

1155 Market Street, 3rd Floor · San Francisco, CA 94103 sfpublicworks.org · tel 415-554-5810 · fax 415-554-6161



TENTATIVE MAP DECISION

Date: March 2, 2020

Department of City Planning 1650 Mission Street, Suite 400 San Francisco, CA 94103

Project II			
Project Type	e:8 Residential and 2	2 Commercial M	ixed Use New
	Condominiums		
Address#	StreetName	Block	Lot
3310 - 3316	MISSION ST	6635	054
Tentative Map Re	ferral		-

Attention: Mr. Corey Teague.

Please review* and respond to this referral within 30 days in accordance with the Subdivision Map Act.

(*In the course of review by City agencies, any discovered items of concern should be brought to the attention of Public Works for consideration.)

Sincerely,	
Adrian VerHagen	Digitally signed by Adrian VerHagen Date: 2020.03.02 13:28:36 -08'00'

for, Bruce R. Storrs, P.L.S. City and County Surveyor

The subject Tentative Map has been reviewed by the Planning Department and does comply with applicable provisions of the Planning Code. On balance, the Tentative Map is consistent with the General Plan and the Priority Policies of Planning Code Section 101.1 based on the attached findings. The subject referral is exempt from California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) environmental review as categorically exempt Class_____, CEQA Determination Date______, based on the attached checklist.

 \checkmark The subject Tentative Map has been reviewed by the Planning Department and does comply with applicable provisions of the Planning Code subject to the attached conditions.

The subject Tentative Map has been reviewed by the Planning Department and does not comply with applicable provisions of the Planning Code due to the following reason(s):

PLANNING DEPARTMENT			
Signed	Xinyu Liang		

Date 3/12/2020

Planner's Name Xinyu Liang for, Corey Teague, Zoning Administrator



SAN FRANCISCO PLANNING DEPARTMENT

CEQA Categorical Exemption Determination

PROPERTY INFORMATION/PROJECT DESCRIPTION

Project Address		Block/Lot(s)	
3310 MISSION ST		6635054	
Case No.		Permit No.	
2017-006615ENV			
Addition/ Alteration	Demolition (requires HRE for Category B Building)	New Construction	
Ducie et des suintieus feu	Dianaina Danasterant annuaval		

Project description for Planning Department approval.

The approximately 4,573-square-foot (sf) project site is located on a block bounded by 29th Street to the north, Mission Street to the east, 30th Street to the south, and San Jose Avenue to the west in the Bernal Heights neighborhood. The project site is currently vacant, previously containing a two-story, four family dwelling over commercial building which was recently demolished. The proposal is to construct a four-story-over-basement, 40-foot-tall, mixed use building totaling approximately 18,730 square feet. The proposed new building would include eight dwelling units, approximately 4,740 square feet of commercial space, eight automobile parking spaces with access on 29th Street, and eight Class 1 and four Class 2 bicycle parking spaces.

STEP 1: EXEMPTION CLASS

Note: If neither class applies, an Environmental Evaluation Application is required.			
	Class 1 - Existing Facilities. Interior and exterior alterations; additions under 10,000 sq. ft.		
	Class 3 - New Construction. Up to three new single-family residences or six dwelling units in one building; commercial/office structures; utility extensions; change of use under 10,000 sq. ft. if principally permitted or with a CU.		
	 Class 32 - In-Fill Development. New Construction of seven or more units or additions greater than 10,000 sq. ft. and meets the conditions described below: (a) The project is consistent with the applicable general plan designation and all applicable general plan policies as well as with applicable zoning designation and regulations. (b) The proposed development occurs within city limits on a project site of no more than 5 acres substantially surrounded by urban uses. (c) The project site has no value as habitat for endangered rare or threatened species. (d) Approval of the project would not result in any significant effects relating to traffic, noise, air quality, or water quality. (e) The site can be adequately served by all required utilities and public services. 		
	Class		

STEP 2: CEQA IMPACTS TO BE COMPLETED BY PROJECT PLANNER

If any b	ox is checked below, an Environmental Evaluation Application is required.	
	Air Quality: Would the project add new sensitive receptors (specifically, schools, day care facilities, hospitals, residential dwellings, and senior-care facilities within an Air Pollution Exposure Zone? Does the project have the potential to emit substantial pollutant concentrations (e.g., backup diesel generators, heavy industry, diesel trucks, etc.)? (<i>refer to EP_ArcMap > CEQA Catex Determination Layers > Air Pollution Exposure Zone</i>)	
	 Hazardous Materials: If the project site is located on the Maher map or is suspected of containing hazardous materials (based on a previous use such as gas station, auto repair, dry cleaners, or heavy manufacturing, or a site with underground storage tanks): Would the project involve 50 cubic yards or more of soil disturbance - or a change of use from industrial to residential? If yes, this box must be checked and the project applicant must submit an Environmental Application with a Phase I Environmental Site Assessment. <i>Exceptions: do not check box if the applicant presents documentation of enrollment in the San Francisco Department of Public Health (DPH) Maher program, a DPH waiver from the Maher program, or other documentation from Environmental Planning staff that hazardous material effects would be less than significant (refer to EP_ArcMap > Maher layer).</i> 	
	Transportation: Does the project create six (6) or more net new parking spaces or residential units? Does the project have the potential to adversely affect transit, pedestrian and/or bicycle safety (hazards) or the adequacy of nearby transit, pedestrian and/or bicycle facilities?	
	Archeological Resources : Would the project result in soil disturbance/modification greater than two (2) feet below grade in an archeological sensitive area or eight (8) feet in a non -archeological sensitive area? (<i>refer to EP_ArcMap > CEQA Catex Determination Layers > Archeological Sensitive Area</i>)	
	Subdivision/Lot Line Adjustment: Does the project site involve a subdivision or lot line adjustment on a lot with a slope average of 20% or more? (<i>refer to EP_ArcMap > CEQA Catex Determination Layers > Topography</i>)	
	Slope = or > 20%: Does the project involve any of the following: (1) square footage expansion greater than 1,000 sq. ft. outside of the existing building footprint, (2) excavation of 50 cubic yards or more of soil, (3) new construction? (<i>refer to EP_ArcMap > CEQA Catex Determination Layers > Topography</i>) If box is checked, a geotechnical report is required.	
	Seismic: Landslide Zone: Does the project involve any of the following: (1) square footage expansion greater than 1,000 sq. ft. outside of the existing building footprint, (2) excavation of 50 cubic yards or more of soil, (3) new construction? (refer to EP_ArcMap > CEQA Catex Determination Layers > Seismic Hazard Zones) If box is checked, a geotechnical report is required.	
	Seismic: Liquefaction Zone: Does the project involve any of the following: (1) square footage expansion greater than 1,000 sq. ft. outside of the existing building footprint, (2) excavation of 50 cubic yards or more of soil, (3) new construction? (<i>refer to EP_ArcMap > CEQA Catex Determination Layers > Seismic Hazard Zones</i>) If box is checked, a geotechnical report will likely be required.	
	boxes are checked above, GO TO STEP 3. If one or more boxes are checked above, an ronmental Evaluation Application is required, unless reviewed by an Environmental Planner.	
Com	ments and Planner Signature (optional): Megan Calpin	
	sportation – Yes, the project creates six (6) net new parking spaces. A Transportation Study Determination est was reviewed on November 30, 2017. A transportation study is not required for this project.	
Geology and Soils – A geotechnical report dated June 15, 2017 concluded that the project site was suitable to support the proposed improvements. The project site is overlain with brown lean clay with moist and stiff sand, underlain with medium dense brown clayey sand. Groundwater was encountered at 11 feet below ground surface.		

STEP 3: PROPERTY STATUS - HISTORIC RESOURCE TO BE COMPLETED BY PROJECT PLANNER

PROPERTY IS ONE OF THE FOLLOWING: (refer to Parcel Information Map)		
	Category A: Known Historical Resource. GO TO STEP 5.	
	Category B: Potential Historical Resource (over 45 years of age). GO TO STEP 4.	
	Category C: Not a Historical Resource or Not Age Eligible (under 45 years of age). GO TO STEP 6.	

STEP 4: PROPOSED WORK CHECKLIST

TO BE COMPLETED BY PROJECT PLANNER

Check all that apply to the project.			
	1. Change of use and new construction. Tenant improvements not included.		
	2. Regular maintenance or repair to correct or repair deterioration, decay, or damage to building.		
	3. Window replacement that meets the Department's Window Replacement Standards. Does not include storefront window alterations.		
	4. Garage work. A new opening that meets the <i>Guidelines for Adding Garages and Curb Cuts</i> , and/or replacement of a garage door in an existing opening that meets the Residential Design Guidelines.		
	5. Deck, terrace construction, or fences not visible from any immediately adjacent public right-of-way.		
	 Mechanical equipment installation that is not visible from any immediately adjacent public right-of-way. 		
	7. Dormer installation that meets the requirements for exemption from public notification under <i>Zoning Administrator Bulletin No. 3: Dormer Windows</i> .		
	8. Addition(s) that are not visible from any immediately adjacent public right-of-way for 150 feet in each direction; does not extend vertically beyond the floor level of the top story of the structure or is only a single story in height; does not have a footprint that is more than 50% larger than that of the original building; and does not cause the removal of architectural significant roofing features.		
Note:	Note: Project Planner must check box below before proceeding.		
	Project is not listed. GO TO STEP 5.		
	Project does not conform to the scopes of work. GO TO STEP 5.		
	Project involves four or more work descriptions. GO TO STEP 5.		
	Project involves less than four work descriptions. GO TO STEP 6.		

STEP 5: CEQA IMPACTS - ADVANCED HISTORICAL REVIEW

TO BE COMPLETED BY PROJECT PLANNER

Chec	k all that apply to the project.
	1. Project involves a known historical resource (CEQA Category A) as determined by Step 3 and conforms entirely to proposed work checklist in Step 4.
	2. Interior alterations to publicly accessible spaces.
	3. Window replacement of original/historic windows that are not "in-kind" but are consistent with existing historic character.
	4. Façade/storefront alterations that do not remove, alter, or obscure character-defining features.
	5. Raising the building in a manner that does not remove, alter, or obscure character-defining features.
	6. Restoration based upon documented evidence of a building's historic condition, such as historic photographs, plans, physical evidence, or similar buildings.

	7. Addition(s), including mechanical equipment that a and meet the Secretary of the Interior's Standards for		
	8. Other work consistent with the Secretary of the Interior Standards for the Treatment of Historic Properties (specify or add comments):		
		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
	9. Other work that would not materially impair a historic district (specify or add comments):		
	(Requires approval by Senior Preservation Planner/P	reservation Coordinator)	
	10. Reclassification of property status. (Requires approval by Senior Preservation Planner/Preservation		
	Reclassify to Category A	Reclassify to Category C	
	a. Per HRER dated	(attach HRER)	
	b. Other <i>(specify)</i> :		
	Note: If ANY box in STEP 5 above is checked, a	Preservation Planner MUST check one box below.	
	Further environmental review required. Based on the information provided, the project requires an <i>Environmental Evaluation Application</i> to be submitted. GO TO STEP 6.		
	Project can proceed with categorical exemption review . The project has been reviewed by the Preservation Planner and can proceed with categorical exemption review. GO TO STEP 6.		
Comm	omments (optional):		
Preser	vation Planner Signature:		
STE	P 6: CATEGORICAL EXEMPTION DETERMIN	NATION	
ТОЕ	E COMPLETED BY PROJECT PLANNER		
	Further environmental review required. Proposed p (check all that apply):	roject does not meet scopes of work in either	
	Step 2 - CEQA Impacts		
	Step 5 - Advanced Historical Review		
	STOP! Must file an Environmental Evaluation Appl	cation.	
	No further environmental review is required. The project is categorically exempt under CEQA. There are no unusual circumstances that would result in a reasonable possibility of a significant effect.		
	Project Approval Action:	Signature:	
	Building Permit	Megan Calpin	
If Discretionary Review before the Planning Commission is requested, 08/06/2018 the Discretionary Review hearing is the Approval Action for the project. 08/06/2018			
	Once signed or stamped and dated, this document constitutes a categorical exemption pursuant to CEQA Guidelines and Chapter 31of the Administrative Code. In accordance with Chapter 31 of the San Francisco Administrative Code, an appeal of an exemption determination can only be		

filed within 30 days of the project receiving the first approval action. Please note that other approval actions may be required for the project. Please contact the assigned planner for these approvals.

CEQA Impacts Continued

Transportation – Yes, the project creates six (6) net new parking spaces. A Transportation Study Determination Request was reviewed on November 30, 2017. A transportation study is not required for this project.

Geology and Soils – A geotechnical report dated June 15, 2017 concluded that the project site was suitable to support the proposed improvements. The project site is overlain with brown lean clay with moist and stiff sand, underlain with medium dense brown clayey sand. Groundwater was encountered at 11 feet below ground surface.

Hazardous Materials – The sponsor has enrolled in the Maher Program through the San Francisco Department of Public Health.

Soil Disturbance/Modification – Soil disturbance of up to 10' would occur. A Preliminary Archeology Review was completed on February 5, 2018. Based on review of in-house EP archeological documentation, no CEQA-significant archaeological resources are expected within the project-affected soils.

STEP 7: MODIFICATION OF A CEQA EXEMPT PROJECT

TO BE COMPLETED BY PROJECT PLANNER

In accordance with Chapter 31 of the San Francisco Administrative Code, when a California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) exempt project changes after the Approval Action and requires a subsequent approval, the Environmental Review Officer (or his or her designee) must determine whether the proposed change constitutes a substantial modification of that project. This checklist shall be used to determine whether the proposed changes to the approved project would constitute a "substantial modification" and, therefore, be subject to additional environmental review pursuant to CEQA.

PROPERTY INFORMATION/PROJECT DESCRIPTION

Project Address (If different than front page)		Block/Lot(s) (If different than front page)
3310 MISSION ST		6635/054
Case No.	Previous Building Permit No.	New Building Permit No.
2017-006615PRJ		
Plans Dated	Previous Approval Action	New Approval Action
	Building Permit	
Modified Project Description:		

DETERMINATION IF PROJECT CONSTITUTES SUBSTANTIAL MODIFICATION

Compared to the approved project, would the modified project:		
	Result in expansion of the building envelope, as defined in the Planning Code;	
	Result in the change of use that would require public notice under Planning Code Sections 311 or 312;	
	Result in demolition as defined under Planning Code Section 317 or 19005(f)?	
	Is any information being presented that was not known and could not have been known at the time of the original determination, that shows the originally approved project may no longer qualify for the exemption?	
If at least one of the above boxes is checked, further environmental review is required.		

DETERMINATION OF NO SUBSTANTIAL MODIFICATION

	The proposed modification would not result in any of the above changes.	
If this box is checked, the proposed modifications are categorically exempt under CEQA, in accordance with prior project approval and no additional environmental review is required. This determination shall be posted on the Planning Department website and office and mailed to the applicant, City approving entities, and anyone requesting written notice.		
Planner Name:		Signature or Stomp
	ner name:	Signature or Stamp: