1	[Urging the Planning Department to Institutionalize a Sensitive Communities Map in the General Plan Housing Element]
2	
3	Resolution urging the Planning Department to institutionalize a sensitive communities
4	map in the City's 2022 Housing Element Update of the General Plan; to preserve at-risk
5	housing and provide long-term stability to existing communities; and to apply policies
6	that specifically incentivize affordable housing production within sensitive
7	communities and all across San Francisco.
8	
9	WHEREAS, The Housing Element 2022 Update of the General Plan is meant to
10	express San Francisco's collective vision and values for the future of housing in the City,
11	identify priorities for decision makers, guide resource allocation for housing programs and
12	services, and define how and where the City should create new homes for San Franciscans
13	and future residents; and
14	WHEREAS, This update is due late 2022 and will need to accommodate the creation of
15	82,069 total units in San Francisco by 2031, of which 57% (or 46,598 homes) need to be
16	below-market-rate units affordable for very-low to moderate income San Franciscans, a target
17	set by State and Regional Agencies that has been tripled compared to the City's current
18	targets; and
19	WHEREAS, Between 2015 and 2020, San Francisco produced 148% of the market-
20	rate units needed to reach its current target in the City's General Plan Housing Element for
21	above-moderate income housing, but only produced 35% of the affordable units needed to
22	reach its targets for very-low to moderate income housing, demonstrating a need for
23	innovative policies and priorities that incentivize more affordable housing development to
24	achieve an equitable housing balance; and
25	

WHEREAS, Community outreach and engagement for the 2022 Housing Element
 update began in June 2020, and the second phase of outreach was launched in April 2021
 with the release of the first draft goals, policies, and actions; and

WHEREAS, In 2015, researchers at the Urban Displacement Project of UC Berkeley
(UDP) collaborated with the San Francisco Mayor's Office of Housing and Community
Development to better understand and predict where gentrification and displacement was
happening and would likely occur in the Bay Area through a community-engaged research
process; and

9 WHEREAS, Through this process, UDP created interactive gentrification and 10 displacement typology maps that summarized housing market dynamics and displacement 11 and gentrification risk into a number of "typologies" at the census tract level, including at risk 12 of gentrification, early gentrification, advanced gentrification, and ongoing displacement, which 13 are necessary to inform strategies and protective measures in communities undergoing 14 different stages of displacement; and

WHEREAS, More recently, a statewide "Sensitive Communities" detailed analysis and mapping has been formally published by UDP to assist policymakers across California cities in identifying communities where residents may be particularly vulnerable to displacement in the context of rising property values and neighborhood change; and

WHEREAS, The Sensitive Communities project (sensitivecommunities.org) developed a methodology to measure displacement and gentrification based on relevant literature, data analysis, and extensive stakeholder engagement of academics, advocates, and policymakers to ground truth and refine maps and selection criteria, on file with the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors in File No. 211180, which is hereby declared to be a part of this Resolution as if set forth fully herein; and

25

WHEREAS, This robust methodology to identify sensitive communities is based on the
 following criteria:

Sensitive communities currently have populations vulnerable to displacement in
 the event of increased redevelopment and drastic shifts in housing cost, defined as over 20%
 share of very low-income residents AND two of the following criteria:

6 a.) Over 40% share of renters;

b.) over 50% share of people of color; or

8 c.) Share of very low-income households (50% AMI or below) that are severely rent
9 burdened is above the county median;

Sensitive communities are experiencing displacement pressures, defined as
 percent change in rent above county median for rent increases for a five-year period OR
 Difference between the tract's median rent and the median rent for surrounding tracts is
 above median for all tracts in county (rent gap); and

WHEREAS, In the decade since the last Housing Element update, San Francisco's
housing policies have continued to result in a dramatic out-migration of low-income Black,
Indigenous, Immigrant, and People of Color (BIPOC) communities due to gentrification and
displacement, and significant increase in housing vulnerability among these communities,
particularly in areas identified in the Sensitive Communities map; and

WHEREAS, In January 2021, Mayor Breed and Supervisors Ronen, Mar and
Mandelman wrote to the regional Association of Bay Area Governments (ABAG) expressing
the concerns of both the executive and legislative branches of San Francisco's elected
leadership that "one of the main drivers of economic inequality has been the decades long
push to focus housing production to limited areas most often occupied by communities of
color;" and

25

7

WHEREAS, The three big "hot market" cities of the Bay Area, San Francisco, San Jose
and Oakland, already build a majority of the new housing in the region, and already have an
enormous backlog of fully entitled housing units that have not been constructed, including
52,000 fully entitled units of market-rate housing in San Francisco alone; and

5 WHEREAS, Upzoning and streamlining in hot markets results in increasing land 6 values, which can exacerbate the instability of residents in sensitive communities with 7 increased market-rate development and impact the ability of the City and affordable housing 8 developers to compete for land; and

9 WHEREAS, Good housing policy demands a precautionary principle and a place-10 based community stabilization approach to guide policies and uphold the right of lower-11 income and BIPOC communities to community planning and self-determination after decades 12 of disenfranchisement on development decisions that affect their neighborhoods; and 13 WHEREAS, Sensitive communities have often suffered decades of racial and 14 economic inequities, often from redlining practices by the banking and real estate industry, by 15 urban renewal, by decades of disinvestment from schools and infrastructure, and the 16 disparate impact of environmental racism, and which today bear the brunt of evictions, 17 gentrification, and displacement pressures, and which are often the target for luxury 18 development due to their proximity to transit and other amenities; and

WHEREAS, Policies that incentivize increased market-rate development in sensitive
 communities would result in additional concentrations of development marketed to higher wage newcomers that are unaffordable and inaccessible to existing lower income and BIPOC
 communities and exclusionary to new lower income and BIPOC households, and lead to
 increased gentrification and displacement; and

- 24
- 25

WHEREAS, Not adding additional policies that further incentivize market-rate
 development in sensitive communities would in no way stop or block market-rate housing, but
 would allow sensitive communities to prioritize affordable housing strategies; and

WHEREAS, To provide housing access and affirmatively further fair housing for lower
income and BIPOC communities in San Francisco's "high opportunity" or "high resource"
areas, we must also significantly increase affordable housing incentives in those areas; and
WHEREAS, On June 11, 2020, the San Francisco Planning Commission adopted
Resolution No. 20738, centering the Planning Department's work program and resource
allocation on racial and social equity, on file with the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors in File
No. 211180, which is hereby declared to be a part of this Resolution as if set forth fully herein;

11 and

12 WHEREAS, A recent University of Minnesota study, on file with the Clerk of the Board 13 of Supervisors in File No. 211108, which is hereby declared to be a part of this Resolution as 14 if set forth fully herein, demonstrated that market-rate housing developments create localized 15 upward price pressure on nearby tenants who are paying lower rents, and numerous other 16 studies and reports have demonstrated the relationship between market rate development, 17 gentrification, and displacement, and as such any Housing Element that centers racial and 18 social equity must neutralize and counterbalance the impacts of market based strategies on 19 our cities' BIPOC communities; and

20 WHEREAS, The Planning Department has proclaimed the Housing Element 2022 21 Update of the General Plan to be San Francisco's first housing plan centered in racial and 22 social equity; now, therefore, be it

RESOLVED, That the San Francisco Board of Supervisors (Board) urges the Planning
 Department to institutionalize a San Francisco Sensitive Communities map in the City's 2022
 Housing Element Update of the General Plan based on the UC Berkeley Urban Displacement

Project Sensitive Communities methodology and mapping, and updated regularly with the
 most recent census data; and, be it

FURTHER RESOLVED, That the Board supports the expansion of affordable housing
in every neighborhood across the City to affirmatively further fair housing opportunities for low
and moderate income San Franciscans; and, be it

6 FURTHER RESOLVED, That in order to protect sensitive communities from increased 7 gentrification and displacement, and to meet the target set by State and Regional agencies for 8 the City to produce 46,598 units affordable for very-low to moderate income households by 9 2031, any new development incentives applied in sensitive communities must specifically 10 encourage increased development of 100% affordable housing and mixed income housing 11 with a majority of units affordable for lower income and moderate income households; and, be 12 it

13 FURTHER RESOLVED, That policies incentivizing increased development in any part 14 of the City should also specifically preserve at-risk housing and provide long-term stability to 15 existing communities by prohibiting, or providing significant displacement mitigations for, any 16 redevelopment of existing multifamily housing, including no residential demolition of price-17 restricted or rent-controlled housing without one-for-one replacement at the same affordability 18 level or rent-controlled status, resident relocation for the length of construction, a right to 19 return, restrictions on sites where evictions have occurred in the last five years, and 20 prohibition of use for short-term rentals; and, be it

FURTHER RESOLVED, That if the Planning Department does choose to create new policies to further incentivize market-rate development in areas not identified as sensitive communities, the Board urges the Department to demonstrate clearly how these policies and any related affordability requirements will meet the City's racial equity goals and affirmatively

1	further fair housing opportunities for low and moderate income households, and increase
2	access of these households to "high opportunity" or "high resource" areas.
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	