of 5801 Mission Street based on the following:

- 1) CLUSTERING - already have THREE cannabis storefronts operating in District 11;
- 2) HIGH DENSITY OF CHILDREN NEARBY including a well-used facility for school-age children located at the San Francisco Christian Center;
- 3) LACK OF APPROPRIATE OUTREACH TO MONOLINGUAL RESIDENTS.

我們基於以下理由對 5801 Mission Street 大麻店的批准提出質疑:

- 1) 集群 - 已經在第 11 區經營三個大麻店面;
- 2) 附近有高密度的兒童,包括位於舊金山基督教中心的學齡兒童設施;
- 3) 缺乏對單一語言居民的適當宣傳。

Desafiamos la aprobación de 5801 Mission Street con base en lo siguiente:

- 1) AGRUPACIÓN - ya tenemos TRES escaparates de marijuana operando en el Distrito 11;
- 2) ALTA DENSIDAD DE NIÑOS CERCANOS, que incluye una instalación bien utilizada para niños en edad escolar ubicada en el San Francisco Christian Center;
- 3) FALTA DE ALCANCE APROPIADO A LOS RESIDENTES MONOLINGÜES.

Paul Zhu
paulzhu77@gmail.com
31 Whittier Street
San Francisco , California 94122

From: [Mindy Huang](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Communities & Neighbors Oppose 5801 Mission Cannabis Retail
Date: Friday, July 23, 2021 9:28:17 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Ms. Clerk of The Board,

We challenge the approval of 5801 Mission Street based on the following:

- 1) CLUSTERING - already have THREE cannabis storefronts operating in District 11;
- 2) HIGH DENSITY OF CHILDREN NEARBY including a well-used facility for school-age children located at the San Francisco Christian Center;
- 3) LACK OF APPROPRIATE OUTREACH TO MONOLINGUAL RESIDENTS.

我們基於以下理由對 5801 Mission Street 大麻店的批准提出質疑:

- 1) 集群 - 已經在第 11 區經營三個大麻店面;
- 2) 附近有高密度的兒童,包括位於舊金山基督教中心的學齡兒童設施;
- 3) 缺乏對單一語言居民的適當宣傳。

Desafiamos la aprobación de 5801 Mission Street con base en lo siguiente:

- 1) AGRUPACIÓN - ya tenemos TRES escaparates de marijuana operando en el Distrito 11;
- 2) ALTA DENSIDAD DE NIÑOS CERCANOS, que incluye una instalación bien utilizada para niños en edad escolar ubicada en el San Francisco Christian Center;
- 3) FALTA DE ALCANCE APROPIADO A LOS RESIDENTES MONOLINGÜES.

Mindy Huang
ma182@pacbell.net
212 lobos st
San Francisco , California 94112

From: [Winnie Zhang](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Communities & Neighbors Oppose 5801 Mission Cannabis Retail
Date: Friday, July 23, 2021 9:17:47 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Ms. Clerk of The Board,

We challenge the approval of 5801 Mission Street based on the following:

- 1) CLUSTERING - already have THREE cannabis storefronts operating in District 11;
- 2) HIGH DENSITY OF CHILDREN NEARBY including a well-used facility for school-age children located at the San Francisco Christian Center;
- 3) LACK OF APPROPRIATE OUTREACH TO MONOLINGUAL RESIDENTS.

我們基於以下理由對 5801 Mission Street 大麻店的批准提出質疑:

- 1) 集群 - 已經在第 11 區經營三個大麻店面;
- 2) 附近有高密度的兒童,包括位於舊金山基督教中心的學齡兒童設施;
- 3) 缺乏對單一語言居民的適當宣傳。

Desafiamos la aprobación de 5801 Mission Street con base en lo siguiente:

- 1) AGRUPACIÓN - ya tenemos TRES escaparates de marijuana operando en el Distrito 11;
- 2) ALTA DENSIDAD DE NIÑOS CERCANOS, que incluye una instalación bien utilizada para niños en edad escolar ubicada en el San Francisco Christian Center;
- 3) FALTA DE ALCANCE APROPIADO A LOS RESIDENTES MONOLINGÜES.

Winnie Zhang
lonizhang@yahoo.com
174 Laura St
San Francisco, California 94112

From: [Kwai Ian YungChiu](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Communities & Neighbors Oppose 5801 Mission Cannabis Retail
Date: Friday, July 23, 2021 8:55:55 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Ms. Clerk of The Board,

We challenge the approval of 5801 Mission Street based on the following:

- 1) CLUSTERING - already have THREE cannabis storefronts operating in District 11;
- 2) HIGH DENSITY OF CHILDREN NEARBY including a well-used facility for school-age children located at the San Francisco Christian Center;
- 3) LACK OF APPROPRIATE OUTREACH TO MONOLINGUAL RESIDENTS.

我們基於以下理由對 5801 Mission Street 大麻店的批准提出質疑:

- 1) 集群 - 已經在第 11 區經營三個大麻店面;
- 2) 附近有高密度的兒童,包括位於舊金山基督教中心的學齡兒童設施;
- 3) 缺乏對單一語言居民的適當宣傳。

Desafiamos la aprobación de 5801 Mission Street con base en lo siguiente:

- 1) AGRUPACIÓN - ya tenemos TRES escaparates de marijuana operando en el Distrito 11;
- 2) ALTA DENSIDAD DE NIÑOS CERCANOS, que incluye una instalación bien utilizada para niños en edad escolar ubicada en el San Francisco Christian Center;
- 3) FALTA DE ALCANCE APROPIADO A LOS RESIDENTES MONOLINGÜES.

Kwai Ian YungChiu
ceciliachiu8@yahoo.com
1418 38th Ave
San Francisco , California 94122

From: [Chengjian Ma](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Communities & Neighbors Oppose 5801 Mission Cannabis Retail
Date: Friday, July 23, 2021 7:55:50 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Ms. Clerk of The Board,

We challenge the approval of 5801 Mission Street based on the following:

- 1) CLUSTERING - already have THREE cannabis storefronts operating in District 11;
- 2) HIGH DENSITY OF CHILDREN NEARBY including a well-used facility for school-age children located at the San Francisco Christian Center;
- 3) LACK OF APPROPRIATE OUTREACH TO MONOLINGUAL RESIDENTS.

我們基於以下理由對 5801 Mission Street 大麻店的批准提出質疑:

- 1) 集群 - 已經在第 11 區經營三個大麻店面;
- 2) 附近有高密度的兒童,包括位於舊金山基督教中心的學齡兒童設施;
- 3) 缺乏對單一語言居民的適當宣傳。

Desafiamos la aprobación de 5801 Mission Street con base en lo siguiente:

- 1) AGRUPACIÓN - ya tenemos TRES escaparates de marijuana operando en el Distrito 11;
- 2) ALTA DENSIDAD DE NIÑOS CERCANOS, que incluye una instalación bien utilizada para niños en edad escolar ubicada en el San Francisco Christian Center;
- 3) FALTA DE ALCANCE APROPIADO A LOS RESIDENTES MONOLINGÜES.

Chengjian Ma

Maria.reservice@gmail.com

636 savoy ct

Walnut creek, California 94598

From: [Michelle Siu](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: 5801 Mission Street Cannabis Dispensary
Date: Monday, July 26, 2021 6:04:26 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

I do not agree with the opening of a new cannabis dispensary at 5801 Mission Street. This dispensary poses a possible threat to the well being of families attending San Francisco Christian Center and to the children walking home from Longfellow Elementary and San Francisco Christian School. The dispensary will also create more traffic and further issues with parking that are already present in the neighborhood. The Muni will constantly be blocked by people double parking. Down Mission already exists 2 dispensaries, therefore there is no need for another at 5801 Mission Street.

Michelle Siu

From: [ethansiu2854](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: 5801 Mission Street Cannabis Dispensary
Date: Monday, July 26, 2021 5:51:23 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

I disagree with the opening of a new cannabis dispensary at 5801 Mission Street. Doing so will create potentially dangerous scenarios for families and children attending church. Many children pass by from school and for no reason should they be put at risk. Traffic will generally increase as people double park and such only adding on to the parking issue. There are 2 dispensaries down Mission a few blocks away. The community does not wish for a new dispensary at 5801 Mission Street.

Ethan Siu

From: [chuck siu](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: 5801 Mission Street Cannabis Dispensary
Date: Monday, July 26, 2021 5:44:24 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

I object to the opening of a new cannabis dispensary at 5801 Mission Street. Opening one will only cause problems for the families attending church and the children attending after school programs at the church from school. Car related issues will only stack onto already present issues for the community. Two dispensaries are already present a few blocks down Mission. I hope the board considers the communities position by not allowing a dispensary to open at 5801 Mission Street.

Chuck Wah Siu

From: [Gilbert Lam](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Communities & Neighbors Oppose 5801 Mission Cannabis Retail
Date: Monday, July 26, 2021 4:35:43 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Ms. Clerk of The Board,

We challenge the approval of 5801 Mission Street based on the following:

- 1) CLUSTERING - already have THREE cannabis storefronts operating in District 11;
- 2) HIGH DENSITY OF CHILDREN NEARBY including a well-used facility for school-age children located at the San Francisco Christian Center;
- 3) LACK OF APPROPRIATE OUTREACH TO MONOLINGUAL RESIDENTS.

我們基於以下理由對 5801 Mission Street 大麻店的批准提出質疑:

- 1) 集群 - 已經在第 11 區經營三個大麻店面;
- 2) 附近有高密度的兒童,包括位於舊金山基督教中心的學齡兒童設施;
- 3) 缺乏對單一語言居民的適當宣傳。

Desafiamos la aprobación de 5801 Mission Street con base en lo siguiente:

- 1) AGRUPACIÓN - ya tenemos TRES escaparates de marijuana operando en el Distrito 11;
- 2) ALTA DENSIDAD DE NIÑOS CERCANOS, que incluye una instalación bien utilizada para niños en edad escolar ubicada en el San Francisco Christian Center;
- 3) FALTA DE ALCANCE APROPIADO A LOS RESIDENTES MONOLINGÜES.

Gilbert Lam
lmyauman@126.com
Ney/Congdon
San Francisco , California 94112

From: [Connie Lam](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Communities & Neighbors Oppose 5801 Mission Cannabis Retail
Date: Monday, July 26, 2021 3:43:42 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Ms. Clerk of The Board,

We challenge the approval of 5801 Mission Street based on the following:

- 1) CLUSTERING - already have THREE cannabis storefronts operating in District 11;
- 2) HIGH DENSITY OF CHILDREN NEARBY including a well-used facility for school-age children located at the San Francisco Christian Center;
- 3) LACK OF APPROPRIATE OUTREACH TO MONOLINGUAL RESIDENTS.

我們基於以下理由對 5801 Mission Street 大麻店的批准提出質疑:

- 1) 集群 - 已經在第 11 區經營三個大麻店面;
- 2) 附近有高密度的兒童,包括位於舊金山基督教中心的學齡兒童設施;
- 3) 缺乏對單一語言居民的適當宣傳。

Desafiamos la aprobación de 5801 Mission Street con base en lo siguiente:

- 1) AGRUPACIÓN - ya tenemos TRES escaparates de marijuana operando en el Distrito 11;
- 2) ALTA DENSIDAD DE NIÑOS CERCANOS, que incluye una instalación bien utilizada para niños en edad escolar ubicada en el San Francisco Christian Center;
- 3) FALTA DE ALCANCE APROPIADO A LOS RESIDENTES MONOLINGÜES.

Connie Lam
minngai@gmail.com
Ney/Congdon
San Francisco , California 94112

From: [Daniel Liu](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Communities & Neighbors Oppose 5801 Mission Cannabis Retail
Date: Monday, July 26, 2021 2:00:13 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Ms. Clerk of The Board,

We challenge the approval of 5801 Mission Street based on the following:

- 1) CLUSTERING - already have THREE cannabis storefronts operating in District 11;
- 2) HIGH DENSITY OF CHILDREN NEARBY including a well-used facility for school-age children located at the San Francisco Christian Center;
- 3) LACK OF APPROPRIATE OUTREACH TO MONOLINGUAL RESIDENTS.

我們基於以下理由對 5801 Mission Street 大麻店的批准提出質疑:

- 1) 集群 - 已經在第 11 區經營三個大麻店面;
- 2) 附近有高密度的兒童,包括位於舊金山基督教中心的學齡兒童設施;
- 3) 缺乏對單一語言居民的適當宣傳。

Desafiamos la aprobación de 5801 Mission Street con base en lo siguiente:

- 1) AGRUPACIÓN - ya tenemos TRES escaparates de marijuana operando en el Distrito 11;
- 2) ALTA DENSIDAD DE NIÑOS CERCANOS, que incluye una instalación bien utilizada para niños en edad escolar ubicada en el San Francisco Christian Center;
- 3) FALTA DE ALCANCE APROPIADO A LOS RESIDENTES MONOLINGÜES.

Daniel Liu
daniel1983821@yahoo.com
5820 mission st
San francisco, California 94112

From: [Qifang Yu](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Communities & Neighbors Oppose 5801 Mission Cannabis Retail
Date: Monday, July 26, 2021 1:50:11 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Ms. Clerk of The Board,

We challenge the approval of 5801 Mission Street based on the following:

- 1) CLUSTERING - already have THREE cannabis storefronts operating in District 11;
- 2) HIGH DENSITY OF CHILDREN NEARBY including a well-used facility for school-age children located at the San Francisco Christian Center;
- 3) LACK OF APPROPRIATE OUTREACH TO MONOLINGUAL RESIDENTS.

我們基於以下理由對 5801 Mission Street 大麻店的批准提出質疑:

- 1) 集群 - 已經在第 11 區經營三個大麻店面;
- 2) 附近有高密度的兒童,包括位於舊金山基督教中心的學齡兒童設施;
- 3) 缺乏對單一語言居民的適當宣傳。

Desafiamos la aprobación de 5801 Mission Street con base en lo siguiente:

- 1) AGRUPACIÓN - ya tenemos TRES escaparates de marijuana operando en el Distrito 11;
- 2) ALTA DENSIDAD DE NIÑOS CERCANOS, que incluye una instalación bien utilizada para niños en edad escolar ubicada en el San Francisco Christian Center;
- 3) FALTA DE ALCANCE APROPIADO A LOS RESIDENTES MONOLINGÜES.

Qifang Yu
thompsonjared2019@gmail.com
1666 31st ave
San Francisco, California 94122

From: [XIAOQING YANG](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Communities & Neighbors Oppose 5801 Mission Cannabis Retail
Date: Monday, July 26, 2021 1:46:20 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Ms. Clerk of The Board,

We challenge the approval of 5801 Mission Street based on the following:

- 1) CLUSTERING - already have THREE cannabis storefronts operating in District 11;
- 2) HIGH DENSITY OF CHILDREN NEARBY including a well-used facility for school-age children located at the San Francisco Christian Center;
- 3) LACK OF APPROPRIATE OUTREACH TO MONOLINGUAL RESIDENTS.

我們基於以下理由對 5801 Mission Street 大麻店的批准提出質疑:

- 1) 集群 - 已經在第 11 區經營三個大麻店面;
- 2) 附近有高密度的兒童,包括位於舊金山基督教中心的學齡兒童設施;
- 3) 缺乏對單一語言居民的適當宣傳。

Desafiamos la aprobación de 5801 Mission Street con base en lo siguiente:

- 1) AGRUPACIÓN - ya tenemos TRES escaparates de marijuana operando en el Distrito 11;
- 2) ALTA DENSIDAD DE NIÑOS CERCANOS, que incluye una instalación bien utilizada para niños en edad escolar ubicada en el San Francisco Christian Center;
- 3) FALTA DE ALCANCE APROPIADO A LOS RESIDENTES MONOLINGÜES.

XIAOQING YANG
USAIAYANG@YAHOO.COM
5871 Mission St, #11
San Francisco, California 94112

From: [Fei bi Carl](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Communities & Neighbors Oppose 5801 Mission Cannabis Retail
Date: Monday, July 26, 2021 1:03:17 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Ms. Clerk of The Board,

We challenge the approval of 5801 Mission Street based on the following:

- 1) CLUSTERING - already have THREE cannabis storefronts operating in District 11;
- 2) HIGH DENSITY OF CHILDREN NEARBY including a well-used facility for school-age children located at the San Francisco Christian Center;
- 3) LACK OF APPROPRIATE OUTREACH TO MONOLINGUAL RESIDENTS.

我們基於以下理由對 5801 Mission Street 大麻店的批准提出質疑:

- 1) 集群 - 已經在第 11 區經營三個大麻店面;
- 2) 附近有高密度的兒童,包括位於舊金山基督教中心的學齡兒童設施;
- 3) 缺乏對單一語言居民的適當宣傳。

Desafiamos la aprobación de 5801 Mission Street con base en lo siguiente:

- 1) AGRUPACIÓN - ya tenemos TRES escaparates de marijuana operando en el Distrito 11;
- 2) ALTA DENSIDAD DE NIÑOS CERCANOS, que incluye una instalación bien utilizada para niños en edad escolar ubicada en el San Francisco Christian Center;
- 3) FALTA DE ALCANCE APROPIADO A LOS RESIDENTES MONOLINGÜES.

Fei bi Carl
j39301@gmail.com
5825 Mission st
SF , California 94112 ,Ca

From: [Rong Ti Liu](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Communities & Neighbors Oppose 5801 Mission Cannabis Retail
Date: Monday, July 26, 2021 10:47:21 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Ms. Clerk of The Board,

We challenge the approval of 5801 Mission Street based on the following:

- 1) CLUSTERING - already have THREE cannabis storefronts operating in District 11;
- 2) HIGH DENSITY OF CHILDREN NEARBY including a well-used facility for school-age children located at the San Francisco Christian Center;
- 3) LACK OF APPROPRIATE OUTREACH TO MONOLINGUAL RESIDENTS.

我們基於以下理由對 5801 Mission Street 大麻店的批准提出質疑:

- 1) 集群 - 已經在第 11 區經營三個大麻店面;
- 2) 附近有高密度的兒童,包括位於舊金山基督教中心的學齡兒童設施;
- 3) 缺乏對單一語言居民的適當宣傳。

Desafiamos la aprobación de 5801 Mission Street con base en lo siguiente:

- 1) AGRUPACIÓN - ya tenemos TRES escaparates de marijuana operando en el Distrito 11;
- 2) ALTA DENSIDAD DE NIÑOS CERCANOS, que incluye una instalación bien utilizada para niños en edad escolar ubicada en el San Francisco Christian Center;
- 3) FALTA DE ALCANCE APROPIADO A LOS RESIDENTES MONOLINGÜES.

Rong Ti Liu
rongtliu.1970@gmail.com
728 Cayuga Avenue
San Francisco, California 94112

From: [Dong Mei Yu](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Communities & Neighbors Oppose 5801 Mission Cannabis Retail
Date: Monday, July 26, 2021 10:39:36 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Ms. Clerk of The Board,

We challenge the approval of 5801 Mission Street based on the following:

- 1) CLUSTERING - already have THREE cannabis storefronts operating in District 11;
- 2) HIGH DENSITY OF CHILDREN NEARBY including a well-used facility for school-age children located at the San Francisco Christian Center;
- 3) LACK OF APPROPRIATE OUTREACH TO MONOLINGUAL RESIDENTS.

我們基於以下理由對 5801 Mission Street 大麻店的批准提出質疑:

- 1) 集群 - 已經在第 11 區經營三個大麻店面;
- 2) 附近有高密度的兒童,包括位於舊金山基督教中心的學齡兒童設施;
- 3) 缺乏對單一語言居民的適當宣傳。

Desafiamos la aprobación de 5801 Mission Street con base en lo siguiente:

- 1) AGRUPACIÓN - ya tenemos TRES escaparates de marijuana operando en el Distrito 11;
- 2) ALTA DENSIDAD DE NIÑOS CERCANOS, que incluye una instalación bien utilizada para niños en edad escolar ubicada en el San Francisco Christian Center;
- 3) FALTA DE ALCANCE APROPIADO A LOS RESIDENTES MONOLINGÜES.

Dong Mei Yu
dongmyu.1969@gmail.com
728 Cayuga Avenue
San Francisco, California 94112

From: [Ebony Manion](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Communities & Neighbors Oppose 5801 Mission Cannabis Retail
Date: Monday, July 26, 2021 10:29:57 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Ms. Clerk of The Board,

We challenge the approval of 5801 Mission Street based on the following:

- 1) CLUSTERING - already have THREE cannabis storefronts operating in District 11;
- 2) HIGH DENSITY OF CHILDREN NEARBY including a well-used facility for school-age children located at the San Francisco Christian Center;
- 3) LACK OF APPROPRIATE OUTREACH TO MONOLINGUAL RESIDENTS.

我們基於以下理由對 5801 Mission Street 大麻店的批准提出質疑:

- 1) 集群 - 已經在第 11 區經營三個大麻店面;
- 2) 附近有高密度的兒童,包括位於舊金山基督教中心的學齡兒童設施;
- 3) 缺乏對單一語言居民的適當宣傳。

Desafiamos la aprobación de 5801 Mission Street con base en lo siguiente:

- 1) AGRUPACIÓN - ya tenemos TRES escaparates de marijuana operando en el Distrito 11;
- 2) ALTA DENSIDAD DE NIÑOS CERCANOS, que incluye una instalación bien utilizada para niños en edad escolar ubicada en el San Francisco Christian Center;
- 3) FALTA DE ALCANCE APROPIADO A LOS RESIDENTES MONOLINGÜES.

Ebony Manion
eboma1@yahoo.com
5314 Belvedere Street
Oakland, California 94601

From: [Ivan Wachter](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Communities & Neighbors Oppose 5801 Mission Cannabis Retail
Date: Monday, July 26, 2021 9:14:35 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Ms. Clerk of The Board,

We challenge the approval of 5801 Mission Street based on the following:

- 1) CLUSTERING - already have THREE cannabis storefronts operating in District 11;
- 2) HIGH DENSITY OF CHILDREN NEARBY including a well-used facility for school-age children located at the San Francisco Christian Center;
- 3) LACK OF APPROPRIATE OUTREACH TO MONOLINGUAL RESIDENTS.
- 4) The substantial increase in crime that is well documented by SFPD in the location of the existing cannabis dispensaries located on the 5200 block of Mission St.

我們基於以下理由對 5801 Mission Street 大麻店的批准提出質疑:

- 1) 集群 - 已經在第 11 區經營三個大麻店面;
- 2) 附近有高密度的兒童,包括位於舊金山基督教中心的學齡兒童設施;
- 3) 缺乏對單一語言居民的適當宣傳。

Desafiamos la aprobación de 5801 Mission Street con base en lo siguiente:

- 1) AGRUPACIÓN - ya tenemos TRES escaparates de marijuana operando en el Distrito 11;
- 2) ALTA DENSIDAD DE NIÑOS CERCANOS, que incluye una instalación bien utilizada para niños en edad escolar ubicada en el San Francisco Christian Center;
- 3) FALTA DE ALCANCE APROPIADO A LOS RESIDENTES MONOLINGÜES.

Ivan Wachter

iwachter@sfchristiancenter.org

66 Oliver ST

SAN FRANCISCO, California 94112-4017

From: [Bram Goodwin](#)
To: [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#)
Cc: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Kilgore, Preston \(BOS\)](#); [David Goldman](#); [Snyder, Jen \(BOS\)](#); [Ashsf Almasri](#); [RonenStaff \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please support the opening of 2 Cannabis Dispensaries before the BOS on Tuesday
Date: Monday, July 26, 2021 8:06:37 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dean,

Hope is well with you, your family. Thanks again for all your work in keeping us safe, putting in protections to help the most vulnerable of our SF Citizens.

On Tuesday, before the Board of Supervisors, are two Cannabis oriented appeals from the San Francisco Planning Commission.

I am asking you to vote in favor of both Cannabis Retail Stores opening.

1. 5801 Mission St ... This is the the relocation of Releaf Dispensary, @releafherbal_sf, one of the original Medical Cannabis Dispensaries formerly located at Mission & 9th St .. It's lease was not renewed, due to the death of the owner, the survivors not wanting to renew due to federal banking issues ... They have found a new location, got approval from the SFPC .. A church group has appealed it to you ... Please affirm the SFPC yes vote, by voting for them to open.

2. 5 Leland Ave ... This application dates to prior 2018 Cannabis Legalization ... They finally got a hearing, and as usual there was opposition, again using stigma logic ... The 5 Leland group followed all the rules, is 100% equity, was recommended by staff, but on on a 4-3 vote was turned down ... Please vote to overturn the SFPC, allow them to open.

Both groups are equity applicants, both groups are made of up SF folks who have been in the Cannabis business for awhile, have done numerous good deeds for the citizens of SF. We know both ownership groups well, can vouch for their committment to the community.

Please vote to allow both of these locations to open, as most of the opposition is of the stigma variety ...

If you have any questions, need more information, please contact me.

Thank you,

bram

Bram Goodwin
photographer
Founder, San Francisco Social Club
415.505.3686
twitter: bramgoodwin
linkedin: bramfoto

From: [Nick Ames](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#); [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Fwd: Appeal 5801 Mission Street, BOS File#210801
Date: Sunday, July 25, 2021 11:02:20 PM
Attachments: [1604\(b\)\(2\) Household asset limits for equity applicants - Office of Cannabis.pdf](#)
[Grant Deed on Jan 20, 2018.pdf](#)
[DPH Referral - 205 Frederick St - 2019-014133MIS\[1\].pdf](#)
[Relief Personal Jury Lawsuit.pdf](#)

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

----- Forwarded message -----

From: Nick Ames <nickames415@gmail.com>
Date: Sun, Jul 25, 2021 at 10:55 PM
Subject: Appeal 5801 Mission Street, BOS File#210801
To: <bos.legislation@sfgov.org>, <jocelyn.wong@sfgov.org>, <angela.cavillo@sfgov.org>
Cc: <jumpstreet1983@gmail.com>, <josephine8zhao@gmail.com>, <info@aaece.org>, <fitzpatrick.delia@gmail.com>

Hello, my name is Nick Ames and I live near 5801 Mission Street and I support the appeal of 5801 Mission Street.

I would like to inquire if the equity applicant, Heidi Hanley, has met the asset test requirements for a cannabis equity applicant.

According to Office of Cannabis guidelines, an equity applicant must meet the requirement test requirements (see attached). For example, a household size of 4 cannot have assets above \$319,750.

Through public records, the equity applicant purchased in November 2018, the Ashbury Market at 205 Frederick Street, SF for approximately \$100,000.

In addition, the equity applicant owns a percentage of the industrial property located at 2089 Ingalls Street, SF. According to the grant deed on Jan 20, 2018, 2089 Ingalls LLC (which consisted of managing members: Dale Hanley, Adeleid (Heidi) Hanley, and Sesan LLC) transferred ownership of the commercial condo located 2089 Ingalls, unit 4 at a value of \$985,000 and procured a hard money loan of \$595,000 which would imply an equity of \$390,000 at the time of 2/2/2018.

I am unsure that the equity applicant meets the asset test requirements established by the office of cannabis. I also respectfully request that the proposed cannabis store at 5801 Mission Street be postponed until more due diligence can be conducted to ensure the equity applicant meets the asset requirements.

In addition, the equity applicant claimed to ensure that protocols will be in place to protect the surrounding community near 5801 Mission. Through public records, on April 20, 2016 (420 Party), the equity applicant owned and managed Releaf Herbal (Medical Cannabis dispensary). Due to the equity applicant failure to utilize proper safety loading procedures, an individual leg was severed. Please see attached personal injury complaint and our community needs a more detailed safety loading protocol to ensure this type tragedy will not happen again.

Please add this information to support the appeal of 5801 Mission Street

From: [Yalu Jiao](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Communities & Neighbors Oppose 5801 Mission Cannabis Retail
Date: Sunday, July 25, 2021 10:55:11 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Ms. Clerk of The Board,

We challenge the approval of 5801 Mission Street based on the following:

- 1) CLUSTERING - already have THREE cannabis storefronts operating in District 11;
- 2) HIGH DENSITY OF CHILDREN NEARBY including a well-used facility for school-age children located at the San Francisco Christian Center;
- 3) LACK OF APPROPRIATE OUTREACH TO MONOLINGUAL RESIDENTS.

我們基於以下理由對 5801 Mission Street 大麻店的批准提出質疑:

- 1) 集群 - 已經在第 11 區經營三個大麻店面;
- 2) 附近有高密度的兒童,包括位於舊金山基督教中心的學齡兒童設施;
- 3) 缺乏對單一語言居民的適當宣傳。

Desafiamos la aprobación de 5801 Mission Street con base en lo siguiente:

- 1) AGRUPACIÓN - ya tenemos TRES escaparates de marijuana operando en el Distrito 11;
- 2) ALTA DENSIDAD DE NIÑOS CERCANOS, que incluye una instalación bien utilizada para niños en edad escolar ubicada en el San Francisco Christian Center;
- 3) FALTA DE ALCANCE APROPIADO A LOS RESIDENTES MONOLINGÜES.

Yalu Jiao

hui.meng3588@gmail.com

5825-45 Mission St

CA , California 94112

From: [Xia Wang](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Communities & Neighbors Oppose 5801 Mission Cannabis Retail
Date: Sunday, July 25, 2021 10:48:54 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Ms. Clerk of The Board,

We challenge the approval of 5801 Mission Street based on the following:

- 1) CLUSTERING - already have THREE cannabis storefronts operating in District 11;
- 2) HIGH DENSITY OF CHILDREN NEARBY including a well-used facility for school-age children located at the San Francisco Christian Center;
- 3) LACK OF APPROPRIATE OUTREACH TO MONOLINGUAL RESIDENTS.

我們基於以下理由對 5801 Mission Street 大麻店的批准提出質疑:

- 1) 集群 - 已經在第 11 區經營三個大麻店面;
- 2) 附近有高密度的兒童,包括位於舊金山基督教中心的學齡兒童設施;
- 3) 缺乏對單一語言居民的適當宣傳。

Desafiamos la aprobación de 5801 Mission Street con base en lo siguiente:

- 1) AGRUPACIÓN - ya tenemos TRES escaparates de marijuana operando en el Distrito 11;
- 2) ALTA DENSIDAD DE NIÑOS CERCANOS, que incluye una instalación bien utilizada para niños en edad escolar ubicada en el San Francisco Christian Center;
- 3) FALTA DE ALCANCE APROPIADO A LOS RESIDENTES MONOLINGÜES.

Xia Wang
mh358@hotmail.com
5825-45 Mission St
CA, California 94112

From: [Anita Siu](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: 5801 Mission Street
Date: Sunday, July 25, 2021 10:35:04 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

I do not want the cannabis dispensary on 5801 Mission Street to open. The dispensary would mean more cars in the area and cause traffic. There would be more cars double parking on the streets. There are already 2 dispensaries that are a couple of blocks down from 5801 Mission Street. There are many times where I've driven by there and there would be a small traffic jam. Also, there does not need to be another dispensary when there are already two that are so close by. I am worried that this dispensary might bring unwanted attention to my neighborhood and make it more dangerous. This increase in danger would be an inconvenience to the community and would endanger children. There are always children that pass by that are either walking to and from school. There is also groups of children from San Francisco Christian School that walk by in order to get to the San Francisco Christian Center for afterschool program. This is why I do not want the cannabis dispensary at 5801 Mission Street.

- Anita Siu

From: [winston siu](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: 5801 Mission Street Cannabis Dispensary
Date: Sunday, July 25, 2021 10:23:05 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

I object to the opening of a new cannabis dispensary at 5801 Mission Street. Opening the dispensary would only cause issues such as; creating dangerous situations for families attending church or for the children of Longfellow Elementary and San Francisco Christian School attending after school programs at San Francisco Christian Center, an increase in vehicular activity that would lead to constant traffic, a constant halt to the Muni, already apparent parking difficulties, and yet even more potentially dangerous situations for the community. There exists 2 dispensaries a few blocks down Mission from 5801 Mission Street therefore there truly is no need for another. For these reasons I oppose the opening of a new cannabis dispensary at 5801 Mission Street.

Winston Siu

From: [Nathan Siu](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: 5801 Mission Street - Cannabis Dispensary
Date: Sunday, July 25, 2021 10:01:17 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

I do not agree with the opening of the cannabis dispensary located at 5801 Mission Street. There are already 2 dispensaries that are a couple of blocks down so why would there need to be another one? There is no need for a third one. I know for a fact that children that attend Longfellow Elementary School and San Francisco Christain School would be passing by on their way home, or for afterschool programs at the San Francisco Christian Center. I am worried that this dispensary might create situations that would endanger me, my family, and the community around. The dispensary would also bring in unwanted vehicular traffic. We already struggle to find parking on the streets. We don't need it to be more difficult. Opening this dispensary would be total ignorance to the opinions of the community.

- Nathan Siu

From: [Peter Wong](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Communities & Neighbors Oppose 5801 Mission Cannabis Retail
Date: Sunday, July 25, 2021 7:56:59 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Ms. Clerk of The Board,

We challenge the approval of 5801 Mission Street based on the following:

- 1) CLUSTERING - already have THREE cannabis storefronts operating in District 11;
- 2) HIGH DENSITY OF CHILDREN NEARBY including a well-used facility for school-age children located at the San Francisco Christian Center;
- 3) LACK OF APPROPRIATE OUTREACH TO MONOLINGUAL RESIDENTS.

我們基於以下理由對 5801 Mission Street 大麻店的批准提出質疑:

- 1) 集群 - 已經在第 11 區經營三個大麻店面;
- 2) 附近有高密度的兒童,包括位於舊金山基督教中心的學齡兒童設施;
- 3) 缺乏對單一語言居民的適當宣傳。

Desafiamos la aprobación de 5801 Mission Street con base en lo siguiente:

- 1) AGRUPACIÓN - ya tenemos TRES escaparates de marijuana operando en el Distrito 11;
- 2) ALTA DENSIDAD DE NIÑOS CERCANOS, que incluye una instalación bien utilizada para niños en edad escolar ubicada en el San Francisco Christian Center;
- 3) FALTA DE ALCANCE APROPIADO A LOS RESIDENTES MONOLINGÜES.

Peter Wong
meiwong8812@gmail.com
69 Oliver
San Francisco , California 94112

From: [Yuen Wong](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Communities & Neighbors Oppose 5801 Mission Cannabis Retail
Date: Tuesday, July 27, 2021 8:34:08 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Ms. Clerk of The Board,

We challenge the approval of 5801 Mission Street based on the following:

- 1) CLUSTERING - already have THREE cannabis storefronts operating in District 11;
- 2) HIGH DENSITY OF CHILDREN NEARBY including a well-used facility for school-age children located at the San Francisco Christian Center;
- 3) LACK OF APPROPRIATE OUTREACH TO MONOLINGUAL RESIDENTS.

我們基於以下理由對 5801 Mission Street 大麻店的批准提出質疑:

- 1) 集群 - 已經在第 11 區經營三個大麻店面;
- 2) 附近有高密度的兒童,包括位於舊金山基督教中心的學齡兒童設施;
- 3) 缺乏對單一語言居民的適當宣傳。

Desafiamos la aprobación de 5801 Mission Street con base en lo siguiente:

- 1) AGRUPACIÓN - ya tenemos TRES escaparates de marijuana operando en el Distrito 11;
- 2) ALTA DENSIDAD DE NIÑOS CERCANOS, que incluye una instalación bien utilizada para niños en edad escolar ubicada en el San Francisco Christian Center;
- 3) FALTA DE ALCANCE APROPIADO A LOS RESIDENTES MONOLINGÜES.

Yuen Wong
kevieepig@hotmail.com
150 Felton st
San Francisco, California 94134

From: [Moon Ong](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Communities & Neighbors Oppose 5801 Mission Cannabis Retail
Date: Monday, July 26, 2021 11:24:54 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Ms. Clerk of The Board,

We challenge the approval of 5801 Mission Street based on the following:

- 1) CLUSTERING - already have THREE cannabis storefronts operating in District 11;
- 2) HIGH DENSITY OF CHILDREN NEARBY including a well-used facility for school-age children located at the San Francisco Christian Center;
- 3) LACK OF APPROPRIATE OUTREACH TO MONOLINGUAL RESIDENTS.

我們基於以下理由對 5801 Mission Street 大麻店的批准提出質疑:

- 1) 集群 - 已經在第 11 區經營三個大麻店面;
- 2) 附近有高密度的兒童,包括位於舊金山基督教中心的學齡兒童設施;
- 3) 缺乏對單一語言居民的適當宣傳。

Desafiamos la aprobación de 5801 Mission Street con base en lo siguiente:

- 1) AGRUPACIÓN - ya tenemos TRES escaparates de marijuana operando en el Distrito 11;
- 2) ALTA DENSIDAD DE NIÑOS CERCANOS, que incluye una instalación bien utilizada para niños en edad escolar ubicada en el San Francisco Christian Center;
- 3) FALTA DE ALCANCE APROPIADO A LOS RESIDENTES MONOLINGÜES.

Moon Ong
spllmoon@hotmail.com
350 Friedell st, Apt 509
San Francisco , California 94124

From: [Po Poon](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Communities & Neighbors Oppose 5801 Mission Cannabis Retail
Date: Monday, July 26, 2021 11:21:08 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Ms. Clerk of The Board,

We challenge the approval of 5801 Mission Street based on the following:

- 1) CLUSTERING - already have THREE cannabis storefronts operating in District 11;
- 2) HIGH DENSITY OF CHILDREN NEARBY including a well-used facility for school-age children located at the San Francisco Christian Center;
- 3) LACK OF APPROPRIATE OUTREACH TO MONOLINGUAL RESIDENTS.

我們基於以下理由對 5801 Mission Street 大麻店的批准提出質疑:

- 1) 集群 - 已經在第 11 區經營三個大麻店面;
- 2) 附近有高密度的兒童,包括位於舊金山基督教中心的學齡兒童設施;
- 3) 缺乏對單一語言居民的適當宣傳。

Desafiamos la aprobación de 5801 Mission Street con base en lo siguiente:

- 1) AGRUPACIÓN - ya tenemos TRES escaparates de marijuana operando en el Distrito 11;
- 2) ALTA DENSIDAD DE NIÑOS CERCANOS, que incluye una instalación bien utilizada para niños en edad escolar ubicada en el San Francisco Christian Center;
- 3) FALTA DE ALCANCE APROPIADO A LOS RESIDENTES MONOLINGÜES.

Po Poon
applewong82@hotmail.com
15 Regent Street
San Francisco, California 94112

From: [Kev sen](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Item #64, File No. 210801 - Opposition to 5801 Mission Street Cannabis Store, Parcel No. 64725, Lot No. 001
Date: Monday, July 26, 2021 9:59:10 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

Due to schedule conflict with work, I am not able to attend the Remote Public Hearing/Video Conference scheduled on Tuesday, July 27, 2021 for appealing the opening of the Cannabis Store at 5801 Mission Street. I am writing to express my objections to the proposed opening of this Cannabis Store.

The Group responsible for this Cannabis Store claimed to have completed the necessary Community Outreach. This is false and disingenuous. As everyone knows, last year was the height of the global COVID-19 pandemic. This once in a century pandemic killed thousands of people each day and forced everyone into stay-at-home quarantine, as mandated by the San Francisco COVID-19 Health Orders. This is the time when this Group chooses to do their "Community Outreach". Make no mistake, this is completely intentional and is a strategy that seeks to capitalized on the unfortunate circumstances the world was in to force the opening of this store without the approval of the community. If this Group truly had the intention of working with the community, they would not have started their "Community Outreach" during a global pandemic.

This Group claimed to have sent letters to everyone in the neighborhood about their store but in reality very few people actually received their letters. When we reached out to the neighbors about this issue, most of them were caught completely off-guard because they have not received the notice letters and it was the first time they even heard of this issue.

The Group will argue that they did their "Community Outreach" though zoom meetings during the pandemic. What they will not tell you is that few members of the community had the chance to attend these zoom meetings because the Group failed to deliver written notices to the community. Additionally, many members of this community are elderly and are not tech-savvy enough to set up devices to attend these zoom meetings. Most of these elderly citizens are against the opening of this store and yet their voices are not being heard. Lastly, everyone was preoccupied with surviving this global pandemic to dedicate time for an issue such as this. It should be obvious that any "Community Outreach" under these circumstances is completely disingenuous and grossly insufficient.

I personally attended one of these "Community Outreach" zoom meetings and the meeting was really not about the community's concerns. The Group spent the majority of the meeting advertising their company and product, which really was not the point of the meeting and no one really cared about. When members of the community asked them questions about the impacts of their store on the neighborhood, they side-stepped the questions and did not provide any clear answers. For example, someone expressed concern about the impact of the store being located right next to a church with young children studying at the church. The Group's representative mumbled something about starting some "after school programs". When pressed for more details, they ignored the questions and just went on advertising their store/product again. It was clear that the zoom meeting was a farce and just another "checking the box" to fulfill whatever minimum requirement the Group was legally obligated to do. There were no real intentions of addressing the concerns of the community.

Unfortunately, through deception and taking advantage of others during this global pandemic, this Group has managed to sneak the approval of this Store though the City Departments without the

awareness of the community. The approval for opening this store should be revoked immediately because the Group has not performed sincere Community Outreach as they claimed. They have capitalized on the global pandemic and force their way to opening the store without the consent of the Community. These actions are unconscionable and we urge you to put a stop to this process. Additionally, I must again stress the fact that many members of the community, such as the elderly and non-English speakers, are not adequately represented here because of their inability to attend a virtual meeting and any virtual "Community Outreach" meetings. However, members of the community have gathered signatures as best as they can, which shows they are against the opening of this store.

Real Community Outreach cannot happen during a global pandemic. If the Group intends on opening this store, they need to restart the process after the pandemic has ended so that real Community Outreach can occur, and all members of the Community can voice their opinions on the matter. Please revoke the opening of the Cannabis Store at 5801 Mission Street.

Thank you,

Kev

From: [Jamie Jeanette Barrera](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Communities & Neighbors Oppose 5801 Mission Cannabis Retail
Date: Monday, July 26, 2021 9:52:43 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Ms. Clerk of The Board,

We challenge the approval of 5801 Mission Street based on the following:

- 1) CLUSTERING - already have THREE cannabis storefronts operating in District 11;
- 2) HIGH DENSITY OF CHILDREN NEARBY including a well-used facility for school-age children located at the San Francisco Christian Center; San Francisco Christian School preK-high school; Longfellow elementary school; Lincoln Park
- 3) LACK OF APPROPRIATE OUTREACH TO MONOLINGUAL RESIDENTS.

我們基於以下理由對 5801 Mission Street 大麻店的批准提出質疑:

- 1) 集群 - 已經在第 11 區經營三個大麻店面;
- 2) 附近有高密度的兒童,包括位於舊金山基督教中心的學齡兒童設施;
- 3) 缺乏對單一語言居民的適當宣傳。

Desafiamos la aprobación de 5801 Mission Street con base en lo siguiente:

- 1) AGRUPACIÓN - ya tenemos TRES escaparates de marijuana operando en el Distrito 11;
- 2) ALTA DENSIDAD DE NIÑOS CERCANOS, que incluye una instalación bien utilizada para niños en edad escolar ubicada en el San Francisco Christian Center; San Francisco Christian School preK-high school; Longfellow elementary school; Lincoln Park
- 3) FALTA DE ALCANCE APROPIADO A LOS RESIDENTES MONOLINGÜES.

Jamie Jeanette Barrera
thetinyprincess@yahoo.com
736 Russia Ave
San Francisco , California 94112

From: [Yanying Zhang](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Communities & Neighbors Oppose 5801 Mission Cannabis Retail
Date: Monday, July 26, 2021 9:31:41 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Ms. Clerk of The Board,

We challenge the approval of 5801 Mission Street based on the following:

- 1) CLUSTERING - already have THREE cannabis storefronts operating in District 11;
- 2) HIGH DENSITY OF CHILDREN NEARBY including a well-used facility for school-age children located at the San Francisco Christian Center;
- 3) LACK OF APPROPRIATE OUTREACH TO MONOLINGUAL RESIDENTS.

我們基於以下理由對 5801 Mission Street 大麻店的批准提出質疑:

- 1) 集群 - 已經在第 11 區經營三個大麻店面;
- 2) 附近有高密度的兒童,包括位於舊金山基督教中心的學齡兒童設施;
- 3) 缺乏對單一語言居民的適當宣傳。

Desafiamos la aprobación de 5801 Mission Street con base en lo siguiente:

- 1) AGRUPACIÓN - ya tenemos TRES escaparates de marijuana operando en el Distrito 11;
- 2) ALTA DENSIDAD DE NIÑOS CERCANOS, que incluye una instalación bien utilizada para niños en edad escolar ubicada en el San Francisco Christian Center;
- 3) FALTA DE ALCANCE APROPIADO A LOS RESIDENTES MONOLINGÜES.

Yanying Zhang
f313257087@gmail.com
5870 Mission St.
San Francisco, California 94112

From: [Aida Fortier](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Communities & Neighbors Oppose 5801 Mission Cannabis Retail
Date: Monday, July 26, 2021 8:57:33 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Ms. Clerk of The Board,

We challenge the approval of 5801 Mission Street based on the following:

- 1) CLUSTERING - already have THREE cannabis storefronts operating in District 11;
- 2) HIGH DENSITY OF CHILDREN NEARBY including a well-used facility for school-age children located at the San Francisco Christian Center;
- 3) LACK OF APPROPRIATE OUTREACH TO MONOLINGUAL RESIDENTS.

我們基於以下理由對 5801 Mission Street 大麻店的批准提出質疑:

- 1) 集群 - 已經在第 11 區經營三個大麻店面;
- 2) 附近有高密度的兒童,包括位於舊金山基督教中心的學齡兒童設施;
- 3) 缺乏對單一語言居民的適當宣傳。

Desafiamos la aprobación de 5801 Mission Street con base en lo siguiente:

- 1) AGRUPACIÓN - ya tenemos TRES escaparates de marijuana operando en el Distrito 11;
- 2) ALTA DENSIDAD DE NIÑOS CERCANOS, que incluye una instalación bien utilizada para niños en edad escolar ubicada en el San Francisco Christian Center;
- 3) FALTA DE ALCANCE APROPIADO A LOS RESIDENTES MONOLINGÜES.

Aida Fortier
fortierins@yahoo.com
354 Ralston Street
San Francisco, California 94142

From: [Wei Situ](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Communities & Neighbors Oppose 5801 Mission Cannabis Retail
Date: Monday, July 26, 2021 8:31:11 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Ms. Clerk of The Board,

We challenge the approval of 5801 Mission Street based on the following:

- 1) CLUSTERING - already have THREE cannabis storefronts operating in District 11;
- 2) HIGH DENSITY OF CHILDREN NEARBY including a well-used facility for school-age children located at the San Francisco Christian Center;
- 3) LACK OF APPROPRIATE OUTREACH TO MONOLINGUAL RESIDENTS.

我們基於以下理由對 5801 Mission Street 大麻店的批准提出質疑:

- 1) 集群 - 已經在第 11 區經營三個大麻店面;
- 2) 附近有高密度的兒童,包括位於舊金山基督教中心的學齡兒童設施;
- 3) 缺乏對單一語言居民的適當宣傳。

Desafiamos la aprobación de 5801 Mission Street con base en lo siguiente:

- 1) AGRUPACIÓN - ya tenemos TRES escaparates de marijuana operando en el Distrito 11;
- 2) ALTA DENSIDAD DE NIÑOS CERCANOS, que incluye una instalación bien utilizada para niños en edad escolar ubicada en el San Francisco Christian Center;
- 3) FALTA DE ALCANCE APROPIADO A LOS RESIDENTES MONOLINGÜES.

Wei Situ

kpsgty@gmail.com

5870 Mission St.

San Francisco, California 94112

From: [Yanying Zhang](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Communities & Neighbors Oppose 5801 Mission Cannabis Retail
Date: Monday, July 26, 2021 8:29:18 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Ms. Clerk of The Board,

We challenge the approval of 5801 Mission Street based on the following:

- 1) CLUSTERING - already have THREE cannabis storefronts operating in District 11;
- 2) HIGH DENSITY OF CHILDREN NEARBY including a well-used facility for school-age children located at the San Francisco Christian Center;
- 3) LACK OF APPROPRIATE OUTREACH TO MONOLINGUAL RESIDENTS.

我們基於以下理由對 5801 Mission Street 大麻店的批准提出質疑:

- 1) 集群 - 已經在第 11 區經營三個大麻店面;
- 2) 附近有高密度的兒童,包括位於舊金山基督教中心的學齡兒童設施;
- 3) 缺乏對單一語言居民的適當宣傳。

Desafiamos la aprobación de 5801 Mission Street con base en lo siguiente:

- 1) AGRUPACIÓN - ya tenemos TRES escaparates de marijuana operando en el Distrito 11;
- 2) ALTA DENSIDAD DE NIÑOS CERCANOS, que incluye una instalación bien utilizada para niños en edad escolar ubicada en el San Francisco Christian Center;
- 3) FALTA DE ALCANCE APROPIADO A LOS RESIDENTES MONOLINGÜES.

Yanying Zhang
f313257087@gmail.com
5870 Mission St.
San Francisco, California 94112

From: [Yuhao Situ](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Communities & Neighbors Oppose 5801 Mission Cannabis Retail
Date: Monday, July 26, 2021 8:25:05 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Ms. Clerk of The Board,

We challenge the approval of 5801 Mission Street based on the following:

- 1) CLUSTERING - already have THREE cannabis storefronts operating in District 11;
- 2) HIGH DENSITY OF CHILDREN NEARBY including a well-used facility for school-age children located at the San Francisco Christian Center;
- 3) LACK OF APPROPRIATE OUTREACH TO MONOLINGUAL RESIDENTS.

我們基於以下理由對 5801 Mission Street 大麻店的批准提出質疑:

- 1) 集群 - 已經在第 11 區經營三個大麻店面;
- 2) 附近有高密度的兒童,包括位於舊金山基督教中心的學齡兒童設施;
- 3) 缺乏對單一語言居民的適當宣傳。

Desafiamos la aprobación de 5801 Mission Street con base en lo siguiente:

- 1) AGRUPACIÓN - ya tenemos TRES escaparates de marijuana operando en el Distrito 11;
- 2) ALTA DENSIDAD DE NIÑOS CERCANOS, que incluye una instalación bien utilizada para niños en edad escolar ubicada en el San Francisco Christian Center;
- 3) FALTA DE ALCANCE APROPIADO A LOS RESIDENTES MONOLINGÜES.

Yuhao Situ
yuhaositu@gmail.com
5870 Mission St.
San Francisco, California 94112

From: [Anita Lau](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Communities & Neighbors Oppose 5801 Mission Cannabis Retail
Date: Monday, July 26, 2021 6:44:43 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Ms. Clerk of The Board,

We challenge the approval of 5801 Mission Street based on the following:

- 1) CLUSTERING - already have THREE cannabis storefronts operating in District 11;
- 2) HIGH DENSITY OF CHILDREN NEARBY including a well-used facility for school-age children located at the San Francisco Christian Center;
- 3) LACK OF APPROPRIATE OUTREACH TO MONOLINGUAL RESIDENTS.

我們基於以下理由對 5801 Mission Street 大麻店的批准提出質疑:

- 1) 集群 - 已經在第 11 區經營三個大麻店面;
- 2) 附近有高密度的兒童,包括位於舊金山基督教中心的學齡兒童設施;
- 3) 缺乏對單一語言居民的適當宣傳。

Desafiamos la aprobación de 5801 Mission Street con base en lo siguiente:

- 1) AGRUPACIÓN - ya tenemos TRES escaparates de marijuana operando en el Distrito 11;
- 2) ALTA DENSIDAD DE NIÑOS CERCANOS, que incluye una instalación bien utilizada para niños en edad escolar ubicada en el San Francisco Christian Center;
- 3) FALTA DE ALCANCE APROPIADO A LOS RESIDENTES MONOLINGÜES.

Anita Lau
ahlau399@gmail.com
43 John st
Sf, California 94133

From: [Justin Siu](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: 5801 Mission Street Cannabis Dispensary
Date: Monday, July 26, 2021 6:28:03 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

I oppose to the opening of a cannabis dispensary at 5801 Mission Street for the following reasons:

- Children walk through the neighborhood to and from San Francisco Christian School and Longfellow Elementary School.
- Decrease in available parking spaces around the neighborhood, which is already limited.
- Additional vehicle traffic, causing potential problems for pedestrians.
- Two cannabis dispensaries have already been established a few blocks away.

Justin Siu

RE: Board File 210801 Planning Case No. 2020-007152CUA Appeal of Conditional Use Authorization for 5801 Mission Street

Public Comments for Planned Continuance on July 27, 2021

Dear Supervisors,

IN THE INTEREST OF FAIRNESS AND JUSTICE I URGE YOU TO NOT APPROVE A MOTION TO CONTINUANCE FOR THE REASONS BELOW:


The Appeal is a Ruse

The appeal was made possible because a large land-owner used their combined landmass holdings and got another large land-owner to their stall and sabotage an economic opportunity from an experienced woman, minority-owned cannabis retail store.

The appeal was granted with 37% of the land mass owners within 300 feet signing to the appeal.

Of the 37%, 19.3% of the total represent signatures from properties owned by the Appellant.

37% Land Mass Ownership



Without the church signature gathering, the actual number would be 17.7% signatures and would fall short of an appeal.

The organizations that support this appeal have done nothing to address the commercial vacancy in the area and by their own admission were notified of the neighborhood outreach.

They did not make their concerns known to the Planning Department during the outreach process requesting adequate monligual support and therefore exhausted their opinions/comments to support any appeal.

The Appellant is uninterested in neighborhood collaboration

The Appellant was contacted by the project sponsor in September 2020 before officially filing with the Planning Department. The Appellant never responded.

The Appellant was in attendance for all Neighborhood Outreach Meetings. November 13, 2020 for a Pre-Application meeting. February 12, 2021 and April 20, 2021 for two Good Neighborhood Meetings.

The Appellant was contacted in July 2021 to discuss collaboration for the neighborhood. The Appellant never responded.

Support Facts over Theory-Opinion

The Planning Commission approved the project 4-2 on June 10th 2021 on the basis of the project being highly regulated and its ownership by a minority woman.

The Commission did not make any errors in granting a conditional use authority and the project sponsor followed all City and County rules and regulations.

Hardship for Social Equity

The project owner is a verified social equity applicant and has spent two years in the permitting process suffering to make the rent payments.

THERE ARE NO SOCIAL EQUITY BUSINESSES IN DISTRICT 11

Beyond Parents/Residents - Community Involved

The retail cannabis project has the support of neighboring merchant owners who have expressed the need for additional foot traffic, seen in their submission of letters to the Planning Department. Many of the neighboring businesses have been struggling to regain their clients due to pre-existing struggles that exist for them on Mission/Oliver, and now compounded by the slow recovery of the pandemic.

The project sponsors have already begun to support the neighborhood Holding a Merchant-Customer Appreciation Day on June 5, 2021, in which they served 168 plates of tacos to everyone who was hungry, including nurses and ambulance EMTs working at San Francisco Post Acute.

The merchant has had meetings with Excelsior Works (for multilingual job positions, Excelsior Action Group (discussing support for other commercial merchants and a woman cannabis incubator - La Cocina model) and Excelsior Coffee to help raise money for a June Jordan High School Youth Dirt Bike Training Program.

To delay them would be to delay the support that their presence and neighborhood plan would provide for District 11.

Please, I urge you to hear this matter today.

Thank you,

Don Sorensen

Name

Don Sorensen

Signature

donaldsorensen413@gmail.com

From: [Ryan Miller](#)
To: [BOS Legislation, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Board File 210801 Planning Case No. 2020-007152CUA Appeal of Conditional Use Authorization for 5801 Mission Street
Date: Monday, July 26, 2021 11:38:47 AM
Attachments: [BOS.pdf](#)

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.



July 26, 2021

Dear Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for accepting letters of support on behalf of Releaf Herbal Cooperative. It's my honor and moral obligation to offer these words of endorsement for their intention to relocate to 5801 Mission Street. Releaf is a staple of San Francisco cannabis culture and has earned a reputation for generously supporting the local community.

Among the most vulnerable communities, military veterans, Releaf has demonstrated a substantially generous commitment. Beyond public relations photo-ops and online virtue signaling, Releaf generously contributes financial resources to ensure that local veterans are not left behind. Our organization, Operation EVAC has benefitted tremendously from generous philanthropic patronage by Releaf.

Our work in veteran suicide and opiate overdose prevention was launched on Memorial Day, 2016 in the lobby of Releaf Herbal Cooperative. We've since grown to five additional locations throughout the Bay Area and Sacramento, facilitated over six hundred peer-led social support groups, serving a membership of nearly two hundred veterans. Our membership is primarily low-income, poverty-class elders of color, along a spectrum of substance abuse recovery, many familiar with housing insecurity, and often survivors of both the military- and prison-industrial complexes. We practice curating trauma-informed safe space for all genders, races, sexual orientations, and we had a large presence in the San Francisco Pride Parade.

Not only have we intervened in and prevented multiple suicides, but we've ushered transformative growth in our membership. Converting bitter, rude, curmudgeons to warm, gentle, caring, funny contributors of a supportive community. None of this would be possible without Heidi Hanley and Releaf Herbal Cooperative.

In service,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read 'Ryan Miller', written in a cursive style.

Ryan Miller, USMC

Founder, Operation EVAC

From: [Aaron Flynn](#)
To: [BOS Legislation, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: No continuance for Board File 210801 Planning Case No. 2020-007152CUA Appeal of Conditional Use Authorization for 5801 Mission Street
Date: Monday, July 26, 2021 10:36:22 AM
Attachments: [No Continuance.pdf](#)

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Hello Supervisors,

Please see that attached

--

Aaron Flynn

Co-founder & CEO, Gold Seal SF

(415) 404-8541 | aaron@goldsealsf.com

www.goldsealsf.com



IMPORTANT: The contents of this email and any attachments are confidential. They are intended for the named recipient(s) only. If you have received this email by mistake, please notify the sender immediately and do not disclose the contents to anyone or make copies thereof.

Create your own [email signature](#)



RE: Board File 210801 Planning Case No. 2020-007152CUA Appeal of Conditional Use Authorization for 5801 Mission Street

Public Comments for Planned Continuance on July 27, 2021

Dear Supervisors,


IN THE INTEREST OF FAIRNESS AND JUSTICE I URGE YOU TO NOT APPROVE A MOTION TO CONTINUANCE FOR THE REASONS BELOW:

The Appeal is a Ruse

The appeal was made possible because a large land-owner used their combined landmass holdings and got another large land-owner to their stall and sabotage an economic opportunity from an experienced woman, minority-owned cannabis retail store.

The appeal was granted with 37% of the land mass owners within 300 feet signing to the appeal.

Of the 37%, 19.3% of the total represent signatures from properties owned by the Appellant.



Without the church signature gathering, the actual number would be 17.7% signatures and would fall short of an appeal.

The organizations that support this appeal have done nothing to address the commercial vacancy in the area and by their own admission were notified of the neighborhood outreach.

They did not make their concerns known to the Planning Department during the outreach process requesting adequate monligual support and therefore exhausted their opinions/comments to support any appeal.

The Appellant is uninterested in neighborhood collaboration

The Appellant was contacted by the project sponsor in September 2020 before officially filing with the Planning Department. The Appellant never responded.

The Appellant was in attendance for all Neighborhood Outreach Meetings.

November 13, 2020 for a Pre-Application meeting.

February 12, 2021 and April 20, 2021 for two Good Neighborhood Meetings.

The Appellant was contacted in July 2021 to discuss collaboration for the neighborhood. The Appellant never responded.

Support Facts over Theory-Opinion

The Planning Commission approved the project 4-2 on June 10th 2021 on the basis of the project being highly regulated and its ownership by a minority woman.

The Commission did not make any errors in granting a conditional use authority and the project sponsor followed all City and County rules and regulations.

Hardship for Social Equity

The project owner is a verified social equity applicant and has spent two years in the permitting process suffering to make the rent payments.

THERE ARE NO SOCIAL EQUITY BUSINESSES IN DISTRICT 11

Beyond Parents/Residents - Community Involved

The retail cannabis project has the support of neighboring merchant owners who have expressed the need for additional foot traffic, seen in their submission of letters to the Planning Department. Many of the neighboring businesses have been struggling to regain their clients due to pre-existing struggles that exist for them on Mission/Oliver, and now compounded by the slow recovery of the pandemic.

The project sponsors have already begun to support the neighborhood Holding a Merchant-Customer Appreciation Day on June 5, 2021, in which they served 168 plates of tacos to everyone who was hungry, including nurses and ambulance EMTs working at San Francisco Post Acute.

The merchant has had meetings with Excelsior Works (for multilingual job positions, Excelsior Action Group (discussing support for other commercial merchants and a woman cannabis incubator - La Cocina model) and Excelsior Coffee to help raise money for a June Jordan High School Youth Dirt Bike Training Program.

To delay them would be to delay the support that their presence and neighborhood plan would provide for District 11.

Please, I urge you to hear this matter today.

Thank you,

Aaron Flynn

Name



Signature

From: [Nick Ames](#)
To: [BOS Legislation, \(BOS\)](#); [Wong, Jocelyn \(BOS\)](#); angela.cavillo@sfgov.org
Cc: [jumpstreet1983](#); josephine8zhao@gmail.com; info@aaece.org; fitzpatrick.delia@gmail.com
Subject: Appeal 5801 Mission Street, BOS File#210801
Date: Sunday, July 25, 2021 10:56:53 PM
Attachments: [1604\(b\)\(2\) Household asset limits for equity applicants - Office of Cannabis.pdf](#)
[Grant Deed on Jan 20, 2018.pdf](#)
[DPH Referral - 205 Frederick St - 2019-014133MIS\[1\].pdf](#)
[Releaf Personal Jury Lawsuit.pdf](#)

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Hello, my name is Nick Ames and I live near 5801 Mission Street and I support the appeal of 5801 Mission Street.

I would like to inquire if the equity applicant, Heidi Hanley, has met the asset test requirements for a cannabis equity applicant.

According to Office of Cannabis guidelines, an equity applicant must meet the requirement test requirements (see attached). For example, a household size of 4 cannot have assets above \$319,750.

Through public records, the equity applicant purchased in November 2018, the Ashbury Market at 205 Frederick Street, SF for approximately \$100,000.

In addition, the equity applicant owns a percentage of the industrial property located at 2089 Ingalls Street, SF. According to the grant deed on Jan 20, 2018, 2089 Ingalls LLC (which consisted of managing members: Dale Hanley, Adeleid (Heidi) Hanley, and Sesan LLC) transferred ownership of the commercial condo located 2089 Ingalls, unit 4 at a value of \$985,000 and procured a hard money loan of \$595,000 which would imply an equity of \$390,000 at the time of 2/2/2018.

I am unsure that the equity applicant meets the asset test requirements established by the office of cannabis. I also respectfully request that the proposed cannabis store at 5801 Mission Street be postponed until more due diligence can be conducted to ensure the equity applicant meets the asset requirements.

In addition, the equity applicant claimed to ensure that protocols will be in place to protect the surrounding community near 5801 Mission. Through public records, on April 20, 2016 (420 Party), the equity applicant owned and managed Releaf Herbal (Medical Cannabis dispensary). Due to the equity applicant failure to utilize proper safety loading procedures, an individual leg was severed. Please see attached personal injury complaint and our community needs a more detailed safety loading protocol to ensure this type tragedy will not happen again.

Please add this information to support the appeal of 5801 Mission Street

1604(b)(2) Household asset limits for equity applicants

Asset Test Requirements

Pursuant to Police Code, Article 16, Section 1604(b)(2), Equity Program Applicants are required to have assets at limits established by the Office of Cannabis.

1604(b)(2): Asset Test

1. Asset Limits and Requirements

- a. The Office will consider applicants with net assets at, or below, three times the 80% median unadjusted area median income for the previous year, by Household size. For 2020, these limits are as follows:

2020 ASSET LIMITS AND REQUIREMENTS PER HOUSEHOLD

Household size	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
80% AMI	74,600	85,250	95,900	106,550	115,100	123,600	132,100	140,650	149,200
3X	223,800	255,750	287,700	319,650	345,300	370,800	396,300	421,950	447,600

- b. Examples of liquid accounts that shall be disclosed include but are not limited to, saving accounts, checking accounts, certificates of deposit, money market accounts, stocks, trusts, and gifts.
- c. Qualified retirement accounts and an applicant's primary residence shall be excluded for purposes of the calculation, but other forms of real estate shall be included. Such retirement accounts are limited to accounts that are intended for retirement and that would incur a penalty if withdrawn before a specified retirement age per each account.
- d. Applicants are required to submit no less than three current and consecutive statements from every liquid asset account or personal cash holdings, including all custodial accounts held for

minors.

- e. The Director may consider granting an exemption on a one-time basis to applicants with assets that marginally exceed this measure.

2. Household Definition and Requirements

- a. As defined in Planning Code Section 401, and for the purpose of qualifying, a Household is defined as any person or persons who reside or intend to reside in the same residence
- b. All Spouses or Domestic Partners must be included in the Household and must appear in the submission content.
- c. All Household members who are under 18 years of age must be the legal dependent of an adult Household member, except in the case of emancipated minors, as claimed on the most recent income tax return, or legal minor children of title holders.
- d. Pregnant applicants will only be counted as two Household members with verifiable medical documentation.
- e. Temporarily absent Household members who intend to live in the residence upon return may be considered, if verifiable documentation supporting their absence is provided. Such Household members include, but are not limited to, Household members serving temporarily in the armed forces, or who are temporarily institutionalized.
- f. Live-in assistants and foster children will not be counted toward Household size.

Limits Effective: June 2, 2021

Comment period start date

March 16, 2018

Comment period end date

March 30, 2018

San Francisco Office of Cannabis
<https://housing.sfgov.org/home>

1 Dr Carlton B Goodlett Place, Room 018, San Francisco, CA 94102 | 415-554-4420
officeofcannabis@sfgov.org
<https://housing.sfgov.orgmailto:officeofcannabis@sfgov.org>

© City & County of San Francisco

Recording Requested by
56604-1493616-17

When Recorded Mail to
Dale Hanley and Adelheid Hanley
Sesan LLC
1837 Cleveland Ct, Concord CA 94521



San Francisco Assessor-Recorder
Carmen Chu, Assessor-Recorder
DOC- 2018-K575069-00

Check Number 5412
Friday, FEB 02, 2018 14 54 31
Ttl Pd \$6,743.00 Rcpt # 0005756252
okc/KC/1-8

48

Assessor Parcel Number (APN) Block 4812 Lot 030
Street Address 2089 Ingalls St, #4 SF CA 94124

GRANT DEED

(Please fill in Document Title(s) above this line)

This document is exempt from the \$75 Building Homes and Jobs Act Fee (per Government Code §27388 1) because

- Document is a transfer of real property subject to the imposition of transfer tax
- Document is a transfer of real property that is a residential dwelling to an owner-occupier
- Document is recorded in connection with an exempt transfer of real property (i.e., subject to transfer tax or owner-occupied) If not recorded concurrently, provide recording date and document number of related transfer document
Recording date _____ Document Number _____
- The \$225 per transaction cap is reached
- Document is not related to real property

**This page added to provide adequate space for recording information
(additional recording fee applies)**

Recording Requested By

North American Title Company, Inc
File No 56604-1493616-17

AND WHEN RECORDED MAIL TO

Name Dale Hanley and Adelheid Hanley
Street Address
City & State

SPACE ABOVE THIS LINE FOR RECORDER'S USE

Situs Property Address **2089 Ingalls Street, #4, San Francisco, CA 94124**
Lot Number **4812** Block Number **030** APN: **BLK: 4812 LOT: 030**

GRANT DEED

File No 56604-1493616-17

The Undersigned Grantor(s) Declare(s) DOCUMENTARY TRANSFER TAX \$6,698 00 , CITY TRANSFER TAX \$NONE,
[] computed on the consideration or full value of property conveyed, OR
[] computed on the consideration or full value less value of liens and/or encumbrances remaining at time of sale,
[] unincorporated area, [] City of **San Francisco**, and

FOR A VALUABLE CONSIDERATION, receipt of which is hereby acknowledged, **2089 Ingalls LLC, a California limited liability company**

hereby GRANTS TO **Dale Hanley and Adelheid Hanley, husband and wife, as joint tenants, as to an undivided 50% interest and Sesan LLC, a California limited liability company, as to an undivided 50% interest, all as Tenants in common.**


the following described property in the City of **San Francisco**, County of **San Francisco**, State of **California**

LEGAL DESCRIPTION ATTACHED HERETO AS EXHIBIT "A" AND MADE A PART HEREOF

Dated **January 30, 2018**


2089 Ingalls LLC, a California limited liability company

By



Name Dale Hanley
Title Managing Member

By



Name Adelheid Hanley
Title Managing member

By Sesan LLC, a California limited liability company

By

SIGNED IN COUNTERPART

Name Teresita S Montalvo
Title Managing Member

By Community First USA, a California limited liability company

By




Name Paul LeJoy
Title Managing Member

2089 Ingalls LLC, a California limited liability company

By SIGNED IN COUNTERPART
Name Dale Hanley
Title Managing Member

By SIGNED IN COUNTERPART
Name Adelheid Hanley
Title Managing member

By Sesan LLC, a California limited liability company

By 
Name Teresita S Montalvo
Title Managing Member

By Community First USA, a California limited liability company

By SIGNED IN COUNTERPART
Name Paul LeJoy
Title Managing Member

A notary public or other officer completing this certificate verifies only the identity of the individual who signed the document to which this certificate is attached, and not the truthfulness, accuracy, or validity of that document

STATE OF CALIFORNIA)SS
COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO)

On 1/31/18, before me, WILLY SANTOS, Notary Public, personally appeared DALE HANLEY ADZELHED HANLEY


_____, who proved to me on the basis of satisfactory evidence to be the person(s) whose name(s) is/are subscribed to the within instrument and acknowledged to me that he/she/they executed the same in his/her/their authorized capacity(ies), and that by his/her/their signature(s) on the instrument the person(s), or the entity upon behalf of which the person(s) acted, executed the instrument

I certify under PENALTY OF PERJURY under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing paragraph is true and correct

WITNESS my hand and official seal

Signature

Willy Santos



This area for official notarial seal

A notary public or other officer completing this certificate verifies only the identity of the individual who signed the document to which this certificate is attached, and not the truthfulness, accuracy, or validity of that document.

STATE OF Louisiana)SS
COUNTY OF Orleans)

On January 31, 2018, before me, John W. Norwood IV, Notary Public, personally appeared Teresita S. Montalvo

_____, who proved to me on the basis of satisfactory evidence to be the person(s) whose name(s) is/are subscribed to the within instrument and acknowledged to me that he/she/they executed the same in his/her/their authorized capacity(ies), and that by his/her/their signature(s) on the instrument the person(s), or the entity upon behalf of which the person(s) acted, executed the instrument

I certify under PENALTY OF PERJURY under the laws of the State of ~~California~~ ^{Louisiana} that the foregoing paragraph is true and correct

WITNESS my hand and official seal

Signature

John W. Norwood IV



John W Norwood IV
Notary Public for Louisiana
Notary ID No 81880
Commissioned for Life

This area for official notarial seal

A notary public or other officer completing this certificate verifies only the identity of the individual who signed the document to which this certificate is attached, and not the truthfulness, accuracy, or validity of that document

State of California

County of SAN MATEO

On 2/1/18 before me, Willy Santos, Notary Public personally appeared


Paul Lajoie

who proved to me on the basis of satisfactory evidence to be the person(s) whose name(s) is/are subscribed to the within instrument and acknowledged to me that he/she/they executed the same in his/her/their authorized capacity(ies), and that by his/her/their signature(s) on the instrument the person(s), or the entity upon behalf of which the person(s) acted, executed the instrument

I certify under PENALTY OF PERJURY under the laws of the State of California the foregoing paragraph is true and correct

WITNESS my hand and official seal

Willy Santos
Signature of Notary Public



My commission expires on 12/12/2018
Commission number 2089929

EXHIBIT A

PARCEL A

CONDOMINIUM UNIT NO 4, LOT NO 30, AS SHOWN UPON THE CONDOMINIUM MAP AND DIAGRAMMATIC FLOOR PLAN ENTITLED "PARCEL MAP OF 2089 INGALLS STREET, A COMMERCIAL CONDOMINIUM" WHICH WAS FILED FOR RECORD ON MAY 3, 1983 IN PARCEL MAP BOOK 25, AT PAGES 84-86, INCLUSIVE, IN THE OFFICE OF THE RECORDER OF THE CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO, STATE OF CALIFORNIA (REFERRED TO HEREIN AS THE MAP), AND AS FURTHER DEFINED IN THE ENABLING DECLARATION ESTABLISHING A PLAN FOR COMMERCIAL CONDOMINIUM OWNERSHIP OF 2089 INGALLS STREET OWNERS' ASSOCIATION RECORDED ON MAY 5, 1983 IN BOOK D520, PAGE 507 AND FOLLOWING, AND ALSO RECORDED ON JUNE 3, 1983 IN BOOK D533, PAGE 1271 AND FOLLOWING, WHICH WAS RERECORDED ON JUNE 24, 1983 IN BOOK D542, PAGE 727 AND FOLLOWING, OFFICIAL RECORDS OF THE CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO, STATE OF CALIFORNIA (REFERRED TO HEREIN AS THE DECLARATION)

EXCEPTING THEREFROM, ANY PORTION OF THE COMMON AREA LYING WITHIN SAID UNIT

ALSO EXCEPTING THEREFROM

(A) EASEMENTS THROUGH SAID UNIT, APPURTENANT TO THE COMMON AREA AND ALL OTHER UNITS, FOR SUPPORT AND REPAIR OF THE COMMON AREA AND ALL OTHER UNITS

(B) EASEMENTS, APPURTENANT TO THE COMMON AREA FOR ENCROACHMENT UPON THE AIR SPACE OF THE UNIT BY THOSE PORTIONS OF THE COMMON AREA LOCATED WITHIN THE UNIT

PARCEL B

AN UNDIVIDED 25 08% INTEREST IN AND TO THE COMMON AREA AS SHOWN ON THE MAP AND DEFINED IN THE DECLARATION, EXCEPTING THEREFROM THE FOLLOWING

(A) EXCLUSIVE EASEMENTS, AS SHOWN ON THE MAP AND EXCEPTED TO UNITS FOR USE AS DEFINED IN THE DECLARATION, AND

(B) NON-EXCLUSIVE EASEMENTS APPURTENANT TO ALL UNITS FOR INGRESS AND EGRESS, SUPPORT, REPAIR AND MAINTENANCE

PARCEL C

A NON-EXCLUSIVE EASEMENT APPURTENANT TO PARCEL A ABOVE FOR SUPPORT, REPAIR AND MAINTENANCE, AND FOR INGRESS AND EGRESS THROUGH THE COMMON AREA IN ACCORDANCE WITH CALIFORNIA CIVIL CODE SECTION 1361(A)

PARCEL D

ENCROACHMENT EASEMENTS APPURTENANT TO THE UNIT IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE PROVISIONS OF THE DECLARATION

2019-014133M15



HEALTH DEPARTMENT USE ONLY			
Application #:	9991901680	Date Filed:	7/12/2019
Date to Zoning:	7/17/2019	Inspector:	Amelia Castelli
Date from Zoning:		Inspector Email:	Amelia.Castelli@sfdph.org
		Date Paid:	7/15/2019
		HD:	5



Please submit to:
 CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO
 DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC HEALTH, ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH BRANCH
 1390 Market Street, Suite 210, San Francisco, CA 94102
 EHreferrals@sfdph.org - (415) 252-3800

RECEIVED

JUL 18 2019

Zoning Referral for Health Permit

CITY & COUNTY OF S.F.
 PLANNING DEPARTMENT
 NEIGHBORHOOD PLANNING

1. Business Information

BUSINESS STREET ADDRESS:		WHAT FLOOR OF THE BUILDING WILL THE BUSINESS OCCUPY?	
205 FREDERICK ST		First / Ground - Yes Second - Yes Third Floor - No Other - No	
NAME OF BUSINESS (DBA):		OWNERSHIP:	
ASHBURY MARKET		HANLEY HOLDINGS LLC	
TOTAL SQUARE FOOTAGE OF AREA (includes storage and bathroom areas):	NUMBER OF CUSTOMER SEATS:	OUTDOOR SEATING AREA?	OUTDOOR FOOD/DRINK SERVICE?
1600	0	No	No
1a.	Is this a Change of Use? (May require neighborhood notification.)	No	
	If yes, what is the existing use?		
1b.	Is this a Change of Ownership of an existing food facility?	Yes	
	If yes, previous owner:	bnjr llc	
	If yes, previous DBA:	ashbury market	
1c.	Start Date of Food Operations:	4/2/2019	
1d.	Is the establishment vacant?	No	
	If yes, how long was the establishment vacant?		
1e.	Do you propose to alter the interior or exterior of the establishment?	No	
	If yes, what is the Building permit application number?		
1f.	Is the business a Formula Retail chain or Franchise with 11 or more locations anywhere worldwide? The Planning Department requires a Formula Retail Affidavit for Formula Retail chains.	No	
1g.	Does this business sell alcoholic beverages?	Yes	
	Do you plan to sell off-sale alcohol?	Yes	
	Do you have an ABC Type 20 or 21 license?	Yes	

NW

2. Type of Food Business Operation: Market/General/Specialty Grocery

2a.	Accessory Use (business within another business)?	No
2b.	Days/Hours of Operation:	Mon 09:00-22:00 Tue 09:00-22:00 Wed 09:00-22:00 Thu 09:00-22:00 Fri 09:00-22:00 Sat 09:00-22:00 Sun 09:00-22:00

3. Application Contact Information:

Submitter Name	Adelheid Hanley	Submitter Title	President
Submitter Phone	(415)716-1027	Submitter Email	ashburymarketsf@gmail.com
Signer Name	Adelheid Hanley	Signer Email	ashburymarketsf@gmail.com
Business Contact Name	ADELHEID HANLEY	Business Contact Email	ashburymarketsf@gmail.com
Business Contact Phone	4152135626		

PLANNING DEPARTMENT USE ONLY			
ZONING REFERRAL NUMBER: 2019-014133 HUS		DATE RECEIVED BY PLANNING:	
PROJECT NO: 72691001	ZONING: RH-3	RUD / SUD:	LCU / NCU:
OFFICIAL SITE ADDRESS (if different):			
BPA NUMBER:		311/312 NOTICE COMPLETE: <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	PRELIMINARY SCREENING? <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
RELATED RECORD NO.:	MOTION NO.:	EFFECTIVE DATE:	CONDITIONS: <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
OTHER:			

RECOMMENDATION:	Per Planning Code Section(s):
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> APPROVAL <input type="checkbox"/> DISAPPROVAL	166
CONDITIONS OF APPROVAL:	
COMMENTS: Permitted as a continuation of an existing grocery store use.	
AUTHORIZATION:	
Signature: <i>Sharon Young</i>	Date: 7/31/19
Printed Name: SHARON YOUNG	Phone: (415) 558-6344

For more information regarding types of establishments, zoning, and Planning Code questions, you may go online to www.sfplanning.org or contact the Planning Information Center (PIC) for more information:

Planning Information Center (PIC)
 1660 Mission Street, First Floor
 San Francisco CA 94103-2479
 TEL: 415.558.6377



SAN FRANCISCO DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC HEALTH
 ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH BRANCH
 1390 Market Street Suite 210, San Francisco, CA 94102
<https://www.sfdph.org/dph/EH/Food/>
 Phone: (415) 252-3800

Application for Food Permit to Operate

Business Account and Application Information

Item 1	Business Account Number (BAN)	1100288
Item 2	Business Name	HANLEY HOLDINGS LLC
Item 3	Organization Type	Limited Liability Company
Item 4	Business Start Date in San Francisco	04/02/2019
Item 5	Location Identification Number (LIN)	1217823-04-191
Item 6	DBA Name	ASHBURY MARKET
Item 7	Business Location (Situs)	205 FREDERICK ST SAN FRANCISCO CA 94117
Item 8	Recipient Name	ADELHEID HANLEY
Item 9	Business Account Email Address	ashburymarketsf@gmail.com
Item 10	Business Account Contact Phone Number	4152135626
Item 11	Mailing Address	PO BOX 31248, WALNUT CREEK, CA 94598
Item 12	Application Number	9991901680
Item 13	Application Submission Time	07/11/2019 9:00PM

Business Owner Information

Item 1	Owner Name	ADELHEID HANLEY
Item 2	Owner Title	
Item 3	Owner Email	ashburymarketsf@gmail.com
Item 4	Owner Phone	4152135626

Item 1	Owner Name	Dale Hanley
Item 2	Owner Title	
Item 3	Owner Email	ashburymarketsf@gmail.com
Item 4	Owner Phone	4152135626

Application Background

Item 1	Is the Business Operating Now?	Yes
Item 2	Start Date of Food Operations:	04/02/2019
Item 3	Is this a change of ownership of an existing food facility?	Yes
Item 3.1	Previous Business Owner Name	bnjr llc
Item 3.2	Previous DBA	ashbury market
Item 4	Is this a change of use? (May require neighborhood notification)	No

Type of Food Business

Item 1	Type of food business	Market/General/Specialty Grocery
Item 2	Does this business sell alcoholic beverages?	Yes

Food Preparation

Item 1	Will this operation prepare food and/or beverages?	No
Item 2	Will you be cooking food?	No
Item 3	Does the facility have an exhaust hood used for cooking?	No
Item 4	Will you be hot holding foods? (e.g. steam table, hot holding cabinets or units)	No
Item 5	Will you be cooking and then cooling large batches of food?	No
Item 6	Will you be reheating foods to be hot held?	No
Item 7	Will all food/beverages that are stored, sold or provided be: Pre-packaged; Non-potentially hazardous; Contained in a floor space of food display less than 25 square feet?	
Item 8	Types of Specialized Processes (Check All That Apply)	

Facility Information

Item 1	Total square footage of area (includes storage and bathroom space)	1,600
Item 2	What floor of the building will the business occupy? (select all that apply)	Ground (First) Level :Second Level :
Item 3	Number of seats available for customers?	0
Item 4	Number of restrooms?	1
Item 5	Outdoor seating area?	No
Item 6	Outdoor food/drink service?	No
Item 7	Is the establishment vacant?	No
Item 8	Do you propose to alter the interior or exterior of the establishment?	No
Item 9	Is the business a Formula Retail Chain or franchise with 11 or more locations anywhere worldwide? The Planning Department requires a Formula Retail Affidavit for Formula Retail chains.	No

Operation Information

Item 1	Accessory Use? (Business Within Another Business) The Planning Department may contact you regarding floor plans for your business.	No
Item 2	Days/Hours of Operation	Monday Open 09:00 Close 22:00 Tuesday Open 09:00 Close 22:00 Wednesday Open 09:00 Close 22:00 Thursday Open 09:00 Close 22:00 Friday Open 09:00 Close 22:00 Saturday Open 09:00 Close 22:00 Sunday Open 09:00 Close 22:00

Additional Information

Item 1	Do You Plan on Using an Automated Point of Sale (POS) Station?	Yes
Item 2	Do You Plan to Use a Scale or Other Weighing & Measuring Device?	Yes
Item 3	Do You Plan to Sell Tobacco Products?	No
Item 4	Does Your Business Store or Plan to Store Carbon Dioxide or Nitrogen Gas On-Site? (e.g. Tanks for Soda Dispensing Machine, Beer Drafts, or Liquid Nitrogen Ice Cream)	No
Item 5	Do You Plan to Sell Off-sale Alcohol?	Yes
Item 6	Do You Have a California Beverage Control (ABC) Type 20 or 21 License?	Yes
Item 7	Do You Have a Public Pool or Spa?	No

Supplemental Information

Item 1	Is this application for the San Francisco Unified School District?	No
Item 2	Is this application for a business located on San Francisco Port property?	No
Item 3	Is this application for a business located on state owned property?	No
Item 4	Are you a U.S. military veteran with an honorable discharge or release who is a sole proprietor?	No

Uploaded Documents

Item 7	Detailed Diagram or Floor Plan	abmfp 1.pdf
--------	--------------------------------	-------------

Fee Summary

Item 1	DPH Application Fee	\$341.00
Item 2	Zoning Referral Fee	\$150.00
Item 3	Fire Referral Fee	\$125.00
	APPLICATION FEE TOTAL	\$616.00

Declaration of Healthy and Safe Working Conditions

The Department of Public Health is responsible for ensuring healthy and safe conditions for those working and living in San Francisco. Establishments permitted by the Department must remain compliant with all laws.

1. I understand that this business must comply with all local, state, and federal labor laws in order to obtain and maintain a valid Permit To Operate from the Department. I affirm that as an operator of the above business, I am aware of and agree to comply with the following laws when applicable to my business: San Francisco Labor Codes California Labor Code Division 4 — Have and maintain Workers Compensation Insurance or be self-insured California Labor Code Division 2 — Employment Regulation and Supervision California Labor Code Division 5 — Occupational Health and Safety All other federal, state, and local labor codes
2. I will request my provider of Workers Compensation Insurance to designate as a "Certificate Holder" the SF Environmental Health Branch at 1390 Market St., #210, San Francisco, CA 94102.

I agree to operate in accordance with all federal, state, and local regulations, laws and ordinances. I understand that permits are not transferrable. I also understand that any construction, change of use, equipment change, menu change, and/or structural alterations requires SFPD HEB review and approval.

I declare under penalty of perjury that I have the legal authority to submit this application, and that the information provided herein is true and complete to the best of my knowledge and belief. I understand that any false or incomplete information provided by me as part of this permit application may be considered cause to deny the application or later revoke the permit that is granted. I understand that if the permit is granted, the business will be subject to inspections, fees, and penalties as authorized by law.

Application Submitter Information

Item 1	Submitter Name	Adelheid Hanley
--------	----------------	-----------------

Item 2	Submitter Title	President
Item 3	Submitter Phone	(415)716-1027
Item 4	Submitter Email	ashburymarketsf@gmail.com


Signer Requirements

- Sole Proprietor - Must be signed by sole proprietor
- Partnership - must be signed by general partner
- LLC - must be signed by managing member
- Corporation - must be signed by a person authorized by the corporation to sign on its behalf

Signer1 Information

Item 1	Signer Name	Adelheid Hanley
Item 2	Signer Email	ashburymarketsf@gmail.com

Signature1



Signer2 Information

Item 1	Signer Name	Dale Hanley
Item 2	Signer Email	ashburymarketsf@gmail.com

Signature2





**SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA
COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO**

Document Scanning Lead Sheet

Jun-08-2018 12:43 pm

Case Number: CGC-18-567077

Filing Date: Jun-08-2018 12:39

Filed by: BOWMAN LIU

Image: 06368484

COMPLAINT

CHRISTOPHER GRANT VS. RELEAF HERBAL COOPERATIVE, INC. ET AL

001C06368484

Instructions:

Please place this sheet on top of the document to be scanned.

**SUMMONS
(CITACION JUDICIAL)**

FOR COURT USE ONLY
(SOLO PARA USO DE LA CORTE)

**NOTICE TO DEFENDANT:
(AVISO AL DEMANDADO):**
RELEAF HERBAL COOPERATIVE, INC. AND DOES 1 TO 10

**YOU ARE BEING SUED BY PLAINTIFF:
(LO ESTÁ DEMANDANDO EL DEMANDANTE):**
CHRISTOPHER GRANT

NOTICE! You have been sued. The court may decide against you without your being heard unless you respond within 30 days. Read the information below.
You have 30 CALENDAR DAYS after this summons and legal papers are served on you to file a written response at this court and have a copy served on the plaintiff. A letter or phone call will not protect you. Your written response must be in proper legal form if you want the court to hear your case. There may be a court form that you can use for your response. You can find these court forms and more information at the California Courts Online Self-Help Center (www.courtinfo.ca.gov/selfhelp), your county law library, or the courthouse nearest you. If you cannot pay the filing fee, ask the court clerk for a fee waiver form. If you do not file your response on time, you may lose the case by default, and your wages, money, and property may be taken without further warning from the court.
There are other legal requirements. You may want to call an attorney right away. If you do not know an attorney, you may want to call an attorney referral service. If you cannot afford an attorney, you may be eligible for free legal services from a nonprofit legal services program. You can locate these nonprofit groups at the California Legal Services Web site (www.lawhelpcalifornia.org), the California Courts Online Self-Help Center (www.courtinfo.ca.gov/selfhelp), or by contacting your local court or county bar association. **NOTE:** The court has a statutory lien for waived fees and costs on any settlement or arbitration award of \$10,000 or more in a civil case. The court's lien must be paid before the court will dismiss the case.
¡AVISO! Lo han demandado. Si no responde dentro de 30 días, la corte puede decidir en su contra sin escuchar su versión. Lea la información a continuación.
Tiene 30 DÍAS DE CALENDARIO después de que le entreguen esta citación y papeles legales para presentar una respuesta por escrito en esta corte y hacer que se entregue una copia al demandante. Una carta o una llamada telefónica no lo protegen. Su respuesta por escrito tiene que estar en formato legal correcto si desea que procesen su caso en la corte. Es posible que haya un formulario que usted pueda usar para su respuesta. Puede encontrar estos formularios de la corte y más información en el Centro de Ayuda de las Cortes de California (www.sucorte.ca.gov), en la biblioteca de leyes de su condado o en la corte que le quede más cerca. Si no puede pagar la cuota de presentación, pida al secretario de la corte que le dé un formulario de exención de pago de cuotas. Si no presenta su respuesta a tiempo, puede perder el caso por incumplimiento y la corte le podrá quitar su sueldo, dinero y bienes sin más advertencia.
Hay otros requisitos legales. Es recomendable que llame a un abogado inmediatamente. Si no conoce a un abogado, puede llamar a un servicio de remisión a abogados. Si no puede pagar a un abogado, es posible que cumpla con los requisitos para obtener servicios legales gratuitos de un programa de servicios legales sin fines de lucro. Puede encontrar estos grupos sin fines de lucro en el sitio web de California Legal Services, (www.lawhelpcalifornia.org), en el Centro de Ayuda de las Cortes de California, (www.sucorte.ca.gov) o poniéndose en contacto con la corte o el colegio de abogados locales. **AVISO:** Por ley, la corte tiene derecho a reclamar las cuotas y los costos exentos por imponer un gravamen sobre cualquier recuperación de \$10,000 ó más de valor recibida mediante un acuerdo o una concesión de arbitraje en un caso de derecho civil. Tiene que pagar el gravamen de la corte antes de que la corte pueda desechar el caso.

The name and address of the court is:
(El nombre y dirección de la corte es): Superior Court of San Francisco
Civic Center Court, 400 McAllister Street, San Francisco, CA 94102

CASE NUMBER
(Número del caso): **06C-18-567077**

The name, address, and telephone number of plaintiff's attorney, or plaintiff without an attorney, is:
(El nombre, la dirección y el número de teléfono del abogado del demandante, o del demandante que no tiene abogado, es):
Adante D. Pointer, Esq. SBN 236229; 7677 Oakport Street, Suite 1120, Oakland, CA 94621; 510.839.5200

DATE: JUN 08 2018 DEPUTY CLERK Clerk, by Bowman Liu, Deputy (Fecha) (Secretario) (Adjunto)

(For proof of service of this summons, use Proof of Service of Summons (form POS-010).)
(Para prueba de entrega de esta citación use el formulario Proof of Service of Summons, (POS-010)). **BOWMAN LIU**



- NOTICE TO THE PERSON SERVED:** You are served
- 1. as an individual defendant.
 - 2. as the person sued under the fictitious name of (specify):
 - 3. on behalf of (specify):
under: CCP 416.10 (corporation) CCP 416.60 (minor)
 CCP 416.20 (defunct corporation) CCP 416.70 (conservatee)
 CCP 416.40 (association or partnership) CCP 416.90 (authorized person)
 other (specify):
 - 4. by personal delivery on (date):

SHORT TITLE: Grant v. ReLeaf	CASE NUMBER:
---------------------------------	--------------

4. Plaintiff (name):
 is doing business under the fictitious name (specify):

 and has complied with the fictitious business name laws.
5. Each defendant named above is a natural person
- a. **except** defendant (name): Releaf Herbal Coop.
- (1) a business organization, form unknown
 (2) a corporation
 (3) an unincorporated entity (describe):

 (4) a public entity (describe):
 (5) other (specify):
- b. **except** defendant (name):
 (1) a business organization, form unknown
 (2) a corporation
 (3) an unincorporated entity (describe):

 (4) a public entity (describe):
 (5) other (specify):
- c. **except** defendant (name):
 (1) a business organization, form unknown
 (2) a corporation
 (3) an unincorporated entity (describe):

 (4) a public entity (describe):
 (5) other (specify):
- d. **except** defendant (name):
 (1) a business organization, form unknown
 (2) a corporation
 (3) an unincorporated entity (describe):

 (4) a public entity (describe):
 (5) other (specify):
- Information about additional defendants who are not natural persons is contained in Attachment 5.
6. The true names of defendants sued as Does are unknown to plaintiff.
- a. Doe defendants (specify Doe numbers): 1 to 10 were the agents or employees of other named defendants and acted within the scope of that agency or employment.
- b. Doe defendants (specify Doe numbers): 1 to 10 are persons whose capacities are unknown to plaintiff.
7. Defendants who are joined under Code of Civil Procedure section 382 are (names):
8. This court is the proper court because
- a. at least one defendant now resides in its jurisdictional area.
 b. the principal place of business of a defendant corporation or unincorporated association is in its jurisdictional area.
 c. injury to person or damage to personal property occurred in its jurisdictional area.
 d. other (specify):
9. Plaintiff is required to comply with a claims statute, and
- a. has complied with applicable claims statutes, or
 b. is excused from complying because (specify):

SHORT TITLE: Grant v. ReLeaf	CASE NUMBER:
---------------------------------	--------------

10. The following causes of action are attached and the statements above apply to each (each complaint must have one or more causes of action attached):

- a. Motor Vehicle
- b. General Negligence
- c. Intentional Tort
- d. Products Liability
- e. Premises Liability
- f. Other (specify):

11. Plaintiff has suffered

- a. wage loss
- b. loss of use of property
- c. hospital and medical expenses
- d. general damage
- e. property damage
- f. loss of earning capacity
- g. other damage (specify):

12. The damages claimed for wrongful death and the relationships of plaintiff to the deceased are

- a. listed in Attachment 12.
- b. as follows:

13. The relief sought in this complaint is within the jurisdiction of this court.

First Cause - General Negligence

14. Plaintiff prays for judgment for costs of suit; for such relief as is fair, just, and equitable; and for

- a. (1) compensatory damages
- (2) punitive damages

The amount of damages is (in cases for personal injury or wrongful death, you must check (1)):

- (1) according to proof
- (2) in the amount of: \$

15. The paragraphs of this complaint alleged on information and belief are as follows (specify paragraph numbers):

Date: 06/07/2018

Adante D. Pointer, Esq.

(TYPE OR PRINT NAME)



(SIGNATURE OF PLAINTIFF OR ATTORNEY)

SHORT TITLE: Grant v. ReLeaf	CASE NUMBER:
---------------------------------	--------------

First _____ **CAUSE OF ACTION—General Negligence** Page 4
(number)

ATTACHMENT TO Complaint Cross - Complaint

(Use a separate cause of action form for each cause of action.)

GN-1. Plaintiff (name): Christopher Grant

alleges that defendant (name): ReLeaf Herbal Cooperative, Inc.

Does 1 to 10


was the legal (proximate) cause of damages to plaintiff. By the following acts or omissions to act, defendant negligently caused the damage to plaintiff

on (date): April 20, 2016

at (place): Mission Street; 116 feet west of Laskie Street, San Francisco, CA

(description of reasons for liability):

Tony Aumoeualogo was working for ReLeaf Herbal Cooperative, Inc. on April 20, 2016. Mr. Aumoeualogo enlisted the help of Mr. Grant to load items into his Dodge Ram for an event that ReLeaf was having. Mr. Aumoeualogo was illegally stopped/parked on Mission Street in front of the ReLeaf Club and was blocking a lane of traffic. Mr. Grant was loading the truck with another person while Mr. Aumoeualogo was sitting inside of the truck. ReLeaf failed to utilize proper loading procedures to ensure that Mr. Grant and others would be safe while loading and unloading trucks. The strobe light on Mr. Aumoeualogo's truck and the cones were not adequate and thereby led to Mr. Grant's leg being severed when another vehicle collided into Mr. Grant.

ATTORNEY OR PARTY WITHOUT ATTORNEY (Name, State Bar number, and address): Adante D. Pointer, Esq. SBN 236229 Law Offices of John L. Burris 7677 Oakport Street, Suite 1120 Oakland, CA 94621 TELEPHONE NO.: 510.839.5200 FAX NO.: 510.839.3889 ATTORNEY FOR (Name): Christopher Grant		FOR COURT USE ONLY FILED Superior Court of California County of San Francisco JUN 08 2018 CLERK OF THE COURT BY:  BOWMAN Deputy Clerk
SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF San Francisco STREET ADDRESS: 400 McAllister Street MAILING ADDRESS: 400 McAllister Street CITY AND ZIP CODE: San Francisco 94102 BRANCH NAME: Civic Center Court		CASE NUMBER: CGC=18-567077 JUDGE: DEPT:
CASE NAME: Grant v. ReLeaf Herbal Cooperative, Inc.		
CIVIL CASE COVER SHEET <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Unlimited (Amount demanded exceeds \$25,000)	<input type="checkbox"/> Limited (Amount demanded is \$25,000 or less)	Complex Case Designation <input type="checkbox"/> Counter <input type="checkbox"/> Joinder Filed with first appearance by defendant (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 3.402)

Items 1-6 below must be completed (see instructions on page 2).

1. Check one box below for the case type that best describes this case:

Auto Tort <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Auto (22) <input type="checkbox"/> Uninsured motorist (46)	Contract <input type="checkbox"/> Breach of contract/warranty (06) <input type="checkbox"/> Rule 3.740 collections (09) <input type="checkbox"/> Other collections (09) <input type="checkbox"/> Insurance coverage (18) <input type="checkbox"/> Other contract (37)	Provisionally Complex Civil Litigation (Cal. Rules of Court, rules 3.400-3.403) <input type="checkbox"/> Antitrust/Trade regulation (03) <input type="checkbox"/> Construction defect (10) <input type="checkbox"/> Mass tort (40) <input type="checkbox"/> Securities litigation (28) <input type="checkbox"/> Environmental/Toxic tort (30) <input type="checkbox"/> Insurance coverage claims arising from the above listed provisionally complex case types (41)
Other P/IPD/WD (Personal Injury/Property Damage/Wrongful Death) Tort <input type="checkbox"/> Asbestos (04) <input type="checkbox"/> Product liability (24) <input type="checkbox"/> Medical malpractice (45) <input type="checkbox"/> Other P/IPD/WD (23)	Real Property <input type="checkbox"/> Eminent domain/Inverse condemnation (14) <input type="checkbox"/> Wrongful eviction (33) <input type="checkbox"/> Other real property (26)	Enforcement of Judgment <input type="checkbox"/> Enforcement of judgment (20)
Non-P/IPD/WD (Other) Tort <input type="checkbox"/> Business tort/unfair business practice (07) <input type="checkbox"/> Civil rights (08) <input type="checkbox"/> Defamation (13) <input type="checkbox"/> Fraud (16) <input type="checkbox"/> Intellectual property (19) <input type="checkbox"/> Professional negligence (25) <input type="checkbox"/> Other non-P/IPD/WD tort (35)	Unlawful Detainer <input type="checkbox"/> Commercial (31) <input type="checkbox"/> Residential (32) <input type="checkbox"/> Drugs (38)	Miscellaneous Civil Complaint <input type="checkbox"/> RICO (27) <input type="checkbox"/> Other complaint (not specified above) (42)
Employment <input type="checkbox"/> Wrongful termination (36) <input type="checkbox"/> Other employment (15)	Judicial Review <input type="checkbox"/> Asset forfeiture (05) <input type="checkbox"/> Petition re: arbitration award (11) <input type="checkbox"/> Writ of mandate (02) <input type="checkbox"/> Other judicial review (39)	Miscellaneous Civil Petition <input type="checkbox"/> Partnership and corporate governance (21) <input type="checkbox"/> Other petition (not specified above) (43)

2. This case is is not complex under rule 3.400 of the California Rules of Court. If the case is complex, mark the factors requiring exceptional judicial management:
- | | |
|--|--|
| a. <input type="checkbox"/> Large number of separately represented parties | d. <input type="checkbox"/> Large number of witnesses |
| b. <input type="checkbox"/> Extensive motion practice raising difficult or novel issues that will be time-consuming to resolve | e. <input type="checkbox"/> Coordination with related actions pending in one or more courts in other counties, states, or countries, or in a federal court |
| c. <input type="checkbox"/> Substantial amount of documentary evidence | f. <input type="checkbox"/> Substantial postjudgment judicial supervision |
3. Remedies sought (check all that apply): a. monetary b. nonmonetary; declaratory or injunctive relief c. punitive
4. Number of causes of action (specify): **One**
5. This case is is not a class action suit.
6. If there are any known related cases, file and serve a notice of related case. (You may use form CM-015.)

Date: 06/07/2018
 Adante D. Pointer, Esq. 
 (TYPE OR PRINT NAME) (SIGNATURE OF PARTY OR ATTORNEY FOR PARTY)

NOTICE

- Plaintiff must file this cover sheet with the first paper filed in the action or proceeding (except small claims cases or cases filed under the Probate Code, Family Code, or Welfare and Institutions Code). (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 3.220.) Failure to file may result in sanctions.
- File this cover sheet in addition to any cover sheet required by local court rule.
- If this case is complex under rule 3.400 et seq. of the California Rules of Court, you must serve a copy of this cover sheet on all other parties to the action or proceeding.
- Unless this is a collections case under rule 3.740 or a complex case, this cover sheet will be used for statistical purposes only.

INSTRUCTIONS ON HOW TO COMPLETE THE COVER SHEET

To Plaintiffs and Others Filing First Papers. If you are filing a first paper (for example, a complaint) in a civil case, you must complete and file, along with your first paper, the *Civil Case Cover Sheet* contained on page 1. This information will be used to compile statistics about the types and numbers of cases filed. You must complete items 1 through 6 on the sheet. In item 1, you must check **one** box for the case type that best describes the case. If the case fits both a general and a more specific type of case listed in item 1, check the more specific one. If the case has multiple causes of action, check the box that best indicates the **primary** cause of action. To assist you in completing the sheet, examples of the cases that belong under each case type in item 1 are provided below. A cover sheet must be filed only with your initial paper. Failure to file a cover sheet with the first paper filed in a civil case may subject a party, its counsel, or both to sanctions under rules 2.30 and 3.220 of the California Rules of Court.

To Parties in Rule 3.740 Collections Cases. A "collections case" under rule 3.740 is defined as an action for recovery of money owed in a sum stated to be certain that is not more than \$25,000, exclusive of interest and attorney's fees, arising from a transaction in which property, services, or money was acquired on credit. A collections case does not include an action seeking the following: (1) tort damages, (2) punitive damages, (3) recovery of real property, (4) recovery of personal property, or (5) a prejudgment writ of attachment. The identification of a case as a rule 3.740 collections case on this form means that it will be exempt from the general time-for-service requirements and case management rules, unless a defendant files a responsive pleading. A rule 3.740 collections case will be subject to the requirements for service and obtaining a judgment in rule 3.740.

To Parties in Complex Cases. In complex cases only, parties must also use the *Civil Case Cover Sheet* to designate whether the case is complex. If a plaintiff believes the case is complex under rule 3.400 of the California Rules of Court, this must be indicated by completing the appropriate boxes in items 1 and 2. If a plaintiff designates a case as complex, the cover sheet must be served with the complaint on all parties to the action. A defendant may file and serve no later than the time of its first appearance a joinder in the plaintiff's designation, a counter-designation that the case is not complex, or, if the plaintiff has made no designation, a designation that the case is complex.

CASE TYPES AND EXAMPLES

<p>Auto Tort Auto (22)—Personal Injury/Property Damage/Wrongful Death Uninsured Motorist (46) (<i>if the case involves an uninsured motorist claim subject to arbitration, check this item instead of Auto</i>)</p> <p>Other PI/PD/WD (Personal Injury/Property Damage/Wrongful Death) Tort Asbestos (04) Asbestos Property Damage Asbestos Personal Injury/Wrongful Death Product Liability (<i>not asbestos or toxic/environmental</i>) (24) Medical Malpractice (45) Medical Malpractice—Physicians & Surgeons Other Professional Health Care Malpractice Other PI/PD/WD (23) Premises Liability (e.g., slip and fall) Intentional Bodily Injury/PD/WD (e.g., assault, vandalism) Intentional Infliction of Emotional Distress Negligent Infliction of Emotional Distress Other PI/PD/WD</p> <p>Non-PI/PD/WD (Other) Tort Business Tort/Unfair Business Practice (07) Civil Rights (e.g., discrimination, false arrest) (<i>not civil harassment</i>) (08) Defamation (e.g., slander, libel) (13) Fraud (16) Intellectual Property (19) Professional Negligence (25) Legal Malpractice Other Professional Malpractice (<i>not medical or legal</i>) Other Non-PI/PD/WD Tort (35)</p> <p>Employment Wrongful Termination (36) Other Employment (15)</p>	<p>Contract Breach of Contract/Warranty (06) Breach of Rental/Lease Contract (<i>not unlawful detainer or wrongful eviction</i>) Contract/Warranty Breach—Seller Plaintiff (<i>not fraud or negligence</i>) Negligent Breach of Contract/Warranty Other Breach of Contract/Warranty Collections (e.g., money owed, open book accounts) (09) Collection Case—Seller Plaintiff Other Promissory Note/Collections Case Insurance Coverage (<i>not provisionally complex</i>) (18) Auto Subrogation Other Coverage Other Contract (37) Contractual Fraud Other Contract Dispute</p> <p>Real Property Eminent Domain/Inverse Condemnation (14) Wrongful Eviction (33) Other Real Property (e.g., quiet title) (26) Writ of Possession of Real Property Mortgage Foreclosure Quiet Title Other Real Property (<i>not eminent domain, landlord/tenant, or foreclosure</i>)</p> <p>Unlawful Detainer Commercial (31) Residential (32) Drugs (38) (<i>if the case involves illegal drugs, check this item; otherwise, report as Commercial or Residential</i>)</p> <p>Judicial Review Asset Forfeiture (05) Petition Re: Arbitration Award (11) Writ of Mandate (02) Writ—Administrative Mandamus Writ—Mandamus on Limited Court Case Matter Writ—Other Limited Court Case Review Other Judicial Review (39) Review of Health Officer Order Notice of Appeal—Labor Commissioner Appeals</p>	<p>Provisionally Complex Civil Litigation (Cal. Rules of Court Rules 3.400–3.403) Antitrust/Trade Regulation (03) Construction Defect (10) Claims Involving Mass Tort (40) Securities Litigation (28) Environmental/Toxic Tort (30) Insurance Coverage Claims (<i>arising from provisionally complex case type listed above</i>) (41)</p> <p>Enforcement of Judgment Enforcement of Judgment (20) Abstract of Judgment (Out of County) Confession of Judgment (<i>non-domestic relations</i>) Sister State Judgment Administrative Agency Award (<i>not unpaid taxes</i>) Petition/Certification of Entry of Judgment on Unpaid Taxes Other Enforcement of Judgment Case</p> <p>Miscellaneous Civil Complaint RICO (27) Other Complaint (<i>not specified above</i>) (42) Declaratory Relief Only Injunctive Relief Only (<i>non-harassment</i>) Mechanics Lien Other Commercial Complaint Case (<i>non-tort/non-complex</i>) Other Civil Complaint (<i>non-tort/non-complex</i>)</p> <p>Miscellaneous Civil Petition Partnership and Corporate Governance (21) Other Petition (<i>not specified above</i>) (43) Civil Harassment Workplace Violence Elder/Dependent Adult Abuse Election Contest Petition for Name Change Petition for Relief From Late Claim Other Civil Petition</p>
---	---	--

From: [Ed Brown](#)
To: [David Goldman](#); [BOS Legislation. \(BOS\)](#)
Cc: [Heidi Hanley](#); [Kenneth Koehn](#); [Bram Goodwin](#); [Michael Bostarr](#); [Keith Baraka](#)
Subject: Re: SUPPORT for the cannabis dispensary applicant 5801 Mission Street
Date: Sunday, July 25, 2021 6:57:49 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

FYI - to bos.legislation@sfgov.org

On Tue, Jul 20, 2021 at 12:32 PM David Goldman <brownie.marysf@gmail.com> wrote:

Dear Supervisors:

On behalf of the membership of the San Francisco Chapter of the Brownie Mary Democratic Club, we are writing to you today to urge your support for the cannabis dispensary applicant 5801 Mission Street.

We have known the principals Heidi Hanley and Ed Brown for many years now. We met Heidi many years ago when she ran Releaf Herbal Center, a cannabis dispensary on Mission Street, between 8th and 9th Streets. Releaf Herbal Center was an exemplar. It was a top notch dispensary, dedicated to the highest standards of professionalism. It was regrettable that RHC had to close due to an eviction which was not their fault.

We have attached the Planning Commission's support for this applicant. Their report answers the concerns of the folks opposing this dispensary. Their objections to this dispensary are clearly without merit, as the Commission clearly states in their report.

5801 Mission Street will be a credit to the Outer Mission neighborhood. We urge you to support their application.

Thank you.

<https://sfgov.legistar.com/View.ashx?M=F&ID=9627314&GUID=A2E03FC1-907B-4209-9541-A3E464673C02>

Sincerely,

David Goldman
President, San Francisco Chapter
Kenneth Michael Koehn
Secretary, San Francisco Chapter
Brownie Mary Democratic Club
Brownie.MarySF@gmail.com

www.BrownieMaryDemClub.com

Instagram: @bmsf415

m: 415-728-7631

From: [Wong, Jocelyn \(BOS\)](#)
To: [BOS Legislation, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: FW: Support land use approved for 5801 Mission / appeal has zero legal standing
Date: Friday, July 23, 2021 5:03:55 PM

From: Axis of Love SF, Shona Gochenaur <axisoflovesf@gmail.com>

Sent: Friday, July 23, 2021 5:01 PM

To: Cityattorney <Cityattorney@sfcityatty.org>; Starr, Aaron (CPC) <aaron.starr@sfgov.org>; Sider, Dan (CPC) <dan.sider@sfgov.org>; PEARSON, ANNE (CAT) <Anne.Pearson@sfcityatty.org>; STACY, KATE (CAT) <Kate.Stacy@sfcityatty.org>; Angela.cavillo@sfgov.org; Wong, Jocelyn (BOS) <jocelyn.wong@sfgov.org>; bos-legislative-aides@sfgov.org; Peskin, Aaron (BOS) <aaron.peskin@sfgov.org>; asha.safai@sfgov.org; Haney, Matt (BOS) <matt.haney@sfgov.org>; Ronen, Hillary <hillary.ronen@sfgov.org>; Fewer, Sandra (BOS) <sandra.fewer@sfgov.org>; Larry.Kessler@sfgov.org; Law, Ray (ADM) <ray.law@sfgov.org>; Balba, Ryan (CPC) <ryan.balba@sfgov.org>; Breed, London (MYR) <london.breed@sfgov.org>

Cc: Nina Parks <ninaparksconsulting@gmail.com>; Menaka Mahajan <Menaka@mahajanconsulting.com>; Omar Figueroa <omar@omarfigueroa.com>; Lauren Mendelsohn <lauren@omarfigueroa.com>; Vanguard Media <Info@vanguardmediaonline.com>; Jennifer Nicoletto <nicolettolaw@gmail.com>; Dr. Leah <drleah@alchemistcannabisconsulting.com>; Melissa Burgstahler <melissa@dearcannabis.org>; van nguyen <vanprocity@gmail.com>; Greg <ledbetter445@gmail.com>; Ed Brown <5801missionstreet@gmail.com>; Brendan Hallinan <brendan@hallinan-law.com>; John Schroyer <johns@mjbizdaily.com>; Joshua Sabatini <jsabatini@sfoxaminer.com>; Chris Roberts <c.hall.roberts@gmail.com>; Matthew Bajko <m.bajko@ebar.com>; Preston, Dean (BOS) <dean.preston@sfgov.org>

Subject: Support land use approved for 5801 Mission / appeal has zero legal standing

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Greetings,

I strongly urge the city of San Francisco to uphold the conditional use permit granted by the planning Commission to 5801 Mission street /Releaf .

First and foremost the three listed grounds for appeal? Are invalid.

1) clustering

There is no law regarding anti clustering .

2) not properly noticed for monolingual neighbors. This is a falsehood. The city has a office of cannabis , which alongside other city departments prepared notices in four languages.

3) no outreach? This again is a falsehood, and can be proven as a falsehood due recorded zoom meetings with neighborhood stakeholders.

I feel that when two of three stated reasons for appeal are blatant falsehood?

This is in bad faith.

And the third is citing laws which do NOT exist?

And the noise regarding harm to children and bringing in crime?

This is a established cannabis business with a excellent track record.

My organization was the next door neighbor to ReLeaf for nearly a decade.

I can , personally bare witness, that this small locally run cannabis business is a neighborhood asset.

This is a woman of color/latina owned cannabis club relocating to the neighborhood she was born and raised in.

This is exactly what equity laws seek to support.

And most importantly to me? This club is compassionate care focused and has served local patients and consumers over a decade, this is club? Is big part of cannabis legacy of San Francisco.

Please uphold the conditional land use permit granted by the planning Commission.

In Solidarity!

Shona L. Gochenaur

From: [Jones, Ernest \(BOS\)](#)
To: [Gee, Natalie \(BOS\)](#)
Cc: [Somera, Alisa \(BOS\)](#); [BOS Legislation, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: FW: Appeal of 5801 Mission Street
Date: Monday, July 26, 2021 3:09:03 PM

Please see the below acceptance of continuance date from the appellant.

Best,

Ernest “EJ” Jones (he/him) | Legislative Aide
Office of District 11 **Supervisor Ahsha Safai**
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Pl, San Francisco | Room 256
Direct: 415-554-7897 | **Office:** 415-554-6975

From: Roderick Gittens <rgittens@sbcglobal.net>
Sent: Monday, July 26, 2021 2:04 PM
To: Jones, Ernest (BOS) <ernest.e.jones@sfgov.org>
Subject: Appeal of 5801 Mission Street

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Good Afternoon,

This is Pastor Roderick Gittens from San Francisco Christian Center. I understand that the continuance for the appeal of 5801 Mission Street, will be moved to September 21, 2021. I will be available on that day. Please send out a mass email of this change as many other people have been preparing for this day. Please keep us updated at this email.

Thank You,

Rev. Roderick V. Gittens
Senior Pastor
San Francisco Christian Center
5845 Mission Street
San Francisco, Ca 94112

From: [Jones, Ernest \(BOS\)](#)
To: [Gee, Natalie \(BOS\)](#); [BOS Legislation, \(BOS\)](#)
Cc: [Somera, Alisa \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: FW: Continuance of Appeal - 5801 Mission
Date: Monday, July 26, 2021 1:39:27 PM

Please see the below written communication from the operator for continuance.

Best,

Ernest “EJ” Jones (he/him) | Legislative Aide
Office of District 11 **Supervisor Ahsha Safai**
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Pl, San Francisco | Room 256
Direct: 415-554-7897 | **Office:** 415-554-6975

From: Heidi Hanley <heidihanley@yahoo.com>
Sent: Monday, July 26, 2021 12:08 PM
To: Jones, Ernest (BOS) <ernest.e.jones@sfgov.org>
Subject: Re: Continuance of Appeal - 5801 Mission

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Hi EJ, with deep sadness and regret that this matter is being dragged on, and the fact that I don't have a choice in the matter, I will make myself available on 9/21/2021 unless there is an earlier time available after recess?

Best,

Heidi

[Sent from Yahoo Mail for iPhone](#)

On Monday, July 26, 2021, 10:57 AM, Jones, Ernest (BOS) <ernest.e.jones@sfgov.org> wrote:

Hi Heidi,

The Board is looking to continue your appeal to 9/21/2021. I am writing to confirm that that date works for you. Please confirm in writing and I will share with the clerk so it can be scheduled.

Best,

Ernest “EJ” Jones (he/him) | Legislative Aide

Office of District 11 **Supervisor Ahsha Safai**

1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Pl, San Francisco | Room 256

Direct: 415-554-7897 | **Office:** 415-554-6975

From: [BOS Legislation, \(BOS\)](#)
To: [BOS Legislation, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: FW: Appeal of Conditional Use Authorization - Proposed 5801 Mission Street Project - Appeal Hearing July 27, 2021
Date: Friday, July 16, 2021 9:19:56 AM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

From: BOS Legislation, (BOS)

Sent: Friday, July 16, 2021 9:15 AM

To: 'rgittens@sfchristiancenter.org' <rgittens@sfchristiancenter.org>; 'ed.mat.brown@gmail.com' <ed.mat.brown@gmail.com>; 'heidihanley@yahoo.com' <heidihanley@yahoo.com>; '5801missionstreet@gmail.com' <5801missionstreet@gmail.com>

Cc: PEARSON, ANNE (CAT) <Anne.Pearson@sfcityatty.org>; STACY, KATE (CAT) <Kate.Stacy@sfcityatty.org>; JENSEN, KRISTEN (CAT) <Kristen.Jensen@sfcityatty.org>; Ryan, James (DPW) <james.ryan@sfdpw.org>; Tse, Bernie (DPW) <bernie.tse@sfdpw.org>; Duran, Vanessa (DPW) <vanessa.duran@sfdpw.org>; Wong, Jason (DPW) <jason.c.wong1@sfdpw.org>; Gibson, Lisa (CPC) <lisa.gibson@sfgov.org>; Jain, Devyani (CPC) <devyani.jain@sfgov.org>; Varat, Adam (CPC) <adam.varat@sfgov.org>; Navarrete, Joy (CPC) <joy.navarrete@sfgov.org>; Lynch, Laura (CPC) <laura.lynch@sfgov.org>; Teague, Corey (CPC) <corey.teague@sfgov.org>; Sanchez, Scott (CPC) <scott.sanchez@sfgov.org>; Sider, Dan (CPC) <dan.sider@sfgov.org>; Starr, Aaron (CPC) <aaron.starr@sfgov.org>; Ionin, Jonas (CPC) <jonas.ionin@sfgov.org>; Balba, Ryan (CPC) <ryan.balba@sfgov.org>; Rosenberg, Julie (BOA) <julie.rosenberg@sfgov.org>; Longaway, Alec (BOA) <alec.longaway@sfgov.org>; BOS-Supervisors <bos-supervisors@sfgov.org>; BOS-Legislative Aides <bos-legislative_aides@sfgov.org>; Calvillo, Angela (BOS) <angela.calvillo@sfgov.org>; Somera, Alisa (BOS) <alisa.somera@sfgov.org>; Mchugh, Eileen (BOS) <eileen.e.mchugh@sfgov.org>

Subject: Appeal of Conditional Use Authorization - Proposed 5801 Mission Street Project - Appeal Hearing July 27, 2021

Greetings,

The Office of the Clerk of the Board has scheduled for a remote hearing Special Order before the Board of Supervisors on **July 27, 2021**, at 3:00 p.m. Please find linked below an appeal letter regarding the proposed 5801 Mission Street project, as well as direct links to the Public Work's determination letter, and an informational letter from the Clerk of the Board.

[Appeal Letter - July 12, 2021](#)

[Public Work's Letter - July 15, 2021](#)

[Clerk of the Board Letter - July 15, 2021](#)

Please find the following link to the hearing notice for this matter.

[Public Hearing Notice - July 16, 2021](#)

I invite you to review the entire matters on our [Legislative Research Center](#) by following the link

below:

[Board of Supervisors File No. 210801](#)

Best regards,

Lisa Lew

San Francisco Board of Supervisors
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
T 415-554-7718 | F 415-554-5163
lisa.lew@sfgov.org | www.sfbos.org

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a “virtual” meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation, and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors' website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

BOARD of SUPERVISORS



City Hall
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102-4689
Tel. No. (415) 554-5184
Fax No. (415) 554-5163
TDD/TTY No. (415) 554-5227

NOTICE OF PUBLIC HEARING

BOARD OF SUPERVISORS OF THE CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO
Sent via Email and/or U.S. Postal Service

NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN THAT the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco will hold a remote public hearing to consider the following appeal and said public hearing will be held as follows, at which time all interested parties may attend and be heard:

Date: Tuesday, July 27, 2021

Time: 3:00 p.m.

Location: REMOTE MEETING VIA VIDEOCONFERENCE

Watch: www.sfgovtv.org

Watch: SF Cable Channel 26, 78 or 99 (*depending on your provider*) once the meeting starts, the telephone number and Meeting ID will be displayed on the screen.

Public Comment Call-In: <https://sfbos.org/remote-meeting-call>

Subject: **File No. 210801.** Hearing of persons interested in or objecting to the approval of a Conditional Use Authorization pursuant to Sections 202.2, 303, and 720 of the Planning Code, for a proposed project at 5801 Mission Street, Assessor's Parcel Block No. 64725, Lot No. 001, identified in Planning Case No. 2020-007152CUA, issued by the Planning Commission by Motion No. 20933, dated June 10, 2021, to allow cannabis retail use measuring approximately 2,055 square feet, with no on-site smoking or vaporizing of cannabis products, on the first floor of an existing two-story mixed use building within the Excelsior Outer Mission Street Neighborhood Commercial Zoning District, the Fringe Financial Services Restricted Use District, and a 40-X Height and Bulk District. (District 11) (Appellant: Pastor Roderick Gittens, on behalf of the San Francisco Christian Center) (Filed July 12, 2021)

On March 17, 2020, the Board of Supervisors (Board) authorized their Board and Committee meetings to convene remotely and allow remote public comment via teleconference. Effective June 29, 2021, the Board and staff began to reconvene for in-person Board proceedings. Committee meetings will continue to convene remotely until further notice. Visit the SFGovTV website at (www.sfgovtv.org) to stream the live meetings, or to watch meetings on demand.

PUBLIC COMMENT CALL-IN

WATCH: SF Cable Channel 26, 78 or 99 (*depending on your provider*) once the meeting starts, the telephone number and Meeting ID will be displayed on the screen; or

VISIT: <https://sfbos.org/remote-meeting-call>

In accordance with Administrative Code, Section 67.7-1, persons who are unable to attend the hearing on this matter may submit written comments prior to the time the hearing begins. These comments will be made as part of the official public record in this matter and shall be brought to the attention of the Board of Supervisors. Written comments should be addressed to Angela Calvillo, Clerk of the Board, City Hall, 1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244, San Francisco, CA, 94102 or sent via email (board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org). Information relating to this matter is available in the Office of the Clerk of the Board or the Board of Supervisors' Legislative Research Center (<https://sfbos.org/legislative-research-center-lrc>). Agenda information relating to this matter will be available for public review on Friday, July 23, 2021.

For any questions about this hearing, please contact one of the Legislative Clerks:

Lisa Lew (lisa.lew@sfgov.org ~ (415) 554-7718)

Jocelyn Wong (jocelyn.wong@sfgov.org ~ (415) 554-7702)

Please Note: *The Department is open for business, but employees are working from home. Please allow 48 hours for us to return your call or email.*



Angela Calvillo
Clerk of the Board of Supervisors
City and County of San Francisco

ll:jw:ams

BOARD of SUPERVISORS



City Hall
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244
San Francisco 94102-4689
Tel. No. (415) 554-5184
Fax No. (415) 554-5163
TDD/TTY No. (415) 554-5227

PROOF OF MAILING

Legislative File No. 210801

Description of Items: Hearing - Appeal of Conditional Use Authorization Approval - 5801 Mission Street - 257 Notices Mailed.


I, John Bullock, an employee of the City and County of San Francisco, mailed the above described document(s) by depositing the sealed items with the United States Postal Service (USPS) with the postage fully prepaid as follows:

Date: July 16, 2021

Time: 0935

USPS Location: Repro Pick-up Box in Building Management's Office (Rm 8)

Mailbox/Mailslot Pick-Up Times (if applicable): N/A

Signature: 

Instructions: Upon completion, original must be filed in the above referenced file.

256 Mailings and Letter Memo/Notice to Appellant

From: [BOS Legislation. \(BOS\)](#)
To: [Ko, Yvonne \(CPC\)](#); [Yeung, Tony \(CPC\)](#)
Cc: [BOS-Operations](#); [BOS Legislation. \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: APPEAL FILING FEE PICKUP: Appeal of Conditional Use Authorization - Proposed 5801 Mission Street Project - Appeal Hearing July 27, 2021
Date: Friday, July 16, 2021 10:05:17 AM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)
[Fee Waiver App.pdf](#)
[Appeal Check Pickup.doc](#)

Hi Yvonne and Tony,

The check for the appeal filing fee for the Conditional Use Authorization appeal of the proposed 5801 Mission Street project, is ready to be picked up at the Clerk's Office, Monday through Friday from 8:00 a.m. to 5:00 p.m. A fee waiver was filed with this project as attached.

Ops,

Check No. 010 should be in your possession currently. Please have Planning sign the attached pick up form and scan it to leg clerks when completed.

Thank you.

Lisa Lew
San Francisco Board of Supervisors
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
T 415-554-7718 | F 415-554-5163
lisa.lew@sfgov.org | www.sfbos.org

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a "virtual" meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation, and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors' website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: BOS Legislation, (BOS) <bos.legislation@sfgov.org>
Sent: Friday, July 16, 2021 9:20 AM
To: BOS Legislation, (BOS) <bos.legislation@sfgov.org>
Subject: FW: Appeal of Conditional Use Authorization - Proposed 5801 Mission Street Project - Appeal Hearing July 27, 2021

From: BOS Legislation, (BOS)

Sent: Friday, July 16, 2021 9:15 AM

To: 'rgittens@sfchristiancenter.org' <rgittens@sfchristiancenter.org>; 'ed.mat.brown@gmail.com' <ed.mat.brown@gmail.com>; 'heidihanley@yahoo.com' <heidihanley@yahoo.com>; '5801missionstreet@gmail.com' <5801missionstreet@gmail.com>

Cc: PEARSON, ANNE (CAT) <Anne.Pearson@sfcityatty.org>; STACY, KATE (CAT) <Kate.Stacy@sfcityatty.org>; JENSEN, KRISTEN (CAT) <Kristen.Jensen@sfcityatty.org>; Ryan, James (DPW) <james.ryan@sfdpw.org>; Tse, Bernie (DPW) <bernie.tse@sfdpw.org>; Duran, Vanessa (DPW) <vanessa.duran@sfdpw.org>; Wong, Jason (DPW) <jason.c.wong1@sfdpw.org>; Gibson, Lisa (CPC) <lisa.gibson@sfgov.org>; Jain, Devyani (CPC) <devyani.jain@sfgov.org>; Varat, Adam (CPC) <adam.varat@sfgov.org>; Navarrete, Joy (CPC) <joy.navarrete@sfgov.org>; Lynch, Laura (CPC) <laura.lynch@sfgov.org>; Teague, Corey (CPC) <corey.teague@sfgov.org>; Sanchez, Scott (CPC) <scott.sanchez@sfgov.org>; Sider, Dan (CPC) <dan.sider@sfgov.org>; Starr, Aaron (CPC) <aaron.starr@sfgov.org>; Ionin, Jonas (CPC) <jonas.ionin@sfgov.org>; Balba, Ryan (CPC) <ryan.balba@sfgov.org>; Rosenberg, Julie (BOA) <julie.rosenberg@sfgov.org>; Longaway, Alec (BOA) <alec.longaway@sfgov.org>; BOS-Supervisors <bos-supervisors@sfgov.org>; BOS-Legislative Aides <bos-legislative_aides@sfgov.org>; Calvillo, Angela (BOS) <angela.calvillo@sfgov.org>; Somera, Alisa (BOS) <alisa.somera@sfgov.org>; Mchugh, Eileen (BOS) <eileen.e.mchugh@sfgov.org>

Subject: Appeal of Conditional Use Authorization - Proposed 5801 Mission Street Project - Appeal Hearing July 27, 2021

Greetings,

The Office of the Clerk of the Board has scheduled for a remote hearing Special Order before the Board of Supervisors on **July 27, 2021**, at 3:00 p.m. Please find linked below an appeal letter regarding the proposed 5801 Mission Street project, as well as direct links to the Public Work's determination letter, and an informational letter from the Clerk of the Board.

[Appeal Letter - July 12, 2021](#)

[Public Work's Letter - July 15, 2021](#)

[Clerk of the Board Letter - July 15, 2021](#)

Please find the following link to the hearing notice for this matter.

[Public Hearing Notice - July 16, 2021](#)

I invite you to review the entire matters on our [Legislative Research Center](#) by following the link below:

[Board of Supervisors File No. 210801](#)

Best regards,

Lisa Lew
San Francisco Board of Supervisors
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
T 415-554-7718 | F 415-554-5163
lisa.lew@sfgov.org | www.sfbos.org

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a “virtual” meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation, and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors' website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

BOARD of SUPERVISORS



City Hall
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244
San Francisco 94102-4689
Tel. No. (415) 554-5184
Fax No. (415) 554-5163
TDD/TTY No. (415) 554-5227

July 16, 2021

File Nos. 210801-210804

Planning Case No. 2020-007152CUA

Received from the Board of Supervisors Clerk's Office one check, in the amount of Six Hundred Sixty Five Dollars (\$665), representing the filing fee paid by the Good Rural for the appeal of the Conditional Use Authorization for the proposed 5801 Mission Street project:

Planning Department

By:

Tony Yeung
Print Name

[Signature] 7/16/21
Signature and Date

BOARD of SUPERVISORS



City Hall
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244
San Francisco 94102-4689
Tel. No. (415) 554-5184
Fax No. (415) 554-5163
TDD/TTY No. (415) 544-5227

July 15, 2021

Pastor Roderick Gittens
San Francisco Christian Center
5845 Mission Street
San Francisco, CA 94112

**Subject: File No. 210801 - Appeal of Conditional Use Authorization
5801 Mission Street Project**

Dear Pastor Gittens:

The appeal filing period for the Conditional Use approval for the proposed project at 5801 Mission Street closed on July 12, 2021.

The City and County Surveyor has informed the Board of Supervisors in a letter received July 15, 2021 (copy attached), that the signatures represented with your appeal filing on July 12, 2021, have been checked pursuant to the Planning Code, and represent owners of more than 20% of the property involved and are sufficient for an appeal.

Pursuant to Planning Code, Section 308.1, a remote hearing date has been scheduled for **Tuesday, July 27, 2021, at 3:00 p.m.**, at the Board of Supervisors meeting.

The Planning Department has provided a list of interested parties with mailing and email contact information to individuals and organizations who will be receiving a copy of the public hearing notice. If you have additional names and addresses of interested parties to be notified of the hearing, kindly provide your list as soon as possible.

If there is supporting documentation you wish to include for the hearing, please email an electronic copy by 12:00 noon on Thursday, July 22, 2021, to bos.legislation@sfgov.org. Any materials received after this date may not be a part of the meeting packet materials, but will still be distributed to all parties and included as part of the official legislative file.

If you have any questions, please feel free to contact Legislative Clerks, Lisa Lew, at (415) 554-7718, Jocelyn Wong, at (415) 554-7702, or Brent Jalipa, at (415) 554-7712.

Very truly yours,



Angela Calvillo
Clerk of the Board

ll:jw:ams

- c: Anne Pearson, Deputy City Attorney
- Kate Stacy, Deputy City Attorney
- Kristen Jensen, Deputy City Attorney
- James Ryan, Acting City and County Surveyor, Public Works
- Bernie Tse, Bureau of Street Use and Mapping, Public Works
- Vanessa Duran, Bureau of Street Use and Mapping, Public Works
- Jason Wong, Bureau of Street Use and Mapping, Public Works
- Lisa Gibson, Environmental Review Officer, Planning Department
- Devyani Jain, Deputy Environmental Review Officer, Planning Department
- Adam Varat, Acting Director of Citywide Planning, Planning Department
- Joy Navarrete, Environmental Planning, Planning Department
- Laura Lynch, Environmental Planning, Planning Department
- Corey Teague, Zoning Administrator, Planning Department
- Scott Sanchez, Acting Deputy Zoning Administrator, Planning Department
- Dan Sider, Director of Executive Programs, Planning Department
- Aaron Starr, Manager of Legislative Affairs, Planning Department
- Jonas Ionin, Planning Commission Secretary
- Ryan Balba, Staff Contact, Planning Department
- Julie Rosenberg, Executive Director, Board of Appeals
- Alec Longaway, Legal Process Clerk, Board of Appeals



London N. Breed
Mayor

Alaric Degrafinried
Acting Director

Nicolas Huff
Bureau of Street-Use and
Mapping

Office of the
City and County Surveyor

Street-Use and Mapping
49 South Van Ness Ave.
Suite 300
San Francisco, CA 94103
Phone: (628) 271-2000

sfpublicworks.org
facebook.com/sfpublicworks
twitter.com/sfpublicworks

July 15, 2021

Ms. Angela Calvillo
Clerk of the Board
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place
City Hall – Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102

RE: Planning Case No. 2020-007152CUA
5801 Mission Street – Conditional Use Authorization Appeal
APN 6472 LOT 001

Dear Ms. Calvillo:

This letter is in response to your July 12, 2021, request for our Department to check the sufficiency of the signatures with respect to the above referenced appeal. Please be advised that per our calculations the appellants' signatures represent 37.49% of the area, which is greater than 20% of the area involved and is therefore enough for an appeal.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in blue ink that reads "James M. Ryan".

James Ryan
Acting City & County Surveyor

From: [BOS Legislation. \(BOS\)](#)
To: [Ryan, James \(DPW\)](#)
Cc: [Tse, Bernie \(DPW\)](#); [Duran, Vanessa \(DPW\)](#); [Wong, Jason \(DPW\)](#); [PEARSON, ANNE \(CAT\)](#); [STACY, KATE \(CAT\)](#); [JENSEN, KRISTEN \(CAT\)](#); [Gibson, Lisa \(CPC\)](#); [Jain, Devyani \(CPC\)](#); [Varat, Adam \(CPC\)](#); [Navarrete, Joy \(CPC\)](#); [Lynch, Laura \(CPC\)](#); [Teague, Corey \(CPC\)](#); [Sanchez, Scott \(CPC\)](#); [Sider, Dan \(CPC\)](#); [Starr, Aaron \(CPC\)](#); [Ionin, Jonas \(CPC\)](#); [Balba, Ryan \(CPC\)](#); [Rosenberg, Julie \(BOA\)](#); [Longaway, Alec \(BOA\)](#); [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#); [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#); [Somera, Alisa \(BOS\)](#); [Mchugh, Eileen \(BOS\)](#); [BOS Legislation. \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Appeal of Conditional Use Authorization - Proposed Project at 5801 Mission Street - Verification of Signatures
Date: Monday, July 12, 2021 4:59:35 PM
Attachments: [Appeal Ltr 071221.pdf](#)
[image001.png](#)
[COB Ltr 071221 - Req Determination.pdf](#)

Dear Mr. Ryan,

The Office of the Clerk of the Board is in receipt of an appeal of the Conditional Use Authorization for the proposed project at 5801 Mission Street. The appeal was filed by Pastor Roderick Gittens, on behalf of the San Francisco Christian Center on July 12, 2021.

Please find attached the appeal filing packet with signatures, and a letter requesting verification of signatures submitted with the appeal filing.

Kindly review for verification of signatures and submit your determination no later than 5:00 p.m. on July 15, 2021. Thank you.

Kind regards,

Lisa Lew
San Francisco Board of Supervisors
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
T 415-554-7718 | F 415-554-5163
lisa.lew@sfgov.org | www.sfbos.org

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a “virtual” meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation, and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors' website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.

BOARD of SUPERVISORS



City Hall
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244
San Francisco 94102-4689
Tel. No. (415) 554-5184
Fax No. (415) 554-5163
TDD/TTY No. (415) 554-5227

July 12, 2021

James Ryan
Acting City and County Surveyor, Public Works
49 South Van Ness Avenue, Suite 300
San Francisco, CA 94103

Re: Planning Case No. 2020-007152CUA
5801 Mission Street - Conditional Use Authorization Appeal


Dear Mr. Ryan:

The Office of the Clerk of the Board is in receipt of an appeal filed by Pastor Roderick Gittens, on behalf of the San Francisco Christian Center, from the decision of the Planning Commission on June 10, 2021, relating to an approval of a Conditional Use Authorization (Case No. 2020-007152CUA) to allow a cannabis retail use with no on-site smoking or vaporizing of cannabis products, on the first floor of an existing two-story mixed-use building within the Excelsior Outer Mission Street Neighborhood Commercial Zoning District, the Fringe Financial Services Restricted Use District and a 40-X Height and Bulk District. The proposed project is located at:

5801 Mission Street, Assessor's Parcel Block No. 6472, Lot No. 001

By copy of this letter, the City and County Surveyor is requested to determine the sufficiency of the signatures in regard to the percentage of the area represented by the appellant. Please submit your determination no later than 5:00 p.m. on Thursday, July 15, 2021.

Sincerely,


Angela Calvillo
Clerk of the Board

ll:jw:ams

c: Bernie Tse, Public Works
Vanessa Duran, Public Works
Jason Wong, Public Works
Anne Pearson, Deputy City Attorney
Kate Stacy, Deputy City Attorney
Kristen Jensen, Deputy City Attorney
Lisa Gibson, Planning Department
Devyani Jain, Planning Department
Adam Varat, Planning Department

Joy Navarrete, Planning Department
Laura Lynch, Planning Department
Corey Teague, Planning Department
Scott Sanchez, Planning Department
Dan Sider, Planning Department
Aaron Starr, Planning Department
Jonas Ionin, Planning Commission
Ryan Balba, Planning Department
Julie Rosenberg, Board of Appeals
Alec Longaway, Board of Appeals

Introduction Form

By a Member of the Board of Supervisors or the Mayor

Time stamp
or meeting date

I hereby submit the following item for introduction (select only one):

- 1. For reference to Committee. (An Ordinance, Resolution, Motion, or Charter Amendment)
- 2. Request for next printed agenda Without Reference to Committee.
- 3. Request for hearing on a subject matter at Committee.
- 4. Request for letter beginning "Supervisor inquires"
- 5. City Attorney request.
- 6. Call File No. from Committee.
- 7. Budget Analyst request (attach written motion).
- 8. Substitute Legislation File No.
- 9. Reactivate File No.
- 10. Question(s) submitted for Mayoral Appearance before the BOS on

Please check the appropriate boxes. The proposed legislation should be forwarded to the following:

- Small Business Commission Youth Commission Ethics Commission
- Planning Commission Building Inspection Commission

Note: For the Imperative Agenda (a resolution not on the printed agenda), use a Imperative Form.

Sponsor(s):

Subject:

The text is listed below or attached:

Hearing of persons interested in or objecting to the approval of a Conditional Use Authorization pursuant to Sections 202.2, 303, and 720 of the Planning Code, for a proposed project at 5801 Mission Street, Assessor’s Parcel Block No. 6472, Lot No. 001, identified in Planning Case No. 2020-007152CUA, issued by the Planning Commission by Motion No. 20933, dated June 10, 2021, to allow cannabis retail use measuring approximately 2,055 square feet, with no on-site smoking or vaporizing of cannabis products, on the first floor of an existing two-story mixed use building within the Excelsior Outer Mission Street Neighborhood Commercial Zoning District, the Fringe Financial Services Restricted Use District, and a 40-X Height and Bulk District. (District 11) (Appellant: Pastor Roderick Gittens, on behalf of the San Francisco Christian Center) (Filed July 12, 2021)

Signature of Sponsoring Supervisor: _____

For Clerk's Use Only: